Activity Based Client for iOS
Bachelor Thesis

Hildur Uffe Flemberg (270689-3043, hufl@itu.dk),
Niklas Schalck Johansson (121288-2727, nsjo@itu.dk)

Supervised by
Jakob E. Bardram (bardram@itu.dk)

IT University of Copenhagen

Wednesday May 23th

Abstract

Abstract goes here...

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor Jakob E. Bardram, for making this project possible and for his help and guidance during the writing of this thesis. We would also like to thank ABC research team member and PhD student Steven Houben for his help during the entire process, providing valuable feedback, both for the thesis, as well as the development of the proof of concept system.

Finally we want to thank our test users Søren Engel, Nikolaj Aaes, Ida Haas, Julie Hansen, Nicklas Warberg, Pernille Kjeldsen, Christian Vestergaard and Christian Harrington for their engagement in the testing phase. We really appreciate your help, and all the feedback that you have given us.

Contents

List of Figures

1 Introduction

1.1 Context

In modern times, work practices are often complex entities with respect to the number of resources and work flows involved. The seemingly simple task of doing a standard health check of a patient could easily involve e.g. a doctor, a nurse, a secretary and several documents including the patient record etc. Furthermore, the doctorâĂŹs office might not be a sufficient location to complete the task - the patient might need to accompany the nurse to the lab to have a blood sample taken, go back to the office to have the doctor test his eyes and initially the doctor might need to get the patients record from the secretary in the reception. Today, computers are heavily used as a tool for optimization when carrying out tasks like these. They solve single tasks on the lowest level like reading e-mails, looking up records in databases and filling out forms very efficiently thus leaving it up to the user to find out for each instance of a work flow which applications, files, documents etc. he needs to prepare to do his job. While this doesnâĂŹt render the tasks impossible to carry out, it implies some practical overhead in terms of time and mind capacity that moves attention of expert users from things that add value to their work to things that are less important.

1.2 Background

Activity Based Computing is a new paradigm that changes the focus of traditional computing environments from low level tasks like e-mail checking or web browsing to a higher level abstraction in the shape of an activity like âĂIJPerforming a health checkâĂİ. An activity encapsulates all the smaller tasks and resources that are needed to complete it and provides a manageable unit that can be suspended and resumed, run in parallel with other activities and moved around while it adapts to the new surroundings. The ABC project started in 2003 with itâĂŹs outset in pervasive computing de- signed for mobile, collaborative and time critical work for clinicians in hospitals. Research on ABC is currently developed and maintained by the ABC research team led by Jakob E. Bardram [1] and is financially funded by The Danish Council for Strategic Research [1]. The research team has developed five versions of the ABC framework, and is currently working on a sixth version. The ABC framework implements services for handling activities and resources. The fifth version in use is a Java based peer-to-peer based on the Aexo infrastructure and is targeted for non-PC devices [1]. The ABC framework will be described in more detail in chapter 2.

1.3 Motivation

With the introduction of touch screen tablet PCs on the market back in 2001 [3], a new family of devices with yet another screen size and touch screen performance matured. Even though the concept of a tablet PC is not a new one, tablets have since 2001 undergone major changes in the sense that they have become common and they have gotten more interactive user interfaces due to improved touch functionality. Bardram [2011] argues that: âĂIJOnce you move away from the desktop and into a non-office-like environment such as a hospital, the challenges arising from the management of parallel activities and interruption are amplified because multi-tasking is now combined with a high degree of mobility [...]âĂİ Given the challenges of mobile work environments, the recent improvement of tablet

computers and the fact that the experimentation with iOS as a technically well-suited operating system within ABC has not previously been explored, we will implement an iOS ABC client for the Apple iPad.

1.4 Goals

The purpose of the project is to develop an ABC client for the ABC framework running on the iOS platform with the following goals:

Activity Centered
It must to support the notion of activities.

Activity Awareness
It needs to able to adapt and adjust itself according to its location, mean- ing that the types of resources available and the UI representation is always dependent of the current working context.

Activity Suspend and Resume
It needs to able to save the state of one activity in order to restore and resume another previously suspended activity.

Uniform UI
It must have a uniform UI, meaning that whatever concrete kinds of dis- plays we choose to build these must be the same for any activity as long as the activities are resumed in the same location and under the same conditions.

1.5 Methods

The goals will be achieved through these methods

Investigation - iOS
We will investigate the iOS platform and discuss how we can apply the above mentioned goals. This will be done by following classes on iOS development and reading related articles on the subject.

Investigation - Location tracking
We will investigate what hardware resources is available on the iPad, and discuss which is better for location tracking. We will then discuss how this can be connected to the result of the iOS investigation, and how it will support the ABC principle of activity-awareness.

Implementation
Based on the analysis and discussion of the important elements we will define a list of requirements and implement a client for iOS that supports these requirements. The client will make use of the ABC framework.

Evaluation
We will evaluate the implementation by defining user scenarios that emphasize the mobility challenge in a hospital environment, where a user needs to bring digital resources with them, and test persons complete the scenarios. Afterwards we will have the test persons fill out a questionnaire where they will rate and evaluate the implemented features. Finally we will analyze the results and suggest improvements to our solution.

1.6 Results

TODO

1.7 Overview

TODO

2 Activity Based Computing

In this chapter we will further explain the activity-based paradigm. We will explain why adaptation and awareness is important, and introduce the activity based computing framework that will help us create an activity based client.

2.1 Background

Activity based computing is a computer paradigm that moves focus from application based computer interaction into a higher level computational support for human activities. The paradigm has its outset in clinical work on hospitals, and seeks to aggregate resources to activities, instead of specific applications. An example of such an activity could be the development of our proof of concept. Opening an activity will cause the relevant services and resources to become available to the user, and allow to user to more easily switch between activities and all their associated services and resources. Figure 2.1 shows an example of the activity "Proof-of-concept development", its associated services and their resources.



Figure 2.1: *The "Proof of Concept" activity. Illustrates how an activity encapsulates its services and resources*

Bardram [2011] identifies six principles that forms the basis of the activity based computing paradigm, being; *Activity Centered*, *Activity Suspend and Resume*, *Activity Roaming*, *Acitivty Adaptation*, *Activity Sharing* and *Activity Awareness*. Each of these will be further described in the following.

1. Activity Centered

An Activity is a computational unit that encapsulates a set of services and their relevant resources. An activity therefore encapsulate digital software and data necessary for a user to carry out their work (activity).

2. **Activity Suspend and Resume**
   This allows a user to alternate between several activities and support interruptions that requires the user to perform another task. This is done by suspending the current activity and resuming another.

3. **Activity Roaming**
   This principle enables activities to be stored on an infrastructure, like a server, and allows for activities to be suspended on one device, and then resumed on another, to better support user mobility.

4. **Activity Adaptation**
   An activity can be displayed and handled on very different devices, and should adapt to the resources available at the resumed devices. In this case resources could be CPU power, screen size and network bandwidth.

5. **Activity Sharing**
   Focuses and deals with the collaborative aspect of activities. This principle states that activities are shared among collaborators that appear on a list of participants for any particular activity. If two or more participants engage resumes the same activity they will both be notified and engage in video and audio chat if possible.

6. **Activity Awareness**
   Allows for an activity to be context aware, such that it adapts itself to its current environment and work context. This could be to i.e. adapting the user interface or changing activities and services based on where the device is located.

Implementing all of these features enables computational devices to better support human activities, and allow users to move away from the traditional document -and application centered model on a desktop computer. In this thesis we will focus on how to display activities on the iPad and how to adapt the interface to the orientation of the iPad, how to store activities in an infrastructure and how the iPad can be aware of its surroundings. In this chapter we will further explain the principle of adaptation and awareness.

2.2 Activity Adaptation and Awareness

In many work places it is normal for users to carry out their work in several different locations. As an example it would be natural to consider a plausible scenario for a professor during his day at a university:

> *A professor got a day full of meetings at the University. In the beginning of the day he will have a meeting with the head of a study programme at which he teaches a course. They will discuss several course related material, and course goals, and will require the use of the course website, a document with the goals of the study programme, the exercises used in the course. Later he will have a lunch meeting with a fellow colleague in the cantina, to discuss an idea for a project. During preparation he have found several online resources on the matter, and have made a few designs and diagrams he wants to share. After lunch he got a meeting in his office with a couple of students regarding a bachelor thesis, and needs to review some code written, which includes looking over several source code files, as well as a generated documentation file. Afterwards he got a meeting with a PhD student in his office, regarding his thesis that needs review, and also to discuss a certain article found online. During the meeting the search through an article database located online, and find a couple of interesting articles that they save for later use.*

This is a thought scenario but it clearly illustrates two things: first of all there is a need to aggregate resources to certain activities during the day for easy access, and second he only need certain activities at certain locations.

The first issue can be handled by using the activity based paradigm as explained earlier, in order to encapsulate resources with different activities. Now the second issue can be handled by filtering the available activities based on where the user is as illustrated in figure 2.2. Now in this case, only four activities have been mentioned but there might be many more than those. There might be activities planned for the rest of the week, and there might even be activities that are not work related. This could potentially sum up to quite a lot of activities and most of them are only relevant when you are in a specific location.

This is where the principle of activity awareness becomes important. Many wireless technologies exists today Various [2012], which enables devices to communicate with nodes placed in a building. Using these technologies a device can communicate with these wireless nodes, and get information on its current whereabouts.



Figure 2.2: *Illustration on how the professor moves between locations in order to carry out his activities*

By using this information it is possible to keep an activity relation with specific locations in a building, and only show the activities that takes place in a given location. We can specify this kind of filtering as *location filtering*. Location filtering is thus a concrete way of handling activity awareness.

With regards to activity adaptation it is interesting to consider the result of the evaluation from Bardram [2009]:

> *This means that if an activity is roamed from a display with a large resolution (e.g., 1900ÃŮ1600) to one with a low resolution (e.g., 800ÃŮ600), a significant portion of the activityâĂŹs application windows will potentially be left outside the visible area of the display. A related issue arose when clinicians asked for activity roaming between very large devices (e.g., the wall display in the team room) to very small devices (e.g., a PDA or a SmartPhone). In principle, the ABC framework can run on a PDA or a Java-enabled SmartPhone using the J2ME edition. The real challenge, however, is to investigate further what it actually means to roam an activity between two such very different types of devicesâĂŤespecially if we take into consideration that the clinicians saw the small devices as tools for more specific actions*

within the overall activity. One possible approach may be to support roaming a subpart of an activity to a small device, instead of roaming the whole activity.

What is really interesting here is that it appears that the same kind of UI is implemented on very different devices, and that a possible solution could be to only handle a subpart of an activity. It should always be discouraged to handle very different devices similarly. It makes sense in standard desktop environments where most computers offer the same screen size and resolution, but when one moves from this environment, as is the case of activity based computing, one should also treat each family of devices differently. This means that PDA's and smartphones should have a distinct UI, tablets should have a distinct UI and so on. One could argue that this would mean a lot of overhead implement different UI's for different devices, but there exist design paradigms that takes this into account, and only require the UI part to changed, and not the rest of the implementation. It is also only natural that the UI is different as these devices would be used very differently as observed in Bardram [2009]:

[...]âĂŤespecially if we take into consideration that the clinicians saw the small devices as tools for more specific actions within the overall activity

So in order to fully make use of activity adaptation, it is important to recognize that each family of devices is different, and should be treated as such, and that their usage is also different. One would probably not replace a wall display with an iPad and hope to achieve the same thing. This is important to keep in mind when designing the UI of the client on the iPad, in order to support activity adaptation properly.

2.3 ABC Framework

In the following, a short historic overview of the ABC infrastructure will be provided, up to the current version, that is used in this project. The Activity Based Computing infrastructure is managemed by the Activity Research Team [A.R.Team, 2012].

2.3.1 ABC infrastructure version 4 - Windows XP

2.3.2 ABC infrastructure version 5 - AEXO

2.3.3 ABC infrastructure version 6 - RESTful



Figure 2.3: *Illustration of the ABC cloud infrastructure. At the buttom three examples on ABC clients connects to the cloud. Each client sends requests to the cloud service, which is then handled by the Activity Access and mapped to functions on the Activity Manager. Each request is then mapped to an activity object and an Activity Wrapper. The Activity Wrapper is stored on the Data Table, with info on where the serialized activity object is placed, such that it can be retrieved later.*

3 Mobile Activities

3.1 Going from desktop to tablet computers

3.2 Local and cloud computing

3.3 Location tracking

4 Implementation

5 Evaluation

In this chapter we will test and evaluate our proof of concept implementation. It will be tested and evaluated with regards to the ABC principles that we support as defined in chapter 1. The tests are conducted in order to answer the question: *Is it possible to properly support the three ABC principles: Activity Centered, Activity Awareness and Activity Adaption on the iPad.*

We will evaluate the system based on constructed real-world scenarios. We want the system to be able to perform and be useful to people that are normally operating at a university, such as students. We will therefore carry out functionality tests, in order to determine the usefulness of the core features that have been implemented as discussed in chapter 3.

In the end we will discuss the results of the tests, and what improvement or changes should be considered for future work.

5.1 Evaluation of the proof of concept

Kuter and Yilmaz [2001] defines six steps as a guideline in order to properly carry out Human-Computer-Interaction tests:

- Set the goals - *What do you want to capture?*
- Decide on the target population and sample size - *Who will you ask?*
- Determine the questions - *What will you ask?*
- Pre-test the survey - *Test the questions*
- Conduct the survey - *Ask the questions*
- Analyze the data collected - *Produce the report*

We will use these guidelines as a basis for properly defining and carry out these tests. Kuter and Yilmaz [2001] further describes surveys as either quantitative or qualitative. Through quantitative surveys it is possible to get statistical data, but does is not very qualitative, that is it is impossible to know why a user likes or dislikes something in particular. Qualitative surveys are better for getting elaborated answers, but is very hard to use for statistical data.

Furthermore Kuter and Yilmaz [2001] defines face-to-face interviews as the best solution for gathering qualitative data. As a solution we will therefore conduct a quantitative survey for each scenario that the user is asked to participate in, and then follow up on each of these surveys with a face-to-face interview, in order to determine why they answered the what they did. This way it is possible to gather data for statistical analysis, and also to get specific feedback for future improvements.

5.1.1 Setting the goals

As the main objective is to find out how we can support the ABC principles Activity Centered, Activity Awareness and Activity Adaptation, it is important to find out how useful the functionalities that we implemented to support these principles are from a user point of view. If some of the features implemented turn out to not be very desireble, one could conclude that either it is not possible to support the affected principles, or that one need to rethink how to support it. It is therefore important that we define scenarios

that the user will go through, in order to simulate a real-world university situation, so that the test users will be able to better perceive the usefulness of the implemented functionalities. To summarize we define the goal of this test to dertermine the usefulness of the implemented features that support the Activity Centered, Activity Awareness and Activity Adaptation principles

5.1.2 Deciding on the target population and sample size

Since the proof of concept have been developed with a university environment in mind, it would be most suitable to bring in test users that are normally working at a university. We further narrow the test users down to be students. As testers we are very familiar with the student environment, and would be able to come up with a realistic real world scenario in which our application could be used. It would also be a lot easier for students to imagine the scenarios that we want them to complete, and also be easier for them to assert the value of the implemented functionalities. It would be interesting to bring in students with the same academic background as ourselves, but also students from other universities, in order to determine if our proof of concept would be feasible in more than one university. It is decided to use 7-8 test persons to carry out this test.

5.1.3 Determining the questions

It is possible to divide the questions into three categories: activities and resources, filtering and integration. Furthermore the questions will be formulated as statements, which the test user will scale, based on how much they agree with that statement, in order to get a quantitative measure. Each question will be measures on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means that the user strongly disagrees, and 5 means that the user strongly agrees. Each of the question categories will be further elaborated in the following.

Activities and Resources

The core concept of the proof of concept implementation is that we are able to support the notion of activities. An important functionality of the implementation is this that it should be possible to easility create these activities, but it is equally important how these are presented to the user in order for users to fully utilize activities. Another core concept of activities is the aggregation of resources. Resources, like activities, also have to be presented properly to the user, such that they are easilily accessible.

We therefore define these questions:

It is easy to create an activity. We want to find out if the proof of concept easily support the creation of activities.

The system gives a good overview of created activities. We want to find out if the proof of concept visualize activities in a logical and usable way.

I like the use of categories. We want to determine if the use of categories makes it easier to manage and handle activities.

I like the use of color coding. We want to determine if the use of color coding makes certain categories and certain activities easier to see.

The system gives a good overview of resources for a given activity. Like activities we want to know if resources are presented and visualized in a logical and usable way, but also to find out if these two concept should be handled differently, instead of similarly.

The ability to easily save a website that you are visiting is useful. We wish to know if users want to be able to quickly add a website they are visiting, instead of just writing the URL directly into a dialog box.

I had all features available in order to easily complete the tasks. This question might seem like a more usability minded question, but the intend is to force the user to think about if some core functionality is missing, in order for them to better handle the scenario that they are asked to complete.

Filtering

A very important part of the proof of concept is the use of location filtering, and it is therefore very important to get user feedback on how this works, and how useful they think it is. Furthermore we implemented the notion of categories, and also a filtering option based on this. An interesting result is also to see which of these filtering methods is perceived as the most useful.

We therefore define these questions:

I find the category filtering useful. We want to get feedback whether or not this kind of filtering is perceived as useful.

I find the location filtering useful. We want to get feedback whether or not this kind of filtering is perceived as useful. This is particularly important since the ABC paradigm defines Activity Awareness as a core concept, where activities are able to adapt based on its environment, and the result of this question could determine if this is a valid concept to use on the iPad.

Integration

Integration was done based on the paper that involved integrating ABC into a desktop environment. As discussed in chapter 3, it was important to integrate the proof of concept as much as possible into the existing operating system, but also by using cloud services, and provide an interface that worked as the basic interaction with the device as a whole. We therefore want to find out how desired and useful the implemented solutions are.

We therefore define these questions:

The ability to add resources from dropbox, image library and the camera is useful. Since we integrated three external systems that handles files, from which resources could be added, it is interesting to find out if this is a good solution for retrieving resources.

I find the all-time access to the browser useful. It was decided that the a browser should make up most of the UI space, and it would be interesting to find out if this a desired solution, or if it should be hidden until needed.

I find the integration with native apps useful. Last but not least, it is interesting to determine if integration with local apps is a desired and usable feature.

5.2 The Setup

As explained earlier, we wanted the users to participate by doing real world scenarios. The scenarios should be constructed such that they support the questions defined in section 5.1.

We came up with two scenarios: one that focuses on creating and managing activities and resources, as well as some of the integration solutions, and one that focuses on filtering and making use of local applications. Both scenarios will take place at the IT-University of Copenhagen.

The two scenarios are as follow:

Scenario 1

A student have a busy day tomorrow at the University. In the morning the student have to do a presentation in an Auditorium, which involves a PDF presentation, document notes and some sample websites. After the presentation the student have a meeting with his supervisor regarding a school project he is doing at the supervisors office. They will discuss several designs of a product the student is developing, and involves meeting notes, some online resources and several images of the design. Later that day the student need to attend a lecture on an interesting subject which requires him to have access to the lecture slides, the exercises presented, and a note document. This will take place in a small teaching room. At the end of the day the student will be attending workshop on innovation. This will include a website, and a sketch of a brilliant idea that the student would like som feedback on. The location for this activity have not been derermined yet.

Scenario 2

The day have come to carry out yesterdays preparations. The student will begin by going to 2C to do his presentation using the resources prepared yesterday. In the break during his presentation he wants to look through the rest of the presentation on in the local iBooks application. He finishes up his presentation and proceed to the meeting with his supervisor in 4C. He have a very fruitfull meeting, and are able to present all of his designs and ideas. They had a long discussion and a lot of drawings on the whiteboard, and the student desides to take a picture and add it to the activity. He also wants to add some comments to his notes, and opens up his note-PDF in the local GoodReader application. After a short break he moves on to attend his lecture in 4E. During the lecture he takes important notes, and feels refreshed by all the new things he have learned. He also finds a good tutorial online that he adds to his lecture activity. Last but not least he meets up with the other innovators, and they move around the university and find a suitable and available room. He finishes the workshop and head home.

Each survey will be organized as follow:

Survey - Scenario 1

- It is easy to create an activity.
- The system gives a good overview of created activities.
- The system gives a good overview of resources for a given activity.
- The ability to easily save a website that you are visiting is useful.
- The ability to add resources from dropbox, image library and the camera is useful.
- I find the all-time access to the browser useful.
- I had all features available in order to easily complete the tasks.

Survey - Scenario 2

- I like the use of categories.
- I like the use of color coding.
- I find the category filtering useful.
- I find the location filtering useful.

- I find the integration with native apps useful.

Initially it was necessary to create a known environment of activities in the application, from which the test user could familiarize themselves. It is also necessary to have more than the four activities that the test users are required to create during the first scenario. A student at a university would probably have at least two or three times that amount, to account for lectures, meetings, exercises and so on. It would also help to further emphasize if location and category filtering would be used, and the usefulness of color coding and category assignment for an activity. The example activities that are created prior to each test is shown in figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1: *The inital setup for a user. To the left are shown the example activities, with their category and color coding attached. To the right is the initial state of the browser.*

5.2.1 Walkthrough

One of the steps defined by Kuter and Yilmaz [2001] is that the testers should perform the test before performing the tests on the users themselves. Such a pre-test was conducted and in the following a sample walkthrough is provided.

Scenario 1

- Create an activity, by pressing the plus button in the top right of the activity overview, with the name of the activity (Lecture on thesis paper), the category P (brown) and the location 2C. Add the PDF-file presentation. pdf and document presentation_notes. doc from the dropbox folder by pressing the plus sign in the upper right corner, giving them a name, and a proper category (pdf, document), and the URLS: AQO.net and norseprojects.com, by accessing them in the webbrowser, and just press save in the lower right corner, and give them the category html page.

- Create an activity, by pressing the plus button in the top right of the activity overview, with the name of the activity (Project meeting), the category M (red) and the location 4C. Add the document meeting_notes.pdf from the dropbox and all the design images from the local image library folder by pressing the plus sign in the upper right corner, giving them a name, and a proper category (document, image), and the URLS: developer.apple.com and ui-patterns.com, by accessing them in the webbrowser, and just press save, and give them the category html page.
- Create an activity, by pressing the plus button in the top right of the activity overview, with the name of the activity (Lecture SIGB), the category L (green) and the location 4E. Add the document slides.pdf and exercises.pdf from the dropbox folder by pressing the plus sign in the upper right corner, giving them a name, and a proper category (pdf), and the URL to a google document by accessing docs.google.com in the webbrowser and adding it through the save button in the lower right corner.
- Create an activity, by pressing the plus button in the top right of the activity overview, with the name of the activity (Workshop Innovation), the category W (cyan). No location will be added. Add the image sketch.jpg from the dropbox folder by pressing the plus sign in the upper right corner, giving it a name, and a proper category (image), and the URL to itu-innovators.dk by accessing it in the webbrowser and adding it through the save button in the lower right corner.

Scenario 2

1. Have location filtering turned on and move to 2C. Of all the activities available only one should now be visible for easy access. He should download the presentation.pdf by holding down his finger on the resource, and then open it in iBooks from the popup window.
2. Have location filtering turned on and move to 4C. Of all the activities available only one should now be visible for easy access. Take a picture with the camera (by pressing the plus button, provide an image name and choose camera) of a whiteboard and add it to the activity.
3. Have location filtering turned on and move to 4E. Of all the activities available only one should now be visible for easy access. Go to the msdn.com and add it to the activity. He should download the meeting_notes.pdf by holding down his finger on the resource, and then open in GoodReader from the popup window.
4. Have location filtering turned off and move to 3D. Turn category filtering on for workshop. Only one should be visible for easy access.

5.3 Results

The tests were carried out using 8 users. All 8 users completed both scenarios, and all 8 users filled out both questionaires, and participated in a face-to-face interview after completing the surveys.

5.3.1 Quantitative Results - Survey

In generel most of the features are found useful by the users.

As can be seen from the results of the survey, the test users were very fond of especially three features; Integration with native applications, the ability to add resources from Dropbox, image library and camera, and the ability to easily save a website that you are using. The features that scored the least were the overview of created activities and if the user had all features available in order to easily complete the tasks. Based on these results it is especially interesting that the overview of activities scored lower than the overview of resources. One of the core functionalities, location tracking scored rather high, but not

Question	Avg score
It is easy to create an activity.	4.4
The system gives a good overview of created activities.	3.9
The system gives a good overview of resources for a given activity.	4.5
The ability to easily save a website that you are visiting is useful.	4.8
The ability to add resources from Dropbox, image library and the camera is useful.	4.9
I find the all-time access to the browser useful.	4.1
I had all features available in order to easily complete the tasks.	3.9
I like the use of categories.	4.0
I like the use of color coding.	4.1
I find the category filtering useful.	4.4
I find the location filtering useful.	4.4
I find the integration with native apps useful.	4.9

Table 5.1: The avg. result for each of the survey questions, based on the answer of 8 users. 1 is the lowest possible score and 5 is the highest possible score

as high as some other features. Following these results it is now interesting to consider the feedback from the users, on why they scored the different features the way they did.

5.3.2 Qualitative Results - Interview

The interviews were carried out as semi-structured interviews, as explained in Kuter and Yilmaz [2001]. The surveys were the basis for the interview, but the interview allowed the users to also talk freely about topics that were not necessearily mentioned in the survey. In the following some of the user feedback will be presented.

Activity Overview and Resource Overview

These two solutions turned out of be scored rather differently. It is therefore rather interestingly to find out why. If we start to look at what made the activity overview work well we got the following responses.

> *- I really like the use of color coding and categories in the overview - it makes the overview better in an unsorted list [...]*

> *- I like that all the activities are gathered in one place, and that you do not have to do too much to go through all of them.*

> *- Colors and categories are very nice, and gives and easy overview of what kind of activities you have to do.*

This shows that two things improves the overview of the list: Having all activities gathered in one place, and that colors and categories made it easier to get an overview. But when one looks a the critiques of the overview the color coding and categories are both mentioned:

- Activies are hard to get an overview of, because there are too many unfamiliar colors and categories.

- Overview is confusing. Would be nice to with some sort of sorting, or if it would be possible to define the colors and categories herself. Really likes the concept of color coding and categories though.

- Would be better if you could define your own colors and categories, that you find most familiar.

- The overview makes more sense for resources, since the list is probably shorter.

- It does not seem possible to get the location information for an activity. What if you forgot where to go?

The critique is mainly based on the fact that a: there is no possible sorting available. All categories are just shown randomly, and one can only improve this by using category filtering and location filtering, and b: it is hard to get an overview of colors and categories that you are not used to. This critique also reveals though that one could probably improve the overview a lot by a: providing options of sorting the list of activities without using filtering, b: make it possible for users to create their own activities and c: display the location for each activity.

For resources the following seemed to be the reason why it scored higher:

- I really like that the system suggest what I can do in the room that I am in right now. Then I don't need to think about it.

- Resources works better since there are fewer categories, and the images are well known.

- Resources are easier to identify, because the categories and its associated image is more well known.

This shows why resources worked better than activities. It is perceived that each activity, would probably not have as many resources in a list, as one would have activities. Furthermore the amount of categories, as well at the images used for these appeared easier to familiarize with.

Location Tracking

This concept was very important to get feedback on, and in the surveys the feature itself was scored 4.4 out of 5. The location filtering were mostly described as a nice feature, and it made it possible for the user to basically not think about filtering on their own - the application did it for them as described by three of the users:

- I really like that the system suggest what I can do in the room that I am in right now. Then I don't need to think about it.

- The location filtering really help to improve the lack of sorting. Suddenly you are only shown a couple of activities instead of a whole list.

- Location tracking in a university looks like it have great potential based on this solution.

These statements proves that; location filterings helps on the lack of sorting posibilities (which was addressed in 5.3.2), and that it enables to user to use less cognitive function in order to find a specific activity, and is perceived as a very feasible solution. Now when looking at the critique of location filtering, it is not so much that location does not seem feasible, but that it should be extended:

- It would be nice if it was possible to use location filtering like category filtering, such that you don't have to necessarily move to a location to utilize it.

Which means that not only should the solution be able to do this while moving around, but it should also be possible to utilize without actually being at the specified locations.

Integration

Integration with 3rd party services and applications was another major topic to be tested, and to find out if such a general feature were desirable. The feature regarding both integration with native apps, as well as the integration with Dropbox, image library and the camera scored the highest of all questions, and was very close to a perfect score for both. This also means that there were almost no critique but a lot of positive feedback:

- Integration wit dropbox is very nice. I rarely use any applications on the iPad that does not have Dropbox support, since i got all my work related files there.

- We often conduct biological experiments, which we document by taking pictures of the setup, so the integration with the camera is a very useful feature, since it make it possible to add it directly to your activity.

- In design projects one usually use a lot of images from your computer or camera, so being able to add images from the local image library is a very nice feature.

- I really like the integration with the local applications. I simply hate when I am not able to use my favorite programs for what I need to do.

These results emphazises how important it is to bring in known programs, that the users are used to. It also shows that the integrated were heavily used by students. Only one of the students did not use Dropbox on a daily basis, but used camera and images a lot instead. There were a single suggestion on how to improve the integration though:

- It would be cool if the integration could work both ways. Right now it is possible to open resources in 3rd party programs, but not add files from 3rd party programs to your activity.

Another integration was the access to the browser directly from our proof of concept. It did not score as good as the other integration solutions. It was generally stated that it was nice to have access to a browser directly, and that it did not require one to keep tabbing back and forth between out solution and the standard browser as well as most activities required one to access online resources, but the critiques were that it did not always have to be visible, but could be hidden until the use of the browser were needed, and then could be brought up. Others also argued that it did not really make any difference whether the browser were directly integration into the application. But at the same time those users also really liked the feature that one could save a visited site directly into an activity.

5.4 Suggestions for future improvements

The survey question *I had all features available in order to easily complete the tasks*, made it possible for the users during the interview to speak freely of what they thought would improve the use of the system. In the following we will therefore present some of the most notable, as well as most mentioned improvement for future work.

Calendar

Several of the users stated that they really missed an option to declare a date, and a time of the day of an activity. They mentioned that when one is at a university, it is usually only relevant to see what activities will happen today, and not tomorrow. Several of the users also expressed a concern with the current solution because they did not think that they would ever delete completed activities, and over time that would eventually involve having quite a lot of activities present in the activity overview. Having activities support time scheduling, would also make it possible to have the proof of concept work like an advanced calendar. One user even suggested that all appointments in the local calendar program on the iPad would automatically be retrieved an created as activities, that you could add resoruces to.

Metadata

In correlation with location filtering but also the above mentioned calendar feature, it should be possible to easily see this information for an activity. Many users complained that it was not possible to access this information, after an activity was completed. They were especially concerned with the fact that they might forget where an activity takes place, and then there would be no way through the proof of concept solution to find out.

Editing

It became clear very quickly that the lack of editing would have a negative impact on the user evaluation. We had not thought about this during evaluation, but we decided to complete the user test without chaning this. As was clear during the evaluation users were generally very quick to click their way throught he creation screens for both activity and resources, and often they wanted to change something they had already creation. Due to the lack of editing they were forced to delete what they had just created, and create the same thing anew witht the new changes. This caused a lot of frustration and should therefore be implemented. But another and even stronger argument was presented by one of the users:

> *- At my school there are a lot of problems with getting enough room for all the lectures, which result in lectures being moved around all the time, and its a big handicap if it is not possible to change the location on an activity that you have already created.*

The possibility to edit activities as well as resources should there be implemented in order to support such situations.

Sorting

The major point of critique of the activity overview, is that it lack sortings capabilities. Many users perceived location tracking a good solution to improve this, but that would not help if you were in a situation where you could not rely on this. Users specifically asked for the option to sort on name, time of day, date, collated categories (all meetings stand next to each other, all lectures stand next to each other and so on) or creation time (newest first). Users had the option to filter based on categories, but only found this useful if one had quite a lot of activities to filter on. In you just had a the current list full it would probably not be use as sorting would be more appropriate.

6 Conclusion

Bibliography

A.R.Team. Activity based computing. `http://activitybasedcomputing.com`, 2012.

Jakob E. Bardram. Activity-based computing for medical work in hospitals. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, Vol. 16(No. 2), 2009.

Jakob E. Bardram. The activity-based computing project. *AAAI Workshop (WS-11-04)*, Techniques and Languages, 2011.

Ugur Kuter and Cemal Yilmaz. Choosing human-computer interaction (hci) appropriate research methods. `http://otal.umd.edu/hci-rm/survey.html`, 2001.

Various. Wireless technology. `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wireless`, 2012.