# Homework 2

February 2, 2024

Please submit your HW on Canvas; include a PDF printout of any code and results, clearly labeled, e.g. from a Jupyter notebook. For coding problems, we recommend using Julia, but you can use other languages if you wish. It is due Friday February 2nd by 11:59pm EST.

#### Problem 1

Continue reading the draft course notes (linked from https://github.com/mitmath/matrixcalc/). Find another place that you found confusing, in a different chapter from your answer in homework 1, and write a paragraph explaining the source of your confusion and (ideally) suggesting a possible improvement.

(Any other corrections/comments are welcome, too.)

## Problem 2

The course notebook on finite differences includes, without derivation, a mysterious four-line Julia function called **stencil** that can compute finite-difference rules for an arbitrary number of points. In particular, if you want to compute the m-th derivative of a smooth (analytic) scalar function f(x) at  $x_0$ , it returns the weights  $w_k$  of an n-point (n > m) finite-difference rule from evaluating f at points  $x_k$  for  $k = 1 \dots n$ :

$$f^{(m)}(x_0) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k f(x_k)$$

by solving the system of equations  $Aw = e_{m+1}$ , where  $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the Cartesian unit vector in the j-th direction and A is an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries  $A_{ij} = \frac{(x_j - x_0)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}$ . Here, you will analyze and derive this technique.

- 1. Let  $x_0 = 0$ . According to the notes, you can then compute  $f^{(m)}(y) \approx \frac{1}{h^m} \sum_{k=1}^n w_k f(y + hx_k)$  for an arbitrary point y and an arbitrary step-size scaling factor h (which can be made smaller and smaller to reduce truncation errors). Derive this formula (via the chain rule).
- 2. Evaluate the stencil function (or its equivalent in another language if you want to re-implement it) for  $x_0 = 0$  and x = [0, 1] with m = 1. Check that the resulting w corresponds to the familiar forward-difference approximation from class. (You could alternatively solve  $Aw = e_{m+1}$  analytically here, since it is  $2 \times 2$ .) In Julia, you can pass 0//1 for  $x_0$  and it will return exact rational weights.
- 3. Now evaluate it for  $x_0 = 0$  (0//1 in Julia for exact results) and x = [0, 1, 2, 3] with m = 1, i.e. using n = 4 equally spaced points  $\geq x_0$ . Use the resulting weights, in the formula scaled by h as above, to approximate the derivative f'(1) for  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ , and plot the relative error (compared to the exact derivative) as a function of h on a log-log scale, similar to the course notebook. What power law in h does the truncation error (approximately) seem to follow? That is, what is the "order of accuracy"?
- 4. Derive the stencil equation  $Aw = e_{m+1}$  above: write out the first n terms of the Taylor series (up to the  $f^{(n-1)}$  derivative) for  $f(x_0 + \delta x)$ , and try to find a linear combination of this series evaluated at  $\delta x = x_k x_0$  for  $k = 1 \dots n$  in such a way that you obtain  $f^{(m)}(x_0)$ .

### Problem 3

Consider the following system g(x,p) = 0 of two nonlinear equations in two variables  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , parameterized by three parameters  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$g(x,p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 x_1^2 - x_2 \\ x_1 x_2 - p_2 x_2 + p_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For p = [1, 2, 1] this has an exact solution x = [1, 1].

- 1. What are the Jacobian matrices  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}$ ? (That is, as defined in class, the linear operators such that  $dg = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} dp$  for any change dx and dp, to first order.)
- 2. In Julia (or Python etc.), implement Newton's method to solve g(x, p) = 0 from a given starting guess x. Using p = [1, 2, 1], start your Newton iteration at x = [1.2, 1.3] and show that it converges rapidly to x = [1, 1] (it should converge to machine precision in < 10 steps).
- 3. Now, consider the function f(p) = ||x(p)||, where the "implicit function" x(p) is a solution of y(x,p) = 0. Given a solution y(p) for some y(p), explain how to compute  $\nabla y(p)$  (see the adjoint-method notes from lecture 5). Implement this algorithm in Julia (etc.), and validate it against a finite-difference approximation for y(p) = [1, 2, 1], y(p) = [1, 1], and a random small y(p) = [1, 2, 1], where y(p) = [1, 2, 1] is a solution of y(p) = [1, 2, 1].

## Problem 4

1. Suppose that f(A) is a function that maps (real)  $m \times m$  matrices to  $m \times m$  matrices, and its derivative is the linear operator f'(A)[dA]. For the Frobenius inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(X^TY)$ , it turns out that we typically have

$$\langle X, f'(A)[Y] \rangle = \langle f'(A^T)[X], Y \rangle,$$

which conceptually corresponds to "transposing" the linear operator  $f'(A)^T = f'(A^T)$ . Your job is to show this.

(a) Show this for  $f(A) = A^n$  for any  $n \ge 0$ . (Hint: From the product rule, it is easy to see that  $f'(A)[dA] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k dA A^{n-1-k}$ ; we've already seen this explicitly for several n. Combine this with the cyclic rule for the trace.)

It immediately follows that this identity also works for any f(A) described by a Taylor series in A (any "analytic" f), such as  $e^A$ .

(b) Show this for  $f(A) = A^{-1}$ .

(You can then compose the above cases to show that it works for any  $f(A) = p(A)q(A)^{-1}$  for any polynomials p and q, i.e. for any rational function of A. You need not do this, however.)

- 2. Consider the function  $f(A) = \det(A + \exp(A))$ .
  - (a) Write f'(A)[dA] in terms of  $\exp'(A)[dA]$  and other standard matrix operations. (You learned how to compute  $\exp'$  in pset 1.)
  - (b) Using the identity from the previous part, write  $\nabla f$  in a way that can be evaluated efficiently ("reverse mode") using only one or two evaluations of exp (and/or exp') and det, independent of the size of A.
- 3. Check your answer from the previous part in Julia (or Python etc.): choose a random  $5 \times 5$  A=randn(5,5) and a random small dA=randn(5,5)\*1e-8, compute df = f(A + dA) f(A) and  $\nabla f$  (at A), and verify that  $df \approx \langle \nabla f, dA \rangle$ . Compute  $\exp'(A)[dA]$  using the same technique as in pset 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This x(p) can be defined uniquely in some neighborhood of a root like the one above, thanks to the implicit-function theorem.