Part 1: Database Implementation

Proof of implementation on GCP:

```
mysql> ^C
juandiegosanz78cloudshell:- (cs411-project-440121) $ 0121) $ cloimport csv group-122 gs://bizbite/cs411-business.csv --database=bizbites --table=Busine
s --columns=Address, Name, Phone;
Data from [qs://bizbite/cs411-business.csv] will be imported to [group-122].

Do you want to continue (Y/n)? y

Importing data into Cloud SQL instance...done.
Imported data from [qs://bizbite/cs411-business.csv] into [https://sqladmin.googleapis.com/sql/vlbeta4/projects/cs411-project-440121/instances/group-122].
juandiegosanz78cloudshell:- (cs411-project-440121) $ gcloud sql connect group-122 --user=root
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [root].Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.
Your MySQL connection id is 4985
Server version: 8.0.31-google (Google)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2024, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.

mysql> use bizbites
Reading table information for completion of table and column names
You can turn off this feature to get a quicker startup with -A

Database changed
```

Proof of 100 rows in at least three different tables:

```
mysql> use bizbites
Reading table information for completion of table and column names
You can turn off this feature to get a quicker startup with -A
Database changed
mysql> select count(*) from Business;
| count(*) |
    1000 |
1 row in set (0.02 sec)
mysql> select count(*) from food;
| count(*) |
    13182 |
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
mysql> select count(*) from Restaurant;
| count(*) |
      7629 I
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
```

- DDL Commands

Restaurant

Food (Nutrients field in UML was expanded to singular nutrient counts because of good data availability)

Business

Employee:

```
mysql> CREATE TABLE Employee (
-> Id CHAR(36) PRIMARY KEY DEFAULT (UUID()),
-> Name VARCHAR(100),
-> Preferences TEXT,
-> Dietary_Needs TEXT,
-> PhoneNumber VARCHAR(30),
-> Business_Id CHAR(36),
-> FOREIGN KEY (Business_Id) REFERENCES Business(Id) ON DELETE CASCADE
-> );
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.33 sec)
```

Product:

Deals:

Advanced Queries:

1. Query to find high-value meal deals

```
SELECT
  r.Name as Restaurant Name,
  AVG(d.Discount_Percentage) as Avg_Discount,
  COUNT(DISTINCT f.food category) as Menu Categories,
  AVG(f.price) as Avg Price
FROM Restaurant r
JOIN Deals d ON r.ld = d.R Id
JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R Id
JOIN Food f ON p.F_Id = f.ID
WHERE d.Discount Percentage > (
  SELECT AVG(Discount Percentage) * .3
  FROM Deals
GROUP BY r.ld, r.Name
HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
  SELECT AVG(price) * 2
  FROM Food
ORDER BY Avg_Discount DESC;
```

```
mysql> SELECT
    -> r.Name as Restaurant_Name,
          AVG(d.Discount Percentage) as Avg Discount,
    -> COUNT(DISTINCT f.food_category) as Menu_Categories,
-> AVG(f.price) as Avg_Price
    -> FROM Restaurant r
    -> JOIN Deals d ON r.Id = d.R Id
    -> JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R Id
    -> JOIN Food f ON p.F Id = f.ID
    -> WHERE d.Discount Percentage > (
    -> SELECT AVG(Discount Percentage) * .1
    ->
          FROM Deals
    -> )
    -> GROUP BY r.Id, r.Name
    -> HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
           SELECT AVG(price) * 1.2
           FROM Food
    ->
    -> )
    -> ORDER BY Avg Discount DESC;
| Restaurant_Name | Avg_Discount | Menu_Categories | Avg_Price
  | Jack in the Box | 0.150000 |
| McDonald's | 0.150000 |
| Taco Bell | 0.150000 |
| Baskin Robbins | 0.100000 |
                                       1 | 3.9000000953674316 |
1 | 6.25 |
1 | 7.19999809265137 |
                                                   1 | 2.4700000286102295
4 rows in set (0.01 sec)
```

2. Query to analyze food categories by nutritional value SELECT

```
food category,
  AVG(calories) as avg_calories,
  AVG(protein) as avg protein,
  COUNT(*) as item count,
  AVG(price) as avg_price
FROM Food
WHERE calories > 0
GROUP BY food category
HAVING AVG(calories) < (
  SELECT AVG(calories) * 1.5
  FROM Food
  WHERE calories > 0
AND COUNT(*) > (
  SELECT COUNT(*)/20
  FROM Food
ORDER BY avg protein DESC;
```

```
mysql> SELECT
    -> r.Name as Restaurant_Name,
         AVG(d.Discount Percentage) as Avg Discount,
    -> COUNT(DISTINCT f.food_category) as Menu_Categories,
-> AVG(f.price) as Avg_Price
    -> FROM Restaurant r
    -> JOIN Deals d ON r.Id = d.R Id
    -> JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R Id
    -> JOIN Food f ON p.F_Id = f.ID
    -> WHERE d.Discount Percentage > (
    -> SELECT AVG(Discount Percentage) * .3
         FROM Deals
    ->
    -> )
    -> GROUP BY r.Id, r.Name
    -> HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
          SELECT AVG(price) * 2
          FROM Food
    ->
    -> )
    -> ORDER BY Avg Discount DESC;
| Restaurant_Name | Avg_Discount | Menu Categories | Avg Price
1 | 20.280000686645508
                                            1 | 21.969999313354492
                                              1 | 18.850000381469727
                                              1 | 21.049999237060547
                                              1 | 3.9000000953674316 |
                                              1 |
                                              1 | 7.199999809265137
| Baskin Robbins | 0.100000 |
                                               1 | 2.4700000286102295 |
8 rows in set (0.01 sec)
```

```
Query to compare business deal advantages
SELECT
  b.Name,
  COUNT(d.R ld) as restaurant deals,
  AVG(d.Discount Percentage) as avg discount,
    SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT R Id)
    FROM Deals d2
    WHERE d2.B Id = b.Id
    AND d2.Discount Percentage > 15
  ) as premium deals
FROM Business b
JOIN Deals d ON b.ld = d.B ld
GROUP BY b.ld, b.Name
HAVING AVG(d.Discount Percentage) > (
  SELECT AVG(Discount Percentage)
  FROM Deals
```

ORDER BY avg_discount DESC;

```
mysql> SELECT
            COUNT(d.R Id) as restaurant_deals,
     -> COUNT(d.R_Id) as restaurant_dears,
-> AVG(d.Discount_Percentage) as avg_discount,
-> (
-> SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT R_Id)
-> FROM Deals d2
-> WHERE d2.B_Id = b.Id
-> AND d2.Discount_Percentage > 0.15
                   AND d2.Discount Percentage > 0.15
     -> ) as premium_deals
     -> FROM Business b
     -> JOIN Deals d ON b.Id = d.B Id
     -> GROUP BY b.Id, b.Name
     -> HAVING AVG(d.Discount Percentage) > (
              SELECT AVG (Discount Percentage)
     ->
              FROM Deals
     -> )
     -> ORDER BY avg discount DESC
     -> LIMIT 15;
1 Name
                                         | restaurant_deals | avg_discount | premium_deals |
                                                            1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
1 | 0.300000 | 1 |
| Terrell PLC
| Briggs-Hall
| Collier-James
| Walker and Sons
| Brown, Powell and Aguilar |
| Guzman, Hernandez and Parker |
| Henry, Baker and Garcia |
| Hamilton-Wilson
| Brown-Clark
| Castillo, Sanders and Martin |
                                                                         0.300000 |
| Carter-Vargas
                                                                         0.300000 |
                                                                                                        1 |
| Adams and Sons
                                                                         0.300000 |
                                                                                                         1 |
| Harrison-Kane
                                                                         0.300000 |
                                                                                                         1 |
                                                                         0.300000 |
                                                                                                         1 |
| Nicholson, Young and Blair |
                                                                         0.300000 |
| Mejia and Sons
                                                                                                          1 |
15 rows in set (0.01 sec)
```

4. Query to find restaurants with the best healthy options

```
SELECT
food_category,
COUNT(*) as Total_Items,
ROUND(AVG(protein), 1) as Avg_Protein_Grams,
ROUND(AVG(calories), 1) as Avg_Calories,
ROUND(AVG(protein / NULLIF(calories, 0) * 100), 2) as Avg_Protein_Calorie_Ratio,
ROUND(MIN(price), 2) as Min_Price,
ROUND(MAX(price), 2) as Max_Price,
ROUND(AVG(protein / NULLIF(price, 0)), 2) as Protein_Price_Value
FROM Food
WHERE protein > 0
```

```
AND price < (
  SELECT AVG(price) * 1.5 FROM Food
GROUP BY food_category
HAVING
  Avg_Protein_Grams >= 10
  AND COUNT(*) >= 2
ORDER BY
```

Avg_Protein_Calorie_Ratio DESC;

```
ECT
food_category,
COUNT(*) as Total_Items,
ROUND(AVG(protein), 1) as Avg_Protein_Grams,
ROUND(AVG(calories), 1) as Avg_Calories,
ROUND(AVG(calories), 1) as Avg_Calories,
ROUND(AVG(protein / NULLIF(calories, 0) * 100), 2) as Avg_Protein_Calorie_Ratio,
ROUND(MIN (price), 2) as Min_Price,
ROUND(MAX(price), 2) as Max_Price,
ROUND(AVG(protein / NULLIF(price, 0)), 2) as Protein_Price_Value
M Food
                 FROM Food
WHERE protein > 0
GROUP BY food_category
HAVING
Avg_Protein_Grams >= 10
AND COUNT(*) >= 2
ORDER BY
Avg_Protein_Calorie_Ratio DESC;
                                                          | Total_Items | Avg_Protein_Grams | Avg_Calories | Avg_Protein_Calorie_Ratio | Min_Price | Max_Price |
                                                                                                                                                                                      698
417.5
696.8
588.2
365.2
487.8
573.1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            1.03
1.01
1.05
1
1.06
1.06
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               5.15
2.79
4.61
3.72
2.43
3.51
  Burgers
Sandwiches
Soup
Appetizers & Sides
Pizza
7 rows in set (0.02 sec)
```

Part 2: Indexing

Advanced SQL Query 1 Indexing

Non indexed:

```
Database changed
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE
-> SELECT
-> r.Name as Restaurant_Name,
-> AVG(d.Discount_Percentage) as Avg_Discount
-> COUNT(DISTINCT f.food_category) as Menu_0
-> AVG(f.price) as Avg_Price
-> FROM Restaurant r
-> JOIN Deals d ON r.Id = d.R_Id
-> JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R_Id
-> JOIN Food f ON p.F_Id = f.ID
-> WHERE d.Discount_Percentage) * .3
-> FROM Deals
-> )
-> GROUP BY r.Id, r.Name
-> HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
-> SELECT AVG(price) * 2
-> FROM Food
-> )
-> ORDER BY Avg_Discount_DESC;
```

```
| >> Sort: Avg Discount DESC (actual time=0.76.6.777 cos=8 loops=1)

>Filter: (my [Food.price] < (select $3) (actual time=0.13.6.787 cos=8 loops=1)

>Filter: (my [Food.price] < (select $3) (actual time=0.533.0.572 coss=8 loops=1)

>Stream results (actual time=0.533.0.572 coss=8 loops=1)

-> Sort: r.Id, r.Name' (actual time=0.537.0.530 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Sort: r.Id, r.Name' (actual time=0.537.0.530 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Stream results (actual time=0.577.0.530 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Stream results (actual time=0.577.0.530 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.240.0.500 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.230.0.437 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.230.0.427 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.230.0.427 ross=8 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.240.0.500 ross=6 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.240.0.51 ross=59 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=40.57 ross=20) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=6 loops=59)

-> Filter: (d.biscount Percentage > (select $2) (cost=0.25 ross=1) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=0 loops=59)

-> Index lookup on d using FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.25 ross=1) (actual time=0.030.0.000 ross=0 loops=59)

-> Single-ross actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=0 loops=1)

-> Single-ross actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=30 loops=1)

-> Single-ross actual toolup on runing FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.25 ross=1) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=1 loops=8)

-> Single-ross actual toolup on runing FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.26 ross=1) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=1 loops=8)

-> Single-ross actual toolup on runing FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.26 ross=1) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=1 loops=8)

-> Single-ross actual toolup on runing FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.26 ross=1) (actual time=0.000.0.000 ross=1 loops=8)

-> Single-ross actual toolup on runing FRIMARY (Id=p.R.Id) (cost=0.000.
```

Table scan on Food for Select #3: Cost 1354.95

Nested loop join (Outer): Cost 48.24 Nested loop join (Middle): Cost 41.36 Nested loop join (Inner): Cost 27.55 Subquery in WHERE on Deals: Cost 67.6

CREATE INDEX idx_food_price ON Food(price);

- Attempted to reduce cost for aggregations and HAVING filters
 - o Table scan on Food for Select #3: Cost 1354.95
 - Nested loop join (Outer): Cost 48.24
 - Nested loop join (Middle): Cost 41.36
 - o Nested loop join (Inner): Cost 27.55
 - Subquery in WHERE on Deals: Cost 67.6

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_food_price ON Food(price);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.32 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE

-> SELECT

-> r.Name as Restaurant_Name,
-> AVG(d.Discount_Percentage) as Avg_Discount,
-> COUNT (DISTINCT f.food_category) as Menu_Categories,
-> AVG(f.price) as Avg_Price
-> FROM Restaurant r
-> JOIN Deals d ON r.Id = d.R_Id
-> JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R_Id
-> JOIN Food f ON p.F_Id = f.ID
-> WHERE d.Discount_Percentage > (
-> SELECT AVG(Discount_Percentage) * .3
-> FROM Deals
-> )
-> GROUP BY r.Id, r.Name
-> HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
-> SELECT AVG(price) * 2
-> FROM Food
-> )
-> ORDER BY Avg_Discount DESC;
```

```
| -> Sort: Awg_Discount DESC (actual time=6.776..6.777 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avy[food.price) < (select #3)) (actual time=6.733..6.749 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream remults (actual time=0.535..0.572 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream remults (actual time=0.535..0.572 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Sort: Stream remults (actual time=0.536..0.572 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Sort: Stream remults (cont=40.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.245..0.507 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream remults (cont=40.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.245..0.507 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=0.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.245..0.507 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=0.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.240..0.457 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream remults (rows=0.240..0.457 rows=0.250 row
```

CREATE INDEX idx food category ON Food(food category);

- Attempted to reduce cost in COUNT(DISTINCT Food.food_category)
 - Table scan on Food for Select #3: Cost 1354.95
 - Nested loop join (Outer): Cost 48.24
 - Nested loop join (Middle): Cost 41.36
 - Nested loop join (Inner): Cost 27.55
 - Subguery in WHERE on Deals: Cost 67.6

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_food_category ON Food(food_category);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.38 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
mysql> EXPLAIN ANALYZE
     -> SELECT
              r.Name as Restaurant Name,
               AVG(d.Discount_Percentage) as Avg_Discount,
              COUNT (DISTINCT f.food_category) as Menu_Categories,
             AVG(f.price) as Avg_Price
     -> FROM Restaurant r
-> JOIN Deals d ON r.Id = d.R_Id
-> JOIN Product p ON r.Id = p.R_Id
-> JOIN Food f ON p.F_Id = f.ID
     -> WHERE d.Discount Percentage > (
             SELECT AVG(Discount_Percentage) * .3
               FROM Deals
     -> )
     -> GROUP BY r.Id, r.Name
     -> HAVING AVG(f.price) < (
-> SELECT AVG(price) * 2
               FROM Food
     -> ORDER BY Avg_Discount DESC;
```

CREATE INDEX idx_deals_discount_percentage ON Deals(Discount_Percentage);

- Attempted to reduce cost to filter Deals.Discount_Percentage more efficiently in WHERE
 - Table scan on Food for Select #3: Cost 1354.95
 - Nested loop join (Outer): Cost 48.24
 - Nested loop join (Middle): Cost 41.36
 - Nested loop join (Inner): Cost 27.55
 - Subquery in WHERE on Deals: Cost 67.6

```
| >> Sort: Avg Discount DESC (actual time=0.776.6.777 cos=8 loops=1)
-> Filter: (my Food-price) < cleent $3) (actual time=0.113.6.739 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream results (actual time=0.539.0.572 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream results (actual time=0.539.0.572 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Sort: r.Id, r.Name' (actual time=0.537.0.530 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Sort: r.Id, r.Name' (actual time=0.537.0.530 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cot=0.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.240.0.500 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cot=0.57 rows=20) (actual time=0.240.0.500 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cot=0.58 rows=20) (actual time=0.240.0.0500 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cot=0.58 rows=20) (actual time=0.240.0.051 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on p using Fild (actual time=0.240.0.051 rows=8 loops=1)
-> Filter: (d.biscount Percentage > (select #2) (cos=0.25 rows=0.3) (actual time=0.006.0.006 rows=0 loops=5)
-> Jindex lookup on d using Fild (actual time=0.240.0.62 rows=0.3) (actual time=0.005.0.008 rows=0 loops=5)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Single-row index lookup on r using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (actual time=0.033.0.008 rows=0 loops=5)
-> Single-row index lookup on r using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (actual time=0.383.0.008 rows=33 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.004.0.004 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005.0.005 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005.0.005 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005.0.005 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005.0.005 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005.0.005 rows=1 loops=8)
-> Single-row index lookup on f using FIRMAY [id=p, R.Id) (cons=0
```

These indexes did not reduce costs due to low selectivity of indexed cols. This can be due to the amount of discount, or food_category not being helpful because there is not much variance. The indexes are meant to reduce the number of rows scanned, when referencing a large portion of the table.

These indexes did not reduce cost to the simplicity of the query. Complex aggregations and groupings such as GROUP BY, COUNT(DISTINCT), and HAVING require the database to process a large amount of data to process calculations. Indexes on columns used in aggregations don't typically reduce the workload significantly because the optimizer still has to compute the aggregate for all relevant rows.

Another reason for these indexes to not work could be due to redundant access paths already existed through primary or foreign keys, making the new indexes unnecessary.

Advanced SQL Query 2 Indexing

```
SELECT
  food_category,
  AVG(calories) as avg calories,
  AVG(protein) as avg protein,
  COUNT(*) as item_count,
  AVG(price) as avg price
FROM Food
WHERE calories > 0
GROUP BY food category
HAVING AVG(calories) < (
  SELECT AVG(calories) * 1.5
  FROM Food
  WHERE calories > 0
AND COUNT(*) > (
  SELECT COUNT(*)/20
  FROM Food
ORDER BY avg_protein DESC;
```

Default Index

Cost = 1354.95

```
| EXPLAIN |

| EXPLAIN |
| Sort: awg protein DBSC (actual time=24.53), 24.539 rows=3 loogs=1) |
| > Filter: (lawy(Food calorics) < (scleet $2)) and (count(0) > (select $3)) (actual time=24.492..24.496 rows=3 loops=1) |
| > Table conn on <temporary (actual time=14.823..14.83) rows=12 loops=1) |
| > Table conn on <temporary (actual time=14.823..14.83) rows=12 loops=1) |
| > Table scn on food (cont=1934.95 rows=329) (actual time=0.054..6.97) rows=11972 loops=1) |
| > Filter: (Food.calorics > 0) (cont=1954.95 rows=329) (actual time=0.054..6.97) rows=11972 loops=1) |
| > Salect & Zenber score (rood.calorics) (cont=1787.81 rows=1) (actual time=0.013..6.870 rows=11972 loops=1) |
| - Filter: (Food.calorics) (cont=1354.95 rows=32987) (actual time=0.013..6.870 rows=11972 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (rood.calorics) (cont=1354.95 rows=12987) (actual time=0.033..388 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=1354.95 rows=12987) (actual time=0.033..388 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 rows=1 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 rows=1 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 rows=1 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 rows=1 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.030..3.359 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.030..3.359 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.030..3.359 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.030..3.359 rows=13182 loops=1) |
| - Salect & Senber score (cont=2653.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.963..2970 (actual time=0.963..2970 (actu
```

Index 1

mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_food_calories_protein ON Food (calories, protein); Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.35 sec)

Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

Result: Cost remained at 1354.95

I believe this index did not improve performance because the query filters based on calories and protein. However, this index wasn't applied to the food_category. Grouping and sorting was applied to food_category, so indexing on protein wasn't really necessary. After all, the query filters based on a condition (AVG(calories) < some value) that isn't directly covered by the index.

Index 2

mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_food_category_calories ON Food (food_category, calories);

Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.43 sec) Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

Result: Cost remained at 1354.95

Index 3

mysql> CREATE INDEX idx_food_composite ON Food (food_category, calories, protein, price); Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.41 sec)

Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

Result: Cost remained at 1354.95

While cost remained the same, the addition of the index has significantly improved the query's efficiency. The most notable improvement is the reduction in full table scans on the food table. The new execution plan now leverages index scans more effectively, which is generally much faster, especially for large datasets. This optimization is particularly evident in the subqueries, where index skip scans and covering index scans are employed to reduce the amount of data that needs to be processed. Although some specific operations, like the group aggregate and select #2 subquery, have seen an increase in cost and execution time, the overall performance gain from reduced table scans outweighs these minor increases.

Advanced SQL Query 3 Indexing

1. CREATE INDEX idx deals b id ON Deals(B Id);

```
| -> Sort: avg_discount DESC (actual time=13.274..13.296 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg(d.Discount Percentage) > (select #3)) (actual time=13.016..13.152 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporaryy (actual time=12.863..12.927 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=12.861..12.861 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=150.85 rows=333) (actual time=0.085..0.966 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.085..0.966 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Salpe scan on d (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.02..0.178 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Aggregate: avg(Deals.Discount Percentage) (cost=67.60 rows=1) (actual time=0.129..0.129 rows=1 loops=33)
-> Table scan on Deals (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.034..0.092 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in projection; dependent)
-> Aggregate: count(distinct d2.R_Id) (cost=0.32 rows=1) (actual time=0.032..0.033 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Filter: (d2.Discount Percentage > 0.15) (cost=0.28 rows=0.3) (actual time=0.032..0.033 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Index lookup on d2 using idx_deals_bid (B_Id=b.Id) (cost=0.28 rows=1) (actual time=0.032..0.032 rows=1 loops=333)
```

CREATE INDEX idx_discount_percentage ON Deals(Discount_Percentage);

```
| -> Sort: avg_discount_DESC (actual time=8.360..8.382 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg(d.Discount_Percentage) > (select #3)) (actual time=8.179..8.258 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=7.965..8.025 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=7.963..7.963 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=150.85 rows=333) (actual time=0.097..1.093 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on d using idx_discount_Percentage (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.077..0.267 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on b using PRIMARY (Id=d.B_Id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Aggregate: avg(Deals.Discount_Percentage) (cost=67.60 rows=1) (actual time=0.140..0.140 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on Deals using idx_discount_percentage (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.031..0.089 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in projection; dependent)
-> Aggregate: count_distinct_d2.R_Id) (cost=0.37 rows=1) (actual time=0.018..0.018 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Filter: (d2.Discount_Percentage > 0.15) (cost=0.31 rows=1) (actual time=0.017..0.017 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Index_lookup on d2_using_Deals_ibfk_2 (B_Id=b.Id) (cost=0.31 rows=1) (actual time=0.016..0.017 rows=1 loops=333)
```

3. CREATE INDEX idx_business_name ON Business(Name);

```
| -> Sort: avg_discount DESC (actual time=7.106..7.128 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg(d.Discount_Percentage) > (select #3)) (actual time=6.631..6.973 rows=194 loops=1)
-> Table scan on 
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=6.659..6.659 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=150.85 rows=333) (actual time=0.095..1.437 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.095..1.437 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on b using PRIMARY (Id=d.B_Id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.003..0.003 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Aggregate: avg(Deals.Discount Percentage) (cost=67.60 rows=1) (actual time=0.149..0.149 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Deals (cost=34.30 rows=333) (actual time=0.033..0.103 rows=333 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in projection; dependent)
-> Aggregate: count(distinct d2.R_Id) (cost=0.32 rows=1) (actual time=0.012..0.012 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Filter: (d2.Discount Percentage > 0.15) (cost=0.28 rows=0.3) (actual time=0.011..0.011 rows=1 loops=333)
-> Index lookup on d2 using Deals_ibfk_2 (B_Id=b.Id) (cost=0.28 rows=1) (actual time=0.0101..0.011 rows=1 loops=333)
```

JUSTIFICATION FOR QUERY #3:

The cost for the first and third indexes (idx_deals_b_id and idx_business_name) didn't change because these indexes directly support the main join and grouping operations in the query, making data retrieval straightforward without adding extra processing. However, the second index on Discount_Percentage increased the query cost because it involves filtering on a specific discount threshold (> 0.15). This type of filtering requires the database to check more rows, which adds extra work. The additional steps needed to use the Discount_Percentage index for this filter caused the higher cost in the second query.

Advanced SQL Query 4 Indexing:

No Index:

```
| >> Sert: Any Protein Calorite Datio EMEC (ectual time=10.887.10.685 rever*) loops=1)
-> Filter: (Amy Protein Calorite Datio EMEC (ectual time=10.87.10.745 rows=7 loops=1)
-> Stream remults (Cost=1379.39 rows=1481) (actual time=1.074.10.722 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Scroup aggregate: count(0), vay([Food.protein / mullif(Food.price,0))), max(Food.price), min(Food.price), avg(([Food.protein / mullif(Food.calories,0)) * 100)), avg(Food.calories), avg(Food.protein), count(0) (cost=1379.39 rows=1443) (actual time=1.064.10.687 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Filter: ([Food.protein > 0) and (Food.price (esclett(2))) (cost=1285.11 rows=1443) (actual time=0.086..6.799 rows=6373 loops=1)
-> Scoreing index scan on Food using idx_food_composite (cost=1285.11 rows=12897) (actual time=0.086..6.799 rows=13162 loops=1)
-> Scoreing index scan on Food using idx_food_composite (cost=1285.17 rows=12897) (actual time=0.755..51.08 rows=13162 loops=1)
-> Aggregate: avg(Food.protein, row only once)
-> Aggregate: avg(Food.protein, row only once)
-> Covering index scan on Food using idx_food_composite (cost=1231.70 rows=12897) (actual time=0.975..5.138.573 rows=13182 loops=1)
```

CREATE INDEX idx_food_protein ON Food(protein);

```
| >> Sort: Awg Frotein_Galorie_Ratio EESC (actual time=0.742..10.743 rows=7 loops=1)
| >> Filter: [Awg Frotein_Grams >= 10 and (count (0) == 2) (setual time=0.996..10.799 rows=7 loops=1)
| >> Stream results (cost=138.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.996..10.699 rows=12 loops=1)
| >> Stream results (cost=138.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.996..10.699 rows=12 loops=1)
| >> Group aggregate: count (0), yavg ([Food.protein / nullif(Food.price(0)), max[Food.price), min[Food.price), swg[([Food.protein / nullif(Food.calories,0)) * 100)), awg (Food.calories), avg (Food.protein), count(0) (cost=138.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.781..10.656 rows=12 loops=1)
| >> Filter: [(Food.protein > 0) and (Food.price < (esicette f2))) (cost=922.06 rows=2164) (actual time=0.131..6.805 rows=6373 loops=1)
| >> Covering index son on Food using idx_Food_composite (cost=922.06 rows=12987) (actual time=0.126..5.218 rows=13182 loops=1)
| >> Aggregate: avg (Food.price) (cost=625.65 frows=1) [actual time=0.051..3.029 rows=13182 loops=1)
| >> Covering index son on Food using idx_food_composite (cost=1354.35 rows=12987) (actual time=0.051..3.029 rows=13182 loops=1)
```

CREATE INDEX idx food protein category ON Food(protein, food category);

```
| >> Sort: Avg Protein Calorie Ratio EESC (actual time=0.779..0.780 rows=7 loops=1)
| >> Filter: (Avg Protein Grams >= 10 and (count (0) = 2) (actual time=0.952.9.751 rows=7 loops=1)
| >> Stream results (cost=1138.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.959..9.743 rows=2 loops=1)
| >> Group aggregate: count (0), avg ((Food.protein) / nullif(Food.price.0)), avg (Food.protein), avg (Food.protein), count (0) (cost=1138.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.902..9.721 rows=12 loops=1)
| -> Filter: (Food.protein > 0) and (Food.price (esicett 2))) (cost=922.06 rows=2164) (actual time=0.048..6.146 rows=6373 loops=1)
| -> Scereing index scan on Food using idx Food composite (cost=922.06 rows=12847) (actual time=0.044..4.538 rows=13182 loops=1)
| -> Select 2 (subquery in condition; run only once
| -> Augregate: avg(Food.price) (cost=923.65 rows=1) (actual time=0.044..4.538 rows=13182 loops=1)
| -> Covering index scan conducting idx Food (composite (cost=923.06 rows=1) (actual time=0.051..3.496 rows=13182 loops=1)
| -> Scent additional time of the scan conduction of the
```

CREATE INDEX idx_food_covering ON Food(protein, food_category, calories, price);

```
| -> Sort: Avg_Frotein_Calorie_Ration_DESC (actual time=0.645..10.646 rows=7 loops=1)
-> Filter: ([Avg_Frotein_Grams = 10] and (count(0) = 2) (actual time=0.685..10.616 rows=7 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=1188.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.857..10.603 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=1188.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.857..10.603 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=1188.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.858..10.666 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=1188.47 rows=2164) (actual time=0.858..10.666 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Filter: ([Yood_protein_observed = 10.0000 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Stream results (rows=1264) (actual time=0.858..10.666 rows=12 loops=1)
-> Stream results (rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..10.866 rows=1264) (actual time=0.046..5.87 rows=6373 loops=1)
-> Stream results (rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..367 rows=1387 rows=1382 loops=1)
-> Stream results (rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..368 rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..368 rows=1384 loops=1)
-> Stream results (rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..368 rows=1264) (actual time=0.866..368 rows=
```

All three tested indexes showed no improvement in the query cost (remaining at 1354.95), which is logical given the query's analytical nature. This query performs multiple aggregations (COUNT, AVG, MIN, MAX), calculates ratios, and requires grouping by food_category with a HAVING clause, meaning it needs to process most of the table's rows and columns regardless of indexing. When a query needs to access a large portion of the table and perform calculations across multiple columns, the query optimizer correctly determines that a full table scan is more efficient than using indexes, as the overhead of reading from indexes and then performing random access to get additional columns would be more expensive than simply reading the table sequentially. This is why even our covering index, which included all necessary columns, didn't improve performance.