CSCI 5350 Advanced Topics in Game Theory

Discussion Session 9

Game Theory Exercise 3

The Lying Game is a strategic game in which Player 1 decides whether to tell the truth or to tell a lie, while Player 2 determines whether or not to believe what Player 1 says. If Player 1 tells the truth (action T), and Player 2 believes (action B), then both get the same utility of 5. However, if Player 1 tells the truth, but Player 2 does not believe (action N), then both get the same utility of 0. On the other hand, if Player 1 tells a lie (action L) but Player 2 believes, then Player 1 has a utility of 10, while Player 2 has a utility of -5. Finally, if Player 1 tells a lie and Player 2 does not believe, then Player 1 has a utility of -10, while Player 2 has a utility of 0.

- (a) Consider a limit of means infinitely repeated game of the Lying Game.
 - i. (2 marks) Is the payoff profile (4,0) a feasible payoff profile? Justify your answer.
 - ii. (2 marks) What is the minmax payoff of Player 1?
 - iii. (2 marks) What is the minmax payoff of Player 2?
 - iv. (2 marks) Is the payoff profile (4,0) an enforceable payoff profile? Justify your answer.
 - v. (10 marks) Describe a trigger strategy equilibrium of the limit of means infinitely repeated game of the Lying Game. What is the outcome of the trigger strategy equilibrium you describe?

- (b) The two players now agree to play a variant of the Lying Game, as follows. First, Player 1 decides whether to continue (action *C*) or to stop (action *S*). If Player 1 decides to stop, then the game ends and the payoff profile is (0,0). Otherwise, if Player 1 decides to continue, then Player 2 will decide whether he will believe or will not believe what Player 1 is going to say, before Player 1 really says it. Player 2 writes his decision on a piece of paper without letting Player 1 knows what he writes. Without knowing Player 2's decision, Player 1 now tells the truth or a lie. The final payoff profiles are defined in exactly the same way as before: If Player 1 tells the truth, and Player 2 believes, then both get the same utility of 5. However, if Player 1 tells the truth, but Player 2 does not believe, then both get the same utility of 0. If Player 1 tells a lie but Player 2 believes, then Player 1 has a utility of -5. If Player 1 tells a lie and Player 2 does not believe, then Player 1 has a utility of 0.
- (c) Model the new scenario as an extensive game with imperfect information $G = \langle N, H, P, f_c, (\mathcal{I}_i), (u_i) \rangle$.
 - i. (1 mark) Write down H in the game G.
 - ii. (2 marks) Write down P in the game G.
 - iii. (4 marks) Write down Player 1's information partition \mathcal{I}_1 and Player 2's information partition \mathcal{I}_2 in the game G.
 - iv. (4 marks) Does this game have perfect recall? Justify your answer.
- (d) Suppose when Player needs to decide whether to play T or L, he has a belief μ that the probability that Player 2 has just played B is $\frac{3}{4}$, the probability that Player 2 has just played N is $\frac{1}{4}$.
 - i. (4 marks) What should be Player 1's best response behavioural strategy β_1 ?
 - ii. (5 marks) Describe a consistent assessment that contains β_1 and μ . Justify that this is a consistent assessment.
 - iii. (4 marks) Is the assessment in question 2(d)ii sequentially rational? Justify your answer.
 - iv. (3 marks) Is the assessment in question 2(d)ii a sequential equilibrium? Justify your answer.

```
N = \{1, 2\}
H = \{\emptyset, a, (a, a), (a, b), b, (b, u), (b, v), (b, u, a), (b, u, b), (b, v, a), (b, v, b), (b, v, b, m), (b, v, b, n)\}
P(\emptyset) = P(a) = P(b, u) = P(b, v) = 1
P(b) = P(b, v, b) = 2
f_c \text{ is undefined}
\mathcal{I}_1 = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{a\}, \{\{b, u\}, \{b, v\}, b\}\}\}
\mathcal{I}_2 = \{\{b\}, \{\{b, v, b\}\}\}
u_1(a, a) = 8, \qquad u_1(a, b) = 9, \qquad u_1(b, u, a) = 1, \qquad u_1(b, u, b) = 2,
u_1(b, v, a) = 4, \qquad u_1(b, v, b, m) = 3, \qquad u_1(b, v, b, n) = 4
u_2(a, a) = 0, \qquad u_2(a, b) = 0, \qquad u_2(b, u, a) = 1, \qquad u_2(b, u, b) = 2,
u_2(b, v, a) = 3, \qquad u_2(b, v, b, m) = 2, \qquad u_2(b, v, b, n) = 1
```

- a) (2 marks) How many pure strategies does player 1 have in this game? List all of them.
- b) (2 marks) How many pure strategies does player 2 have in this game? List all of them.
- c) (2 marks) Is this a game with perfect recall? Justify your answer.

Consider the following extensive game $\langle N, H, P, f_c, (\mathcal{I}_i), (u_i) \rangle$.

Consider a belief system μ such that $\mu(\{(b,u),(b,v)\})((b,u)) = \frac{2}{3}$.

Assume that player 1 plays behavioural strategy β_1 and player 2 plays behavioural strategy β_2 , such that $\beta_1(\emptyset)(a) = \beta_1(a)(a) = \beta_1(b,u)(a) = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\beta_2(b)(u) = \beta_2(b,v,b)(m) = 1$.

- d) (5 marks) Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. What is $O(\beta, \mu | \{(b, u), (b, v)\})$?
- e) (3 marks) Is the assessment (β, μ) consistent? Justify your answer.
- f) (3 marks) Is the assessment (β, μ) sequentially rational? Justify your answer.
- g) (3 marks) Is the assessment (β, μ) a sequential equilibrium? Justify your answer.

End