

Streamlining Data Coding: GPT Automation of Human Responses in Behavioral Sciences

Background and Objective

Coding experimental data is an essential part of quantitative analysis in behavioral sciences, but this process can be resource-intensive. Researchers have to be trained and dedicate many hours to code verbal responses. By increasing the number of researchers, the time it takes and the burden on individuals is reduced. However, this is not always feasible, especially for smaller labs. OpenAI’s GPT has the potential to transform data coding because it does not require a massive data set to train an ML algorithm. This study examines the effectiveness of GPT for the idea units coding relative to trained human coders.

Method

Behavioral Experiment: Undergraduate participants at ISU watched a lecture video about a STEM topic. They were instructed to take notes while watching the video by typing their notes into the computer. For the lecture video, we identified a total of ~72 idea units. Four undergraduate research assistants were trained to code the note-taking data for the presence/absence of each idea unit. We then compared the results of human coding to GPT’s coding.

Prompt Engineering:

1. Researchers engineered initial prompt and asked GPT to scored a small set of data.
2. GPT produced coding. Researchers identified errors, asked GPT for explanations, and then modified the prompt/rubric or asked GPT for prompt modification suggestions.
3. GPT coded data with the modified prompt.
4. Repeat 1-3.

Program: A bespoke program is written for automated data scoring. User uploads two CSV files: A data file that contained all subjects’ notes and a rubric file that contains all of the idea units to be identified. The program goes interfaces with OpenAI’s GPT API and records GPT’s data coding output into a CSV file. In addition, the program identifies missing outputs from GPT and requests GPT to re-code until no missing data remain.

Procedure

