

② $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric with distinct eigenvalues. $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ s.p.d.

$$\text{GEVP: } A \underline{v} = \lambda M \underline{v}$$

* $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$?

We will use the square-root factorization for s.p.d. matrices covered in Examples sheet 4, $M = M^{1/2} M^{1/2} = V D^{1/2} V^{-1} V D^{1/2} V^{-1}$ (where $V = [v_1 \dots v_n]$ is a matrix of eigenvectors of M and $D^{1/2}_{ii} = \sqrt{D_{ii}}$, $D^{1/2}_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$, being D the diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of M).

By the examples sheet 4 Q2 we also have that V is orthogonal ($V^{-1} = V^T$).

Therefore, we have that $M^{1/2}$ is symmetric: $(M^{1/2})^T (V D^{1/2} V^{-1})^T = (V^{-1})^T D^{1/2} V^T = (V^T)^T D^{1/2} V^{-1} = V D^{1/2} V^{-1} = M^{1/2}$. Also, $M^{1/2}$ is invertible with $(M^{1/2})^{-1} = M^{-1/2} = V D^{-1/2} V^{-1} = (D^{-1/2}_{ii} = \frac{1}{D^{1/2}_{ii}})$.

Now, consider this equivalent eigenvalue problem:

$$A \underline{v} = \lambda M \underline{v} \Leftrightarrow A \underline{v} = \lambda M^{1/2} M^{1/2} \underline{v} \Leftrightarrow M^{-1/2} A \underline{v} = \lambda M^{1/2} \underline{v} \Leftrightarrow M^{-1/2} A M^{-1/2} M^{1/2} \underline{v} = \lambda M^{1/2} \underline{v}$$

Calling $\hat{A} = M^{-1/2} A M^{-1/2}$ and $\hat{\underline{v}} = M^{1/2} \underline{v}$ we have $A \underline{v} = \lambda M \underline{v} \Leftrightarrow \hat{A} \hat{\underline{v}} = \lambda \hat{\underline{v}}$

And \hat{A} is symmetric: $\hat{A}^T = (M^{-1/2})^T A^T (M^{-1/2})^T = ((M^{1/2})^T)^{-1} A (M^{1/2})^T = (M^{1/2})^{-1} A (M^{1/2}) = \hat{A}$

Thus, by Q10 of the Examples sheet 4, the eigenvalues of \hat{A} are real and the eigenvectors of \hat{A} can be taken to be real. As \hat{A} has the same eigenvalues of our GEVP and $\underline{v} = M^{-1/2} \hat{\underline{v}}$, that also applies to our GEVP.

$$b) \{ \underline{v}_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \underline{v}_i^T \underline{v}_j = \delta_{ij} \\ \underline{v}_i^T A \underline{v}_j = \lambda_i \delta_{ij} \end{cases}$$

Now we have $A \underline{v} = \lambda \underline{v} \Leftrightarrow \hat{A} \hat{\underline{v}} = \lambda \hat{\underline{v}}$ with \hat{A} symmetric.

As \hat{A} is symmetric, by the spectral theorem for symmetric real matrices^(*), we know that \hat{A} admits an orthogonal diagonalisation, i.e. there exists V s.t. $V^{-1} = V^T$ and $\hat{A} = V D V^T$ with D diagonal with the eigenvalues of \hat{A} (which are the same as GEVP). So, $V^T \hat{A} V = D = V^T \hat{H}^{-1/2} A \hat{H}^{-1/2} V = V^T \hat{H}^{-1/2} A (V^T \hat{H}^{-1/2})^T$.

Let's call $\underline{\Phi} := V^T \hat{H}^{-1/2}$ and we have $\underline{\Phi}^T \underline{\Phi} = V^T \hat{H}^{-1/2} \hat{H}^{-1/2} V = V^T \hat{H}^{-1} \hat{H}^{1/2} \hat{H}^{1/2} V = V^T V = I$.

$$\text{So: } \begin{cases} \underline{\Phi}^T A \underline{\Phi} = D \\ \underline{\Phi}^T \underline{\Phi} = I \end{cases}, \text{ calling } \underline{v}_j(\underline{\Phi}) := \underline{v}_j \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

we have the vectors that we wanted fulfilling $\begin{cases} \underline{v}_i^T \underline{v}_j = \delta_{ij} \\ \underline{v}_i^T A \underline{v}_j = \delta_{ij} \lambda_i \end{cases}$

(*) I know that we are not supposed to use this theorem. I guess there is a way to prove that \hat{A} has an orthogonal diagonalisation using that A has distinct eigenvalues. As I couldn't get to it, I give this other reasoning which is equal to the other one excepts for this step.

c) $1 \leq i \leq n$ fixed, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ GEVP-mod:
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & \alpha H \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \underline{v}_i^T H & 0 \end{bmatrix} \underline{u} = \tau \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{u}$$

$\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v} \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ $\underline{v} \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. $\frac{\alpha^2}{\tau} = \tau - \lambda_i$, $\{(\tau_k, u_k) \mid 1 \leq k \leq m+1\}$

Let's take $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ the vectors from (b). We'll start by studying the vectors of the form $\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_k \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ $k \neq i$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & \alpha H \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \underline{v}_i^T H & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_k \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \tau \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_k \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} A \underline{v}_k + \alpha a H \underline{v}_i = \tau H \underline{v}_k \\ \alpha \underline{v}_i^T H \underline{v}_k = \tau a \end{cases} \xrightarrow[\text{(b)}]{\text{GEVP}} \begin{cases} \lambda_k H \underline{v}_k + \alpha a H \underline{v}_i = \tau H \underline{v}_k \\ \tau a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Multiplying by \underline{v}_k^T on the left on the first equation:

$$\lambda_k \underline{v}_k^T H \underline{v}_k + \alpha a \underline{v}_k^T H \underline{v}_i = \tau \underline{v}_k^T H \underline{v}_k \xrightarrow{\text{(b)}} \underline{\lambda_k = \tau}$$

Multiplying by \underline{v}_i^T on the left on the first equation:

$$\lambda_k \underline{v}_i^T H \underline{v}_k + \alpha a \underline{v}_i^T H \underline{v}_i = \tau \underline{v}_i^T H \underline{v}_k \xrightarrow{\text{(b)}} \alpha a = 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha \neq 0} \underline{a=0}$$

Note that this values of τ and a also satisfies the second equation ($\tau a = 0$).

So we have that $\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $k \neq i$ we $(n-1)$ eigenvectors with λ_k as its eigenvalue. As we want τ_k to be non-zero, if $\exists j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ s.t. $\lambda_j = 0$ we want i to be equal to j . Otherwise, zero would be an eigenvalue of the GEVP-mod. Notice that the algebraic multiplicity of 0 in the GEVP will be the same as the algebraic multiplicity of 0 in A (the only eigenvectors of 0 of the GEVP are the eigenvectors of 0 of A).

As A has distinct eigenvalues, $\alpha(0) \leq 1$ in the GEVP. So we can make sure that our first $m-1$ eigenvalues are non-zero by choosing $i=j$.
 Now, let's study what happens with the vectors of the form $\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & \underline{c} \\ \underline{c}^\top & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} A \underline{v}_i + \alpha \underline{c} = \tau \underline{v}_i \\ \alpha \underline{v}_i^\top \underline{c} = \tau \alpha \end{cases} \xrightarrow{(1)} \tau \alpha = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_i \underline{v}_i + \alpha \underline{c} = \tau \underline{v}_i \rightarrow (\lambda_i + \alpha \underline{c}^\top \underline{v}_i) \underline{v}_i = 0 \rightarrow \lambda_i + \alpha = \tau \\ \tau \alpha = \alpha \end{cases} \quad (\underline{v}_i \neq 0 \text{ as } \underline{v}_i^\top \underline{v}_i = 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \tau = \lambda_i + \alpha \rightarrow \alpha = (\lambda_i + \alpha) \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \alpha + \lambda_i \alpha - \alpha = 0 \rightarrow \alpha = \frac{-\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2\alpha} \\ \alpha = \tau \alpha \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \tau = \lambda_i + \frac{-\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} = \frac{\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2}$$

So $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \frac{-\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ are eigenvectors with $\tau_i = \frac{\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2}$ as its eigenvalues.

Notice that, if $\lambda_i = 0$, then $\underline{u}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \pm \alpha \end{bmatrix}$ and $\tau_i = \pm \alpha$.

Also, $\tau_i \neq 0$ in any case as $\alpha \neq 0$, so all the eigenvalues of GEVP-mod are non-zero.

Now that we know that, we can do the following:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = \tau \alpha \xrightarrow{\tau \neq 0} \alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\tau} \\ \tau = \lambda_i + \alpha \end{cases} \rightarrow \tau - \lambda_i = \frac{\alpha^2}{\tau} \quad \text{As wanted. This is just true for the last two eigenvalues.}$$

Finally, the solutions of the GEVP-mod are:

$$\left\{ \left(\lambda_k, \begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\}_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq i}} \cup \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \frac{-\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\lambda_i \pm \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} \right) \right\} \cup$$

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} \underline{v}_i \\ \frac{-\lambda_i - \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\lambda_i - \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4\alpha^2}}{2} \right) \right\}$$

② FEM-EVP: $A \underline{v} = \lambda M \underline{v}$ M symmetric and positive definite

A symmetric positive semi-definite. The eigenvalues are real and non-negative.

$$A \underline{1} = \underline{0}$$

a) Setup from $Q^1(c)$ with non-zero eigenvalues. It's smallest eigenvalue should be equal to the second smallest eigenvalue of FEM-EVP (λ_{n-1}).

As we saw in $Q^1(c)$, we want \underline{v}_i to be equal to the eigenvector of 0.

So we will make $\underline{v}_i := \underline{1}$. The eigenvalues of our new problem will be the same as FEM-EVP without 0 and adding $\pm \alpha$. So we just need $|\alpha| \geq \lambda_{n-1} > 0$ to satisfy the statement.

Let's consider the eigenvectors $\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n\}$ of FEM-EVP chosen as in $Q^1(b)$.

Let's take an arbitrary $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. As $\{\underline{v}_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are linearly independent,

we can write \underline{x} as: $\underline{x} = \alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{v}_n$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Now, consider the following operation:

$$\frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T M \underline{x}} = \frac{(\alpha_1 \underline{v}_1^T + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2^T + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{v}_n^T) A (\alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{v}_n)}{\underline{x}^T M \underline{x}}$$

Remember from $Q^1(b)$ that all the products of the form $\underline{v}_i^T A \underline{v}_j$ $i \neq j$ or

$\underline{v}_i^T M \underline{v}_j$ $i \neq j$ will be equal to zero, so we get:

$$\frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T M \underline{x}} = \frac{\alpha_1^2 \underline{v}_1^T A \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 \underline{v}_n^T A \underline{v}_n}{\alpha_1^2 \underline{v}_1^T M \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 \underline{v}_n^T M \underline{v}_n} \stackrel{Q^1(b)}{=} \frac{\alpha_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 \lambda_n}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2}$$

Using that $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are all non-negative and assuming w.l.o.g. that $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1$

$$\frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T M \underline{x}} = \frac{\alpha_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 \lambda_n}{\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2} \stackrel{\text{if } \alpha_n = 0}{=} \frac{\alpha_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2 \lambda_{n-1}}{\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2} \geq \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2) \lambda_{n-1}}{\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}^2} = \lambda_{n-1}$$

So we need that $\alpha_n = 0$. We can achieve it if we get a vector s.t. $\underline{x} \perp \underline{1} = \underline{v}_n$.

A possible choice would be $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. So, with $\alpha := \frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T M \underline{x}}$, $\underline{x} \perp \underline{1}$ we will get an adequate α .

b) Find a preconditioner for $\begin{bmatrix} A & \underline{c} \\ \underline{c}^T & c \end{bmatrix} \underline{v} = \underline{w}$. Prove it is positive-definite.

My choice for the preconditioner is $P = \begin{bmatrix} A + \Pi & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

As Π is a sparse matrix, $A + \Pi$ will be fairly similar to A , so P will be similar to $\begin{bmatrix} A & \underline{c} \\ \underline{c}^T & c \end{bmatrix}$. This preconditioner works really well in practice.

Let's prove it is positive definite:

Take an arbitrary \underline{x} , $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1^T & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A + \Pi & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{x}_1^T A \underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_1^T \Pi \underline{x}_1 + x_2^2$$

As $A \geq 0$ and $\Pi > 0 \rightarrow \underline{x}_1^T A \underline{x}_1 \geq 0$ and $\underline{x}_1^T \Pi \underline{x}_1 > 0$, and $x_2^2 > 0$
so $\underline{x}^T P \underline{x} > 0$. As \underline{x} is arbitrary, P is positive definite.

Note that, as A and Π are symmetric, P is symmetric too.