

Week Twelve

Siva Sundar, EE23B151

January 3, 2025

Notes for Chapter 4

25th December

- **Co-design diagrams** are similar to a *UWD*, each *boxes* represent **feasibility relations** (*design constraints* in the below figure), each *wire* represents a **preorder of resources** ($x \leq y$ represents *availability of x given y*): the wire on the left represent a **team's output** (which should be greater than or equal to the usage, hence, represented by ' \leq '), the wire on the right represents the **team's input** requirements to generate output.




Figure 1: Example for a co-design diagram (Eq 4.1)

A *feasibility relation* matches resource production with requirements. $\forall (p, r) \in P \times R$, where P and R are the preorders of resources to be **produced** and **required** respectively, the box says **true** or **false** for that pair.

Hence, feasibility relations define a function $\Phi : P \times R \longrightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$ as:

- (a) $(\Phi(p, r) \ \& \ p' \leq p) \implies \Phi(p', r)$, ie, if p amount of produce can be made given r , you can also produce less $p' \leq p$ with the same resources r .
 - (b) $(\Phi(p, r) \ \& \ r \leq r') \implies \Phi(p, r')$, ie, if p amount of produce can be made given r , with $r' \geq r$ resources, you can produce p .
- Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \leq_X)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \leq_Y)$ be preorders. A **feasibility relation** for \mathcal{X} given \mathcal{Y} is a monotone map:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{X}^{op} \times \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$$

We denote this by $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \dashv \mathcal{Y}$. Given $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, if $\Phi(x, y)$, we say x can be obtained given y .

This map is said to be monotone because by definition:

$$x' \leq_X x \ \& \ y \leq_Y y' \implies \Phi(x, y) \leq_{\mathbf{Bool}} \Phi(x', y').$$

26th December

- **\mathcal{V} -profunctor**: Let $\mathcal{V} = (V, \leq, I, \otimes)$ be a **quantale** (a closed symmetric monoid with all joins existing), and let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be \mathcal{V} -categories. A **\mathcal{V} -profunctor** $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Y}$ is a \mathcal{V} -functor:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{X}^{op} \times \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

- **Bool**-profunctors and **Cost**-profunctors can be interpreted as bridges. See ex 4.11, 4.13. Also see **feasibility matrix** (ex 4.12).

Profunctor can be obtained via **matrix multiplication**. (See remark 4.16)

- The category **Feas** has objects as *preorders* and morphisms as *feasibility relations* (**Bool**-profunctor) and their composition is given by using \wedge in place of \otimes in the composite equation given in the below point.
- **Composition of \mathcal{V} -profunctors**: Let \mathcal{V} be a quantale and \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} be \mathcal{V} -categories, and let $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Y}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{Y} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Z}$ be \mathcal{V} -profunctors. Their **composite** $\Psi \circ \Phi : \mathcal{X} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Z}$ is given by:

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)(p, r) = \bigvee_{q \in Q} (\Phi(p, q) \otimes \Psi(q, r))$$

Composition of profunctors is associative. (Page 129)

- For any **skeletal quantale** \mathcal{V} , the category **Prof $_{\mathcal{V}}$** has objects as \mathcal{V} -categories \mathcal{X} , whose morphisms are \mathcal{V} -profunctors $\mathcal{X} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Y}$, and with composite defined in the above point.

Hence, **Feas** = **Prof_{Bool}**.

The identity morphism is given by the *unit-profunctor* $U_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{X}$,

$$U_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) := \mathcal{X}(x, y)$$

$$\forall \Phi : \mathcal{P} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Q} \quad \Phi \circ U_{\mathcal{P}} = \Phi = U_{\mathcal{Q}} \circ \Phi$$

Proof for the above identity is in page 128.

- A monoidal category is a *categorified* monoidal preorder.
- Let $F : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be a \mathcal{V} -functor. The **companion** of F ($\hat{F} : \mathcal{P} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Q}$) and the **conjoint** of F ($\check{F} : \mathcal{Q} \dashv \vdash \mathcal{P}$) are defined as:

$$\hat{F}(p, q) := Q(F(p), q) \quad \& \quad \check{F}(q, p) := Q(q, F(p))$$

The **companion** profunctor represents a bridge from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{Q} . Reversing the arrows result in the **conjoint** profunctor representing bridge from \mathcal{Q} to \mathcal{P} .

- **\mathcal{V} -enriched adjunction** is a pair of \mathcal{V} -functors $F : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ and $G : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{P}(p, G(q)) \cong \mathcal{Q}(F(p), q)$$

In this figure, $\forall p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \& \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}$, the above condition holds true except for the pair $(1, c)$, hence F and G **do not** form an *enriched* adjunction pair.




Figure 2: Example

- If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are enriched in skeletal quantale \mathcal{V} The companion of the adjoint F is equal to the conjoint of the adjoint G . (see ex 4.41)

This can be used to prove that: $\hat{\text{id}} = \check{\text{id}}$.

- A \mathcal{V} -profunctor $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \dashv \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ can be thought of as a \mathcal{V} -category with \mathcal{X} on the left and \mathcal{Y} on the right. This construction is called **Collage of the Profunctor**. (denoted as $\mathbf{Col}(\Phi)$, see definition in page 131)

28th Decemeber

- **Categorification** is the idea of generalising a ‘thing we know’ by adding **structure** to it, such that, what were formerly *properties* become structures. Removing this new structure from the ‘*categorified* thing’ allows us to get the ‘thing we knew’ earlier.

Categorified Preorders are equivalent to Categories.

There exists categories with infinitely many structures, called ∞ -**categories**.

- A **symmetric monoidal structure** on a category \mathcal{C} consists of:

- ★ $I \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ called the **monoidal unit**.
- ★ Functor called **monoidal product**: $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

which are subject to well-behaved, natural isomorphisms:

- (a) $\lambda_c : I \otimes c \cong c \forall c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- (b) $\rho_c : c \otimes I \cong c \forall c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- (c) $\alpha_{c,d,e} : (c \otimes d) \otimes e \cong c \otimes (d \otimes e) \forall c, d, e \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- (d) $\alpha_{c,d} : c \otimes d \cong d \otimes c \forall c, d \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ called the **swap map** such that $\sigma \circ \sigma = \text{id}$.


An example would be the monoidal category $(\mathbf{Set}, \{1\}, \times)$. (See ex 4.49)

- A category **enriched** in \mathcal{V} , or a \mathcal{V} -**category**, call it \mathcal{X} , has the following:
 - (1) A collection $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{X})$, elements of which are called **objects**.
 - (2) $\forall x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X})$, we define the **hom-object** for x, y as $\mathcal{X}(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}$.
 - (3) $\forall x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X})$, we define the **identity element** $\text{id}_x : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(x, x)$
 - (4) $\forall x, y, z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X})$, we define the **composite** $\mathcal{X}(x, y) \otimes \mathcal{X}(y, z) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(x, z)$.
- **Compact closed categories**: Let $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes)$ be a symmetric monoidal category, and $c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ an object. A **dual for c** ($\in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$) consists of:


- ★ a morphism $\eta_c : I \rightarrow c^* \otimes c$, called the **unit of c** .
- ★ a morphism $\epsilon_c : c \otimes c^* \rightarrow I$, called the **counit of c** .

If $\forall c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a dual for c , then we say $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes)$ is **compact closed**.


- If \mathcal{C} is a compact closed category, then:
 1. It is monoidal closed. and $\forall c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$:
 2. If c^* and c' are both duals to c , then they are isomorphic.
 3. c and its double-dual are isomorphic: $c \cong c^{**}$.

Figure 3: Commutative diagram representing the conditions, also called **snake equations** (page 141)

- The below picture shows how an **undirected** wiring diagram equipped with the morphisms η_c, ϵ_c can represent a **directed** wiring diagram.

Figure 4: Snake equations in terms of *Symmetric Monoidal categories*.

- The category **Corel** contains *objects* as *finite sets* and *morphisms* as **corelations**. This category can be equipped with a **symmetric monoidal structure** (\otimes, \sqcup) which is also **compact closed** with *dual of each set as itself*.
- The category **Prof_V** (where V is *skeletal quantale*) can be given the structure of a compact closed category, with **monoidal product** given by product of V -categories.

Figure 5: $(\Phi \times \Psi)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := \Phi(x_1, x_2) \otimes \Psi(y_1, y_2)$

The **monoidal unit** in **Prof_V** is **1**, which contains only one object.

Duals in **Prof_V** are **opposite categories**. The *unit* and *counit* are V -profunctors defined as:

- ★ $\eta_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbf{1} \times \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow V$, with $\eta_{\mathcal{X}}(1, x, x') := \mathcal{X}(x, x')$
- ★ $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{X} \dashv \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, with $\epsilon_{\mathcal{X}}(x, x', 1) := \mathcal{X}(x, x')$