

- 1) Let x be the result of test and $x=1$ be the positive testing result while $x=0$ be the negative testing result, y be the actual result whether the patient has the disease and $y=1$ is positive while $y=0$ is negative. The probability that the patient has the disease given the test result is positive is $P(y=1|x=1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(y=1|x=1) &= \frac{P(x=1|y=1)P(y=1)}{P(x=1)} \\
 &= \frac{P(x=1|y=1)P(y=1)}{P(x=1|y=1)P(y=1) + P(x=1|y=0)P(y=0)} \\
 &= \frac{0.99 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1000000}\right)}{0.99 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1000000}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{1000}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{999999}{1000000}\right)} \\
 &= 0.000989
 \end{aligned}$$

The probability that the patient has the disease given the positive testing result is only 0.000989 which is because of the rarity of the disease.

- 2) As the log odd is $\log \frac{p(C_1|x)}{p(C_2|x)}$, the discriminant function is

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_i(x) &= \log p(C_i|x) \\
 &= \log(p(x|C_i)p(C_i)) \\
 g(x) &= \log \frac{p(C_1|x)}{p(C_2|x)} \text{ and choose } C_1 \text{ if } g(x) > 0 \text{ else } C_2 \\
 &= \log \frac{p(x|C_1)p(C_1)}{p(x|C_2)p(C_2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

- 3) As the expected risk for an action a_i is $R(a_i|x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_{ik}P(C_k|x)$

where x is data,

$P(C_k|x)$ is the probability as class C_k given x ,

λ_{ik} is the loss of action a_i when state is C_k .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Thus, } R(a_1|x) &= \lambda_{11}P(C_1|x) + \lambda_{12}P(C_2|x) \\
 &= 0 \cdot P(C_1|x) + 10 \cdot P(C_2|x) \\
 &= 10 \cdot (1 - P(C_1|x))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{And, } R(a_2|x) &= \lambda_{21}P(C_1|x) + \lambda_{22}P(C_2|x) \\
 &= 5 \cdot P(C_1|x) + 0 \cdot P(C_2|x) \\
 &= 5 \cdot P(C_1|x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the decision is performed a_1 if $R(a_1|x) < R(a_2|x)$ else perform a_2 .

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(a_1|x) &< R(a_2|x) \\
 10 \cdot (1 - P(C_1|x)) &< 5 \cdot P(C_1|x) \\
 10 &< 15 \cdot P(C_1|x) \\
 \therefore P(C_1|x) &< \frac{2}{3} \text{ then perform } a_1 \text{ else perform } a_2
 \end{aligned}$$

- 4) As Support ($X \rightarrow Y$) = $P(X,Y)$ and Confidence ($X \rightarrow Y$) = $P(Y|X)$,

Support (milk \rightarrow bananas) = $2/6$

$$= 1/3$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Confidence (milk} \rightarrow \text{bananas)} &= 2/4 \\ &= 1/2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Support (bananas} \rightarrow \text{milk)} &= 2/6 \\ &= 1/3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Confidence (bananas} \rightarrow \text{milk)} &= 2/2 \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Support (milk} \rightarrow \text{chocolate)} &= 3/6 \\ &= 1/2\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Confidence (milk} \rightarrow \text{chocolate)} = 3/4$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Support (chocolate} \rightarrow \text{milk)} &= 3/6 \\ &= 1/2\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Confidence (chocolate} \rightarrow \text{milk)} = 3/5$$

- 5) As $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = \prod_{i=1}^K p_i^{x_i}$ for K class in which $\sum_{i=1}^K p_i = 1$ and p_i stands for the probability of class i, x_1, \dots, x_K be the indicator variables such that $x_i = 1$ for outcome as class i and 0 otherwise.

Thus, the log likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\theta|X) &= \log p(X|\theta) \\ &= \log \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{t=1}^N p(x_i^t|\theta) \text{ for } t \text{ classes and } N \text{ numbers of sample} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N \log p(x_i^t|\theta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N \log p_i^{x_i^t}\end{aligned}$$

As the constraint of $\sum_{i=1}^K p_i = 1$ also need to be satisfied, Lagrange multipliers is used, i.e. to maximize $\mathcal{L}(\theta|X)$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^K p_i = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_K, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) + \lambda(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K p_i) \\ \mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_K, \lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N \log p_i^{x_i^t} + \lambda(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K p_i) \\ \frac{d\mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_K, \lambda)}{dp_i} &= \frac{d \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t \cdot \log p_i}{dp_i} + \frac{d\lambda(1 - \sum_{i=1}^K p_i)}{dp_i} \\ \frac{d\mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_K, \lambda)}{dp_i} &= \sum_{t=1}^N \frac{x_i^t}{p_i} + \frac{d\lambda}{dp_i} - \frac{d\lambda \sum_{i=1}^K p_i}{dp_i} \\ 0 &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t}{p_i} + 0 - \lambda\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda p_i &= \sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t \\
\sum_{i=1}^K \lambda p_i &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t \\
\lambda &= \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^K x_i^t \quad (\because \sum_{i=1}^K p_i = 1) \\
\lambda &= \sum_{t=1}^N 1 \\
\lambda &= N \\
\therefore \hat{p}_i &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t}{\lambda} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N x_i^t}{N}
\end{aligned}$$

6) As for $p(x): \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$

The discriminant points are,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(C_1|x) &= P(C_2|x) \\
P(x|C_1)P(C_1) &= P(x|C_2)P(C_2) \\
\log P(x|C_1) + \log P(C_1) &= \log P(x|C_2) + \log P(C_2) \\
\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} \right] + \log P(C_1) &= \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right] + \log P(C_2) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \log \sigma_1 - \frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} + \log P(C_1) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \log \sigma_2 - \frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \log P(C_2) \\
-\log \sigma_1 - \frac{x^2 - 2x\mu_1 + \mu_1^2}{2\sigma_1^2} + \log P(C_1) &= -\log \sigma_2 - \frac{x^2 - 2x\mu_2 + \mu_2^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \log P(C_2) \\
\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right) x^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right) x - \frac{\mu_1}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} + \log \frac{P(C_1)}{P(C_2)} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the discriminant points are

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{-\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mu_1}{2\sigma_1^2} + \log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} + \log \frac{P(C_1)}{P(C_2)} \right)}}{2 \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right)} \\
&\quad \text{or} \\
x &= \frac{-\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mu_1}{2\sigma_1^2} + \log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} + \log \frac{P(C_1)}{P(C_2)} \right)}}{2 \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right)}
\end{aligned}$$