

1. Assume a disease so rare that it is seen in only one person out of every million. Assume also that we have a test that is effective in that if a person has the disease, there is a 99 percent chance that the test result will be positive; however, the test is not perfect, and there is a one in a thousand chance that the test result will be positive on a healthy person. Assume that a new patient arrives and the test result is positive. What is the probability that the patient has the disease ?

Answer:

Let us represent disease by d and test result by t . We are given the following: $P(d = 1) = 10^{-6}$, $P(t = 1|d = 1) = 0.99$, $P(t = 1|d = 0) = 10^{-3}$. We are asked $P(d = 1|t = 1)$. We use Bayes' rule.

$$\begin{aligned} P(d = 1|t = 1) &= \frac{P(t = 1|d = 1)P(d = 1)}{P(t = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P(t = 1|d = 1)P(d = 1)}{P(t = 1|d = 1)P(d = 1) + P(t = 1|d = 0)P(d = 0)} \\ &= \frac{0.99 \cdot 10^{-6}}{0.99 \cdot 10^{-6} + 10^{-3} \cdot (1 - 10^{-6})} = 0.00098902 \end{aligned}$$

That is, knowing that the test result is positive increased the probability of disease from one in a million to one in a thousand. But since the disease is so rare, testing positive still has a **low probability** to indicate that the patient has the disease.

2. In a two-class problem, the log odds is defined as

$$\log \frac{P(C_1|\mathbf{x})}{P(C_2|\mathbf{x})}$$

Write the discriminant function in terms of the log odds.

Answer:

We define a discriminant function as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \log \frac{P(C_1|\mathbf{x})}{P(C_2|\mathbf{x})} \text{ and choose } \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{if } g(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \\ C_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that log odds is the sum of log likelihood ratio and log of prior ratio:

$$g(x) = \log \frac{p(x|C_1)}{p(x|C_2)} + \log \frac{P(C_1)}{P(C_2)}$$

If the priors are equal, the discriminant is just the log likelihood ratio.

3. In a two-class, two-action problem, if the loss function is $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = 0$, $\lambda_{12} = 10$, and $\lambda_{21} = 5$, write the optimal decision rule?

Answer: Let us calculate the expected risks of the two actions:

$$R(a_1|x) = 0 \cdot P(C_1|x) + 10 \cdot P(C_2|x) = 10 \cdot (1 - P(C_1|x))$$

$$R(a_2|x) = 5 \cdot P(C_1|x) + 0 \cdot P(C_2|x) = 5 \cdot P(C_1|x)$$

We choose a_1 if

$$R(a_1|x) < R(a_2|x)$$

$$10 \cdot (1 - P(C_1|x)) < 5 \cdot P(C_1|x)$$

$$P(C_1|x) > 2/3$$

If $P(C_1|x) < 2/3$, we use action a_2

4. Given the following data of transactions at a shop, calculate the support and confidence values of $\text{milk} \rightarrow \text{bananas}$, $\text{bananas} \rightarrow \text{milk}$, $\text{milk} \rightarrow \text{chocolate}$, and $\text{chocolate} \rightarrow \text{milk}$.

Transaction	Items in basket
1	milk, bananas, chocolate
2	milk, chocolate
3	milk, bananas
4	chocolate
5	chocolate
6	milk, chocolate

The association rules and their support and confidence values are as follows:

- milk \rightarrow bananas : Support = 2/6, Confidence = 2/4
- bananas \rightarrow milk : Support = 2/6, Confidence = 2/2
- milk \rightarrow chocolate : Support = 3/6, Confidence = 3/4
- chocolate \rightarrow milk : Support = 3/6, Confidence = 3/5

Though only half of the people who buy milk buy bananas too, anyone who buys bananas also buys milk.

5. For the multinomial we discussed in class (e.g., with probability p_i , outcome i will occur and there are K different possible outcomes), prove that the MLE (or the log likelihood) is

$$\hat{p}_i = \frac{\sum_t x_i^t}{N}$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} J(p_i) &= \sum_i \sum_t x_i^t \log p_i + \lambda(1 - \sum_i p_i) \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial p_i} &= \frac{\sum_t x_i^t}{p_i} - \lambda = 0 \\ \lambda &= \frac{\sum_t x_i^t}{p_i} \Rightarrow p_i \lambda = \sum_t x_i^t \\ \sum_i p_i \lambda &= \sum_i \sum_t x_i^t \Rightarrow \lambda = \sum_t \sum_i x_i^t \\ p_i &= \frac{\sum_t x_i^t}{\sum_t \sum_i x_i^t} = \frac{\sum_t x_i^t}{N} \text{ because } \sum_i x_i^t = 1 \end{aligned}$$

6. Given two normal distributions $p(x|C_1) \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $p(x|C_2) \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ and $P(C_1)$ and $P(C_2)$, calculate the Bayes' discriminant points analytically.

Answer:

Given that

$$p(x|C_1) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right]$$

$$p(x|C_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

we would like to find x that satisfy $P(C_1|x) = P(C_2|x)$, or

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|C_1)P(C_1) &= p(x|C_2)P(C_2) \\ \log p(x|C_1) + \log P(C_1) &= \log p(x|C_2) + \log P(C_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \log \sigma_1 - \frac{(x - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} + \log P(C_1) &= \dots \\ -\log \sigma_1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (x^2 - 2x\mu_1 + \mu_1^2) + \log P(C_1) &= \dots \\ \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)x + \\ \left(\frac{\mu_2^2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mu_1^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + \log \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} + \log \frac{P(C_1)}{P(C_2)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is of the form $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ and the two roots are

$$x_1, x_2 = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Note that if the variances are equal, the quadratic terms vanishes and there is one root, that is, the two posteriors intersect at a single x value.