## EE 480 Assignment 2: Multi-Cycle Gr8BOnd

Implementor's Notes

Julianne Koenig
Dylan Etris
Michael Kamb
Department of Computer Engineering
University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY USA

## **Abstract**

The project was a multi-cycle implementation of the instruction set design Gr8BOnd.

## 1. General Approach

The assignment was to design a Verilog multi-cycle implementation of the Gr8BOnd instruction set but not yet implement posit arithmetic. These instructions were treated as their equivalent integer operations. We also implemented an ALU to handle all arithmetic, logic, and integer-posit instructions.

We decided to use Dr. Dietz's provided solution to Assignment 1 as our assembler implementation. His design would make decoding in the processor much easier than any of our versions.

For testing, we wrote a file in assembly that tried all of the instructions except the pseudo-instructions. We knew that if the instructions making up each pseudo-instruction worked, the pseudos would work as well. This text file was then interpretively assembled in AIK and the .text and .data outputs were passed into VMEMO and VMEM1, respectively.

## 2. Issues

Labels did not initially work as intended while testing our processor using assembly language. This problem was solved by incrementing the program counter after the end of each instruction, instead of in the start phase.

Setting the posit arithmetic functions to trap inside the ALU proved to be a challenge, as we could not figure out how to implement a trap signal wire to alter the current state. Instead, we chose to implement the posit instructions as integer instructions.

It was challenging to figure out how to handle a variable opcode field and feed the opcode to the ALU, but this was solved by setting the state to the first 4 bits of the opcode, and assigning an op register to the full 8 bit field. If the ALU was required for an instruction, the 8 bit opcode was needed for a case statement.

We were unable to resolve an issue where we got warnings compiling our code referencing the **\$readmemh** functions.

We are unsure if the coverage analysis is useful, as our coverage analyses gave random values when performing the same test.