ST340 Lab 6: Validation and the curse of dimensionality

2020 - 21

Validation

The dataset SmokeCancer.csv shows lung cancer rates by U.S. state in 2010, with a number of covariates such as Federal Year 2010 cigarette sales per 100,000.

(a) Read the data file on lung cancer and create a data frame with variables of interest.

(b) Fit a linear model for LungCancerRate:

```
summary(lm(LungCancerRate~CigSalesRate+CigYouthRate+CigAdultRate,data=LungCancer))
```

(c) Write a function that takes a formula and does LOOCV (leave one out cross validation) with respect to the squared error of the linear model for the given formula. Use it to find a good linear model for LungCancerRate in terms of CigSalesRate, CigYouthRate and CigAdultRate. You could also try using transformations of the covariates by adding terms such as I(CigSalesRate^2) and I(CigSalesRate*CigAdultRate) to your formulae.

(By good, we mean that it is the optimal, in terms of cross-validation error, linear model using some or all of these covariates.)

```
LOOCV = function(formula, data){
    n = nrow(data)

    CV_values = vector(length = n)

for(i in 1:n){
    data = data[-i,]
    model = lm(formula, data = data)
    CV_values[i] = sum((model$residuals)^2)
}

return(mean(CV_values))
}
```

```
LOOCV(data = LungCancer, formula = LungCancerRate ~ CigSalesRate + CigYouthRate + CigAdultRate)
```

[1] 897.6543

(d) The Akaike Information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information criterion (BIC) are analytic approximations to the validation step. They are (different) ways of quantifying the trade-off between model complexity (in terms of, e.g. the number of parameters) and the fit to the training data (in terms of likelihood), defined as follows:

- Akaike Information criterion (AIC) = $(2 \times \#parameters 2 \times log(likelihood))$, and
- Bayesian information criterion (BIC) = $(\log(\text{amount of data}) \times \#\text{parameters} 2 \times \log(\text{likelihood}))$.

Write a function that takes a formula and then calculates AIC and BIC. Use your function to find a *good* linear model for LungCancerRate, as in (b).

After plugging in the maximum likelihood estimators into the log likelihood we get

```
AIC: n \log \left(\frac{\text{RSS}}{n}\right) + 2p
BIC: n \log \left(\frac{\text{RSS}}{n}\right) + p \log(n)
```

```
AIC_BIC = function(formula, data) {

n = nrow(data)
p = length(labels(terms(formula)))

model = lm(formula, data = data)
RSS = sum((model$residuals)^2)

AIC = n*(log(RSS/n)) + 2*p
BIC = AIC - (2*p) + (p*log(n))

return(list(AIC = AIC,BIC = BIC))

}

AIC_BIC(formula = LungCancerRate ~ CigSalesRate + CigYouthRate + CigAdultRate, data = LungCancer)

## $AIC
## [1] 172.3765
```

The curse of dimensionality

Suppose N points are chosen uniformly at random in the D-dimensional hypercube $[0,1]^D$. Consider a smaller hypercube $H = [0,r]^D$ in the "corner" of $[0,1]^D$.

(a) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately one of the N points lying in H?

```
we want \mathbb{E}[\{x \in [0, r]^D\}] = 1 \iff \mathbb{P}(\{x \in [0, r]^D\}) = 1 \implies r = (\frac{1}{N})^{\frac{1}{D}}
```

- (b) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately 10 of the N points lying in H? $r=(\frac{10}{N})^{\frac{1}{D}}$
- (c) How big does r have to be for there to be approximately $\frac{N}{2}$ of the N points lying in H?

$$r = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^D$$

\$BIC

[1] 178.172

Check each of your answers by simulation.

Distance functions

(a) Write a function to calculate the ℓ_1 distances between pairs of row vectors in two matrices:

```
distances.l1 <- function(X,W) {
    # YOUR CODE HERE
}</pre>
```

(b) Write a similar function to calculate a matrix of pairwise ℓ_2 distances:

```
distances.12 <- function(X,W) {
    # YOUR CODE HERE
}</pre>
```

(c) Write a similar function to calculate the Mahalanobis distance between the row vectors, given a $D \times D$ covariance matrix S:

```
distances.maha <- function(X,W,S) {
    # YOUR CODE HERE
}</pre>
```