

Design Patterns

What

- Creational, Behavioral, Structural
- Creational:
 - Manage the instantiation process.
 - Abstraction of how objects are created.
- Two types of Creational Patterns
 1. Class-based creational patterns
Use inheritance to vary the class that is instantiated
 2. Object-based creational patterns
Delegates instantiation to another object

Singleton

- The singleton ensures only one instance exists

```
class Singleton
{
private:
    static Singleton* pSingInst = nullptr ;
protected:
    Singleton() {}
public:
    static Singleton* getInstance() {
        if (pSingInst == nullptr)
            pSingInst = new Singleton() ;
        return pSingInst;
    }
};

Singleton* Singleton ::g_pS = nullptr;
int main() {
    Singleton* g_pS = Singleton::GetInstance();
}
```

Lazy
Initialization

Singleton – Initialization of global variables

- It replaces global variable and control the order of initialization
- The following program calls an unconstructed object

- Output:

Clock: Adding to global Clock

Clock: member function add 0x10f739034

Clock: Constructor 0x10f739034

- But wait, isn't it the same Class?

```
#include "stdio.h"
struct Clock;
extern Clock globalClock;
struct Clock {
    Clock() {printf("Clock: Constructor %p\n",this);}
    void add() { printf("Clock: member function add %p\n",this);}
    static int addToGlobal() {
        printf("Clock: Adding to global Clock\n");
        globalClock.add();
        return 0; }
};
int dummy = Clock::addToGlobal();
Clock globalClock;
int main() {
    return 0;
}
```

Singleton – Initialization of global variables

- With two different classes
- Still, the following program calls an unconstructed object
- Output (note Clock2,Clock1):

Clock2: Adding to global Clock

Clock1: member function add 0x10ee49034

Clock1: Constructor 0x10ee49034

```
#include "stdio.h"
#include "temp.h" // here we define Clock1
extern Clock1 globalClock;
struct Clock2 {
    int clock_time;
    Clock2() {
        printf("Clock2: Constructor %p\n",this);
    }
    void add() {
        printf("Clock2: member function add %p\n",this);
    }
    static int addToGlobal() {
        printf("Clock2: Adding to global Clock\n");
        globalClock.add();
        return 0;
    }
};
int dummy = Clock2::addToGlobal();
Clock1 globalClock;
int main() {
    return 0;
}
```

Singleton – Initialization of global variables

- Why do we have access to uninitialized objects??
- In C++ (C) we can use global objects from other files without knowing if they have been already constructed or not
 - Once we use the keyword “extern” we use forward declaration
- The order of initialization is within a file (“translation unit”) but not between different files with global variables
- By using singleton we can give access from various files while keeping the objects initialized

Singleton – Global Vars Init

```
class Clock2 {  
    static Clock2 * myClock2;  
private:  
    Clock2(){printf("Clock2 constructor\n");};  
public:  
    static Clock2* getInstance() {  
        if (myClock2 == nullptr) {  
            myClock2 = new Clock2();  
        }  
        return myClock2;  
    }  
    void add() {  
        printf("Clock2: member function add %p\n",this);  
    }  
};
```

Singleton – Global Vars Init

```
class Clock {
static Clock * myClock;
private:
    Clock(){printf("Clock constructor\n");};
public:
    static Clock* getInstance() {
        if (myClock == nullptr) {
            myClock = new Clock();
        }
        return myClock;
    }
    void add() {
        printf("Clock2: member function add %p\n",this);
    }
    int addToGlobal() {
        printf("Clock2: Adding to global Clock\n");
        Clock2::getInstance()->add();
        return 0;
    }
};
```

```
Clock * Clock::myClock;
Clock2 * Clock2::myClock2;
```

```
int main() {
    Clock::getInstance()->addToGlobal();
}
```

Output:

Clock constructor

Clock2: Adding to global Clock

Clock2 constructor

Clock2: member function add 0x7fca8f400690

Note: constructor before add!

Singleton – Template version

Given an existing class, how to convert it to a singleton?

```
// file.h
template <class T>
class Singleton : public T
{
public:
    static Singleton* GetInstance()
    {
        if (pSingObject==nullptr){
            pSingObject = new
Singleton ;

        }
        return pSingObject ;
    }
    ~Singleton() { delete pSingObject ; }
private:
    Singleton() { } ;
    static Singleton*    pSingObject ;
};
```

```
// file.cpp
template <class T>
Singleton<T>* Singleton<T>::pSingObject = NULL ;

// MyClass.cpp
class MyMainClass {
    void myActionFunction() ;
} ;

// Main.cpp
Singleton<MyMainClass>::GetInstance()->myActionFunc()
```

Singleton Destruction

- The wrong way

```
~Singleton() {  
    delete pSingInst;  
    pSingInst = nullptr;  
}
```

- The right way

```
static void ResetInstance() {  
    delete pSingInst;  
    pSingInst = nullptr;  
}
```

Factory

- We have a maze game
- And we would like to create various types of mazes
 - Regular one, enchanted, etc..

```
class MazeGame
{
public:
    Maze* CreateMaze() {
        Maze* maze = new Maze() ;
        Room* room1 = new Room(1) ;
        Room* room2 = new Room(2) ;
        Door* door = new Door(room1, room2) ;
        maze->AddRoom(room1) ;
        maze->AddRoom(room2) ;
        room1->SetSide(North, new
Wall()) ;
        room1->SetSide(East , door) ;
        room1->SetSide(South, new Wall()) ;
        room1->SetSide(West , new Wall()) ;
        room2->SetSide(North, new Wall())
;
        room2->SetSide(East , new Wall()) ;
        room2->SetSide(South, new Wall()) ;
        room2->SetSide(West , door) ;
        return maze ;
    }
}
```

Factory

- Using virtual functions to create the components of the class

```
class MazeGame
{
public:
    virtual Maze* MakeMaze() const    { return new Maze() ; }

    virtual Room* MakeRoom(int n) { return new Room(n) ; }
    virtual Wall* MakeWall() { return new Wall() ; }
    virtual Door* MakeDoor(Room* r1, Room* r2)
        { return new Door(r1,r2) ; }
    Maze* CreateMaze() {
        Maze* maze = MakeMaze() ;
        Room* room1 = MakeRoom(1) ;
        Room* room2 = MakeRoom(2) ;
        Door* door  = MakeDoor(room1,room2) ;
        .....
        .....
        return maze ;
    }
} ;
```

Factory

```
class BombedWall: public Wall {  
    // ...  
} ;  
  
class RoomWithABomb: public Room {  
public:  
    RoomWithABomb(int n) : Room(n) { }  
} ;  
  
class BombedMazeGame: public MazeGame {  
public:  
    BombedMazeGame();  
    virtual Wall* MakeWall()  
        { return new BombedWall() ; }  
    virtual Room* MakeRoom(int n)  
        { return new RoomWithABomb(n) ; }  
} ;
```

Abstract Factory

- Using an object as a parameter to create components

```
class MazeFactory {
public:
    Maze* MakeMaze() { return new Maze() ; }
    Room* MakeRoom(int n) { return new Room(n) ; }
    Wall* MakeWall() { return new Wall() ; }
    Door* MakeDoor(Room r1, Room r2)
        { return new Door(r1,r2) ; }
} ;

class MazeGame {
public:
    Maze* CreateMaze(MazeFactory* factory) {
        Maze* maze = factory->newMaze() ;
    Room* room1 = factory->newRoom(1) ;
    Room* room2 = factory->newRoom(2) ;
    Door* door = factory->newDoor(room1,room2) ;
        .....
        return maze ;
    }
} ;
```

Abstract Factory

```
class BombedWall: public Wall {  
    // ...  
} ;  
  
class RoomWithABomb: public Room {  
public:  
    RoomWithABomb(int n) : Room(n) { }  
} ;  
  
class BombedMazeFactory: public MazeFactory  
{  
public:  
    BombedMazeGame() ;  
    virtual Wall* MakeWall()  
        { return new BombedWall() ; }  
    virtual Room* MakeRoom(int n)  
        { return new RoomWithABomb(n)  
; }  
} ;
```

Abstract vs. Non-Abstract

- Compile time vs. Run time
- Subclass vs. Objects

Factory-Singleton

- Often, it is best for Factory to be a Singleton

```
class MazeFactory {
    protected: MazeFactory() { }
    private: static MazeFactory* inst = null ;
    public: static MazeFactory* getInst()
        { if (inst==null) inst = new MazeFactory() ;return inst ; }
    Maze* makeMaze()
        { return new Maze() ; }
    Room* makeRoom(int n)
        { return new Room(n) ; }
    Wall* makeWall()
        { return new Wall() ; }
    Door* makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2)
        { return new Door(r1,r2) ; }
} ;
```

Factory-Singleton

```
class MazeGame
{
public:
    Maze* createMaze() {
        Maze maze* = MazeFactory.getInst()->MakeMaze() ;
        Room room1* = MazeFactory.getInst()->MakeRoom(1) ;
        Room room2* = MazeFactory.getInst()->MakeRoom(2) ;
        Door door* =
            MazeFactory.getInst()->MakeDoor(room1, room2) ;

        maze->AddRoom(room1) ;
        maze->AddRoom(room2) ;
        .....
        return maze ;
    }
}
```

Runtime-based Factory

- Suppose we would like to decide in runtime what type of factory to use?
- For example, let the user decide which type of Maze it would like to play
- We can use configuration file

Runtime-based Factory

```
MazeFactory* MazeFactory::getInst()  
{ if (inst==0) {  
    const char* style = readConfigFile("MAZESTYLE") ;  
    if (strcmp(style,"Complex"))  
        inst = new ComplexMazeFactory() ;  
    else if (strcmp(style,"Enchanted"))  
        inst = new EnchantedMazeFactory() ;  
    else  
        inst = new MazeFactory() ;  
}  
return inst ;  
}
```

Builder

- We would like to build a class with various options or stages
- Sometime we know all the defaults and sometimes not

```
public class Computer {  
    private:  
        String HardDiskType;  
        int HardDiskSize  
        String Cpu;  
        int CpuClock;  
        int RAMSize;  
        String RAMtype;  
        String KeyboardType;  
        String MouseType;  
  
    public:  
        Computer(String Cpu, int CpuClock){}  
        Computer(String Cpu, int CpuClock , int HardDiskSize) {}  
        Computer(String Cpu, int CpuClock , int HardDiskSize, String HardDiskType) {}  
        Computer(String Cpu, int CpuClock, int RAMSize) {}  
};
```

Builder

- Two issues
 - We would like to use only a subgroup of the parameters
 - We would like to define several combinations that are mandatory

Builder

- The following constructor options can solve it
 - `CreateComputerCPUSizeAndHardDiskSizeAndRamSize`
 - `CreateComputerCpuTypeRamTypeHardDiskSize`
- And so on..
- Complicated to code and hard to use

Builder

- The key idea is to create flexibility in the construction options
- Constructor is private, only builder can access it
- How to implement it??

```
public class Computer {  
    private:  
        String HardDiskType;  
        int HardDiskSize  
        String Cpu;  
        int CpuClock;  
        int RAMSize;  
        String RAMtype;  
        String KeyboardType;  
        String MouseType;  
    private Computer(Builder builder) {  
        this.CpuType = builder. CpuType;  
        this.CpuClock = builder. CpuClock;  
        this.HardDiskType = builder. HardDiskType;  
        this.HardDiskSize = builder. HardDiskSize;  
        And so on..  
    }  
};
```


Builder

- Builder is class within Computer, usually static
- It has all the fields of Computer

```
public static class Computer::Builder { // Can be defined inside Computer
    private String CpuType;
    private int  CpuClock;
    // more members here.. ramType,ramSize,

    public Builder cpuType(String CpuType) {
        this.CpuType = CpuType;
        return this;
    }
    public Builder cpuClock(int CpuClock) {
        this.CpuClock = CpuClock;
        return this;
    }
    // more member functions to set everything: ramSize,ramType

    // THIS IS THE ENTRY POINT
    public Address build() {
        return new Computer(this);
    }
};
```

Builder

- How to use it?

```
Computer myComputer =  
new Builder().cpuType("i-9870").ramSize(80).build();
```

Builder

- How to make mandatory fields during construction?

```
public Builder(String cpuType, int HardDiskSize)
{
    this.cpuType = cpuType;
    this.HardDiskSize = HardDiskSize;
    // more fields goes here
}
```