

Lecture Notes: Determinant of a Square Matrix

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Chinese University of Hong Kong

taoyf@cse.cuhk.edu.hk

1 Determinant Definition

Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix (i.e., \mathbf{A} is a square matrix). Given a pair of (i, j) , we define \mathbf{M}_{ij} to be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by removing the i -th row and j -th column of \mathbf{A} . For example, suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{M}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{M}_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{M}_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We are now ready to define determinants:

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. If $n = 1$, its **determinant**, denoted as $\det(\mathbf{A})$, equals a_{11} . If $n > 1$, we first choose an arbitrary $i^* \in [1, n]$, and then define the determinant of \mathbf{A} recursively as:

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i^*+j} \cdot a_{i^*j} \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{i^*j}). \quad (1)$$

Besides $\det(\mathbf{A})$, we may also denote the determinant of \mathbf{A} as $|\mathbf{A}|$. Henceforth, if we apply (1) to compute $\det(\mathbf{A})$, we say that we *expand \mathbf{A} by row i^** . It is important to note that the value of $\det(\mathbf{A})$ does *not* depend on the choice of i^* . We omit a proof of this fact, but illustrate it in the following examples.

Example 1 (Second-Order Determinants). In general, if $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ is a 2×2 matrix, then

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}.$$

For instance:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \times 2 - 1 \times (-1) = 5.$$

We may verify the above by definition as follows. Choosing $i^* = 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} &= (-1)^{1+1} \cdot 2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{11}) + (-1)^{1+2} \cdot 1 \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{12}) \\ &= 2 \times 2 + (-1) \times (-1) = 5. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, choosing $i^* = 2$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} &= (-1)^{2+1} \cdot (-1) \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{21}) + (-1)^{2+2} \cdot 2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{22}) \\ &= 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 = 5. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2 (Third-Order Determinants). Suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Choosing $i^* = 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}) &= 1 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + 1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1(0 - 2) - 2(6 - 2) + 1(-3 - 0) = -13. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, choosing $i^* = 2$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}) &= -3 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - (-2) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-3)(4 + 1) + 0(2 + 1) + 2(-1 + 2) = -13. \end{aligned}$$

□

2 Properties of Determinants

Expansion by a Column. Definition 1 allows us to compute the determinant of a matrix by row expansion. We may also achieve the same purpose by column expansion.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with $n > 1$. Choose an arbitrary $j^* \in [1, n]$. The determinant of \mathbf{A} equals:*

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j^*} \cdot a_{ij^*} \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{ij^*}).$$

The value of the above equation does not depend on the choice of j^ .*

We omit a proof but illustrate the lemma with an example below. Henceforth, if we compute $\det(\mathbf{A})$ by the above lemma, we say that we *expand \mathbf{A} by column j^** .

Example 3. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Choosing $j^* = 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}) &= 1 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - 3 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + (-1) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1(0 - 2) - 3(4 + 1) - 1(-4 - 0) = -13. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. *Let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix. Then, $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A}^T)$.*

Proof. Note that expanding \mathbf{A} by column k is equivalent to expanding \mathbf{A}^T by row k . □

Corollary 2. *If \mathbf{A} has a zero row or a zero column, then $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$.*

Proof. If \mathbf{A} has a zero row, then $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ follows from expanding \mathbf{A} by that row. The case where \mathbf{A} has a zero column is similar. □

Determinant of a Row-Echelon Matrix. The next lemma shows that the determinant of a matrix in row-echelon form is simply the product of the values on the main diagonal.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix in row-echelon form. Then, $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$.*

Proof. We can prove the lemma by induction. First, correctness is obvious for $n = 1$. Assuming correctness for $n \leq t - 1$ (for $t \geq 2$), consider $n = t$. Expanding \mathbf{A} by the first row gives:

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{1+j} \cdot a_{1j} \cdot \det(\mathbf{M}_{1j}). \quad (2)$$

From induction we know that $\det(\mathbf{M}_{11}) = \prod_{i=2}^n a_{ii}$. Furthermore, for $j > 1$, $\det(\mathbf{M}_{1j}) = 0$ because the first column of \mathbf{M}_{1j} contains all 0's. It thus follows that (2) equals $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$. □

Determinants under Elementary Row Operations. Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Define the elementary row operations on \mathbf{A} :

1. Switch two rows of \mathbf{A} .
2. Multiply all numbers of a row of \mathbf{A} by the same non-zero value c .
3. Let \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j be two row vectors of \mathbf{A} . Update row \mathbf{r}_i to $\mathbf{r}_i + c \cdot \mathbf{r}_j$, where c can be any real value.

Next, we refer to the above as Operation 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Lemma 3. *The determinant of \mathbf{A}*

1. *should be multiplied by -1 after Operation 1;*
2. *should be multiplied by c after Operation 2;*

3. has no change after Operation 3.

Again, we omit a proof, but illustrate the lemma with an example.

Example 4.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -6 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -6 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 13/6 \end{vmatrix} = -13.$$

Here is another derivation giving the same result:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & -5 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 13 \end{vmatrix} = -13.$$

□

Corollary 3. If \mathbf{A} has two identical rows or columns, then $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$.

Proof. We prove only the row case. Switching the two rows gets back the same matrix. However, by Lemma 3, the determinant of the matrix should be multiplied by -1 . Therefore, we get $\det(\mathbf{A}) = -\det(\mathbf{A})$, meaning $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$. □

Determinant under Matrix Multiplication. We state the following result without proof:

Lemma 4. Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be $n \times n$ matrices. It holds that $\det(\mathbf{AB}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{B})$.

Example 5.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -13$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -3$$

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right| = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 & 2 \\ -8 & 7 & 0 \\ 4 & -6 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 39.$$

□

Relationships with Ranks. We close the lecture notes by giving two lemmas that relate determinants to ranks:

Lemma 5. Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix. \mathbf{A} has rank n if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.

Proof. We can first apply elementary row operations to convert \mathbf{A} into row-echelon form \mathbf{A}^* . Thus, \mathbf{A} has rank n if and only if \mathbf{A}^* has rank n . Since \mathbf{A}^* is a square matrix, that it has rank n is equivalent to saying that all the numbers on its main diagonal are non-zero. Thus, by Lemma 2, we know that \mathbf{A}^* has rank n if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}^*) \neq 0$. Finally, by Lemma 3, $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}^*) \neq 0$. We thus complete the proof. \square

Let \mathbf{A} be an $m \times n$ matrix. We say that \mathbf{B} is a *submatrix* of \mathbf{A} if we can obtain \mathbf{B} by discarding some rows and columns of \mathbf{A} . For instance, suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Then all the following are submatrices of \mathbf{A} :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, [0].$$

We state the next lemma without proof:

Lemma 6. *Let \mathbf{A} be an $m \times n$ matrix. \mathbf{A} has rank r if and only if both the following hold simultaneously:*

- *\mathbf{A} has an $r \times r$ submatrix \mathbf{B} such that $\det(\mathbf{B}) \neq 0$.*
- *all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ submatrices of \mathbf{A} have determinant 0.*

Example 6. Let \mathbf{A} be as shown in (3). It can be verified that $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 2$. Indeed, you can easily find a 2×2 submatrix of \mathbf{A} with a non-zero determinant. On the other hand, any 3×3 submatrix of \mathbf{A} always has determinant 0. \square