

Obtaining univariate OLS parameter estimates through elementary algebra

Consider the linear regression model

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + u_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

Given parameter estimates $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ the residuals are defined as

$$\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}x_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

The OLS estimates of the parameters α and β are determined by minimizing the residual sum of squares

$$RSS = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2.$$

We will show that the OLS estimates can be obtained by elementary algebra, without using calculus. First, express the residuals using the demeaned versions of y_t and x_t (that is, $y_t - \bar{y}$ and $x_t - \bar{x}$) as

$$\hat{u}_t = \left[(y_t - \bar{y}) - \hat{\beta} (x_t - \bar{x}) \right] - \left[\hat{\alpha} - (\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}) \right]$$

and note that since $\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y}) = \sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x}) = 0$ we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T \left[(y_t - \bar{y}) - \hat{\beta} (x_t - \bar{x}) \right]^2 + T \left[\hat{\alpha} - (\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}) \right]^2,$$

as the sum of cross products vanishes. The second term is minimized, and becomes equal to zero, when

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}.$$

Hence, it suffices to minimize the term $\sum_{t=1}^T \left[(y_t - \bar{y}) - \hat{\beta} (x_t - \bar{x}) \right]^2$ with respect to $\hat{\beta}$. Note that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \left[(y_t - \bar{y}) - \hat{\beta} (x_t - \bar{x}) \right]^2 = S_{yy} + S_{xx}\hat{\beta}^2 - 2S_{yx}\hat{\beta}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{yy} &= \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2, \\ S_{xx} &= \sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2, \\ S_{yx} &= \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(x_t - \bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since S_{yy} does not depend on $\hat{\beta}$, it suffices to minimize the quantity $S_{xx}\hat{\beta}^2 - 2S_{yx}\hat{\beta}$ with respect to $\hat{\beta}$. We do so, by “completing the square”:

$$S_{xx}\hat{\beta}^2 - 2S_{yx}\hat{\beta} = S_{xx} \left(\hat{\beta}^2 - 2\frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}}\hat{\beta} \right) = S_{xx} \left[\left(\hat{\beta} - \frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}} \right)^2 - \frac{S_{yx}^2}{S_{xx}^2} \right].$$

It is clear that the expression above is minimized when the square $\left(\hat{\beta} - \frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}} \right)^2$ becomes zero, i.e., when $\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}}$. It follows that the OLS estimates of α and β are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(x_t - \bar{x})}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_t x_t - T\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2 - T\bar{x}^2}, \\ \hat{\alpha} &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Obtaining multivariate OLS parameter estimates through elementary algebra

Consider the linear regression model

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{x}_t + u_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where the parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and the regressor \mathbf{x}_t are k -dimensional vectors. Given a parameter estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ the residuals are defined as

$$\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \mathbf{x}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

The OLS estimates of the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are determined by minimizing the residual sum of squares

$$RSS = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2.$$

We will show that the OLS estimates can be obtained by elementary algebra, without using calculus. Note that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \mathbf{x}_t)^2 = S_{yy} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \mathbf{S}_{xx} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - 2\mathbf{S}_{yx}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{yy} &= \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2, \\ \mathbf{S}_{xx} &= \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t', \\ \mathbf{S}_{yx} &= \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t y_t. \end{aligned}$$

Since S_{yy} does not depend on $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, it suffices to minimize the quantity $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \mathbf{S}_{xx} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - 2\mathbf{S}_{yx}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ with respect to $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. As in the case of the univariate regression, we do so by “completing the square”.

Consider the function $Q(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{b}' \mathbf{z}$, where \mathbf{z} is an k -dimensional vector, \mathbf{A} is a symmetric and invertible $k \times k$ matrix, and \mathbf{b} is an k -dimensional vector. Note that

$$(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c})' \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{z}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{c}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{c}$$

and so if we choose \mathbf{c} so that $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{b}' \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ we obtain

$$(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b})' \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b})' \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

and so the function $Q(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{z}$ is minimized when $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

Therefore, the quantity $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}'\mathbf{S}_{xx}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - 2\mathbf{S}_{yx}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is minimized for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{S}_{xx}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{yx}$ and so the OLS estimate of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{S}_{xx}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{yx} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t y_t \right).$$

The more frequently used form of the OLS estimate, based on vector-matrix notation, is obtained as follows. Let

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1' \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_T' \end{bmatrix}.$$

It then follows that $\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t y_t = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ and $\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ and, therefore, we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}.$$

Asymptotic Normality of OLS Estimators

Consider the linear regression model

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{\gamma}' \mathbf{z}_t + u_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where the parameter $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and the regressor \mathbf{z}_t are k -dimensional vectors. We assume that $\mathbb{E}[u_t] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t u_t] = \mathbf{0}_k$, that the moment matrices $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t']$ and $\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t') u_t^2]$ are finite, and denote $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[u_t^2] = \mathbb{V}[u_t]$. The OLS estimator of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t y_t \right) = \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t u_t \right).$$

Hence,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t u_t \right).$$

Since $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'$ converges to $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t']$ in probability and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{z}_t u_t$ converges in distribution to a multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}_k$ and covariance matrix $\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t') u_t^2]$, we have that $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ converges in distribution to a multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}_k$ and covariance matrix $(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t') u_t^2] \cdot (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1}$.

Note that if \mathbf{z}_t and u_t are assumed to be independent, then the covariance matrix simplifies to $\sigma^2 \cdot (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1}$.

Let us now specialize to the case in which there is an intercept and only one pure regressor x_t . Then $k = 2$ and $\mathbf{z}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_t \end{bmatrix}'$. It follows that

$$(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ \mathbb{E}[x_t] & \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} (\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t') (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_t \\ x_t & x_t^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t & \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t^2 \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] + x_t & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t + x_t^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]^2} \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - x_t \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2 & -(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t) \\ -(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t) & (x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t') u_t^2] \cdot (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]^2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - x_t \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2 u_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t)) u_t^2] \\ -\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t)) u_t^2] & \mathbb{E}[(x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2 u_t^2] \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that x_t and u_t are independent, we further have

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_t \mathbb{E}[x_t] - \mathbb{E}[x_t^2])^2 u_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[(x_t \mathbb{E}[x_t] - \mathbb{E}[x_t^2])^2] \mathbb{E}[u_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] \cdot \mathbb{V}[x_t] \cdot \sigma^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t)) u_t^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[x_t] - x_t)(\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[x_t] x_t)) \mathbb{E}[u_t^2]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[x_t] \cdot \mathbb{V}[x_t] \cdot \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2 u_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[(x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t])^2] \mathbb{E}[u_t^2] = \mathbb{V}[x_t] \cdot \sigma^2,$$

and the covariance matrix $\sigma^2 \cdot (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_t \mathbf{z}_t'])^{-1}$ simplifies to

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\mathbb{V}[x_t]} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_t^2] & -\mathbb{E}[x_t] \\ -\mathbb{E}[x_t] & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Univariate OLS: Unbiased Estimator of Error Variance

Consider the univariate linear regression model

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + u_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

where the regressors are non-stochastic (fixed) and the disturbances have zero mean and are uncorrelated and homoscedastic with variance equal to σ^2 , i.e., $\mathbb{E}[u_t] = 0$, $\mathbb{C}[u_t, u_s] = 0$, $t \neq s$, and $\mathbb{V}[u_t] = \mathbb{E}[u_t^2] = \sigma^2$. We will show that

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2$$

is an unbiased estimator of the disturbance variance σ^2 , where $\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{y}_t$, $\hat{y}_t = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_t$, and $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are the OLS estimators of α and β , respectively. The OLS estimates $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(x_t - \bar{x})}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2}, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x},$$

which can also be expressed as

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum_{t=1}^T w_t y_t, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \sum_{t=1}^T q_t y_t,$$

where

$$w_t = \frac{x_t - \bar{x}}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2}, \quad q_t = \frac{1}{T} - \bar{x} \cdot w_t.$$

The following properties are easily verified:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T w_t = 0, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T w_t x_t = 1, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T q_t = 1, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T q_t x_t = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{t=1}^T w_t^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2}, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T q_t^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2}, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T q_t w_t = \frac{-\bar{x}}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2}.$$

Using these properties, we observe that

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum_{t=1}^T w_t y_t = \sum_{t=1}^T w_t (\alpha + \beta x_t + u_t) = \beta + \sum_{t=1}^T w_t u_t,$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{t=1}^T q_t y_t = \sum_{t=1}^T q_t (\alpha + \beta x_t + u_t) = \alpha + \sum_{t=1}^T q_t u_t.$$

Note that the residuals can be expressed as

$$\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{y}_t = y_t - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_t) = (\alpha + \beta x_t + u_t) - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_t),$$

or equivalently

$$\hat{u}_t = - \left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right] + u_t.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{u}_t^2 = \left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right]^2 + u_t^2 - 2 \left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right] u_t$$

where

$$(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t = \left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s u_s \right) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s u_s \right) x_t.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right] u_t \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s u_s \right) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s u_s \right) x_t \right] u_t \right] \\ &= (q_t + w_t x_t) \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right] u_t \right] = \sum_{t=1}^T (q_t + w_t x_t) \sigma^2 = (1 + 1) \sigma^2 = 2 \sigma^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s u_s \right) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s u_s \right) x_t \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s u_s \right)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s u_s \right)^2 \right] \cdot x_t^2 + 2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s u_s \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s u_s \right) \right] \cdot x_t \\
&= \sigma^2 \left[\left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s^2 \right) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^T w_s^2 \right) \cdot x_t^2 + 2 \cdot \left(\sum_{s=1}^T q_s w_s \right) \cdot x_t \right] \\
&= \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} - 2 \frac{\bar{x} \cdot x_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) x_t \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} - 2 \frac{T \cdot \bar{x}^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} \right], \\
&= 2\sigma^2 \left[\frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2 - \bar{x}^2}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (x_t - \bar{x})^2} \right] \\
&= 2\sigma^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [u_t^2] = T\sigma^2$ and so

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} [\hat{u}_t^2] = 2 \cdot \sigma^2 + T \cdot \sigma^2 - 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \sigma^2 = (T - 2) \cdot \sigma^2$$

which yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2 \right] = \sigma^2.$$

R^2 : a goodness of fit measure

Consider the linear regression model

$$y_t = \beta' \mathbf{x}_t + u_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where the parameter β and the regressor \mathbf{x}_t are k -dimensional vectors. The regressor \mathbf{x}_t includes an intercept. In vector-matrix form, the system is written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{u}.$$

The OLS estimator of β is

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

and the vector of residuals is

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}.$$

The R^2 is defined as the square of the correlation between the actual data \mathbf{y} and the fitted data $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}$, that is

$$R^2 = \frac{\left[\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y}) (\hat{y}_t - \bar{\hat{y}}) \right]^2}{\left[\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2 \right] \left[\sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{\hat{y}})^2 \right]},$$

where the averages \bar{y} and $\bar{\hat{y}}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t, \\ \bar{\hat{y}} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{y}_t. \end{aligned}$$

First, we show that $\bar{\hat{y}} = \bar{y}$. Note that the matrix \mathbf{X} can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_T & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_T$ is the $T \times 1$ vector of ones and \mathbf{Z} is the $T \times (k-1)$ matrix of “pure” regressors.

The vector of residuals is expressed

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \left[\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\right]\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}$$

where \mathbf{I}_T is the $T \times T$ identity matrix and

$$\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'.$$

Note that $\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X) = \mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{0}_{k \times T}$ and so $\mathbf{X}'\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{0}_k$. This implies that $\mathbf{1}_T'\hat{\mathbf{u}} = 0$, or $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t = \bar{\hat{u}} = 0$. Since $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, we have $\bar{y} = \bar{\hat{y}}$. It follows that

$$R^2 = \frac{\left[\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_t - \bar{y})\right]^2}{\left[\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2\right] \left[\sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{y})^2\right]}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2 &= (\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T), \\ \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{y})^2 &= (\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_t - \bar{y}) = (\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T).$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T = \left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y}$$

where \mathbf{J}_T is the $T \times T$ matrix of ones, and

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T = \left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y}.$$

The matrices \mathbf{J}_T and \mathbf{P}_X are symmetric and have the following properties $\mathbf{J}_T\mathbf{J}_T = T\mathbf{J}_T$, $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_X$ and $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$. From the last property it follows that $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{1}_T = \mathbf{1}_T$ and so $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{J}_T = \mathbf{J}_T$. Hence, the matrices $\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T$, $\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T$, and $\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X$ are idempotent, i.e.,

$$\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right) = \mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right) &= \mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T \\ (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X)(\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X) &= \mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X.\end{aligned}$$

Using the above properties, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2 &= (\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T) \\ &= \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{y})^2 &= (\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T), \\ &= \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_t - \bar{y}) &= (\mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T)'(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_T) \\ &= \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y}.\end{aligned}$$

The total sum of squares is defined as

$$TSS \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2 = \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y},$$

the explained sum of squares is defined as

$$ESS = \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{y})^2 = \mathbf{y}'\left(\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{J}_T\right)\mathbf{y},$$

and the residual sum of squares as

$$RSS = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}$$

we have

$$RSS = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = \mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X) (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y}.$$

It follows that

$$TSS = ESS + RSS$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 &= \frac{[\mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{J}_T) \mathbf{y}]^2}{[\mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{J}_T) \mathbf{y}] [\mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{J}_T) \mathbf{y}]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{P}_X - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{J}_T) \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}' (\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{J}_T) \mathbf{y}} = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that ESS and RSS are both nonnegative quantities and so $0 \leq ESS \leq TSS$ and $0 \leq RSS \leq TSS$. Equivalently, R^2 takes values between 0 and 1. If the regression provides a perfect fit, then $RSS = 0 \Leftrightarrow ESS = TSS \Leftrightarrow R^2 = 1$. If the regression does not have any explanatory power, then $ESS = 0 \Leftrightarrow R^2 = 0$.