University of Wrocław: Algorithms for Big Data (Fall'19)

07/10/2019

Lecture 1: Approximate Counting, Distinct Elements

Lecturer: Przemysław Uznański Scribes: Mikołaj Słupiński

1 Introduction

1.1 Topics during the course

- Streaming (counting, heavy hitters, norm estimation, sampling) (~ 4 Lectures)
- Dimensionality reduction and sparse linear algebra (eg. JL, approx matrix mul, compressed sensing) (~ 4 Lectures)
- Applications (geometry algo, coresets, graph algorithms, ANN, sliding window) (~ 4 Lectures)

1.2 Motivation

Linear time/space algorithms are not good enough with modern datasets and their volume. Typical problem we are dealing with in this course: here is a stream of data, process it in a small space to compute output X. Usually there is a lower-bound preventing us to do it in a very small space exactly. Hence we need to relax our problem to achieve very efficient (in space and time) algorithms. Examples:

- Think of any recommendation system, where each user has assigned highly dimensional vector of preferences. We want to test similarity/dissimilarity of user profiles.
- Database with approximate index (Approx Membership Queries), to quickly eliminate queries for elements that are not in the DB, except for few false positives.
- Lossy compression of audio or images selects heavy hitters in the frequency domain. How to find them without computing FFT explicitly?
- Count distinct elements in a stream, or maintain statistics in a continuous stream of updates (router + number of unique IP).

1.3 Techniques

- Probabilistic tools few probabilistic bounds are good enough 90% of the time, sometimes we will need to go a little bit deeper (fancy distributions),
- relaxing problem: $1 \pm \varepsilon$ approximation and 1δ correctness guarantee,
- linear algebra,
- trace amounts of combinatorics and "typical" A&DS that's why it might be tricky for CS students.

2 Approximate counting

The problem is to maintain a counter that supports following operations:

reset(),
$$[n \leftarrow 0]$$

inc(), $[n \leftarrow n + 1]$
query(), [output n]

Simple lowerbound of log(n) bits for exact (information-theoretic lowerbound).

Goal: algorithm that queried outputs n' such that $\Pr(|n-n'| > \varepsilon n) < \delta$.

2.1 Morris' algorithm [Sr.78]

Local state: X [int], represents $n \sim 2^X$. The crucial part of algorithm is to design how we increase X.

Inc: $X \leftarrow X + 1$ with some small probability $(\sim 2^{-X})$, with intuition being that the ppb of n being exactly $2^{X+1} - 1$ is 2^{-X} .

Let us analyze increment probability = 2^{-X} . Let X_n be random variable denoting state of algorithm after n increases.

Theorem 1.

$$\mathbf{E}[2^{X_0}] = 2^{X_0} = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{E}[2^{X_n}] = n + 1 \ by \ induction \tag{2}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbf{E}2^{X_{n+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr} \left(X_n = j \right) \cdot \mathbf{E} \left(2^{X_{n+1}} | X_n = j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr} \left(X_n = j \right) \cdot \left(2^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right) + \frac{1}{2^j} \cdot 2^{j+1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr} \left(X_n = j \right) 2^j + \sum_j \mathbf{Pr} \left(X_n = j \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}2^{X_n} + 1$$

$$= (n+1) + 1$$

Morris algorithm output: $Z = 2^{X_n} - 1 \leftarrow$, which is an unbiased estimator of n (that is $\mathbf{E}[Z] = n$).

2.1.1 Analysis of variance to extract guarantees:

Theorem 2. We show inductively that $\mathbf{E}[2^{2X_n}] = 3/2n^2 + 3/2n + 1$.

Proof. see exercise

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}[Z] &= \mathbf{Var}[2^{X_n}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[2^{2X_n}] - (\mathbf{E}[2^{X_n}])^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}n^2 + 3/2n + 1 - (n+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n, \end{aligned}$$

by Chebyshev's inequality $\Pr(|Z - n| > \varepsilon n) \le 1/(2\varepsilon^2)$.

This only gives failure probability $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ for $\varepsilon > 1$, which is not very informative: (large) constant approximation with constant probability. But that was to be expected: our algorithm only outputs powers of two, so it cannot do much better job.

2.2 Morris+

Repeat k times independently, take average of estimations. Since variance is additive: $\mathbf{Var}(Z') = \frac{1}{k^2}(\mathbf{Var}(Z_1) + \mathbf{Var}(Z_2) + \cdots + \mathbf{Var}(Z_k)) = 1/k\mathbf{Var}(Z)$ so number of iterations necessary becomes: $k = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \delta})$ (ok for 9/10 ppb of correctness, bad for whp correctness).

2.3 Morris++

Run t copies of Morris+ algorithm, each with $\delta=\frac{1}{3}$ and take median of estimations as a final estimation. Each estimation is ok with probability $\geq \frac{2}{3}$, so for the median to fail at least $\frac{1}{6}$ fraction of estimations need to fail (all too large or all too small) Chernoff bound gives us:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} Y_i \le \frac{t}{2}\right) \le \mathbf{Pr}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{t} Y_i - \mathbf{E}\sum_{i=1}^{t} Y_i\right| \ge \frac{t}{6}\right) \le 2e^{-t/3} < \delta \tag{3}$$

for $t = \Theta(\lg(1/\delta))$. Final **bit** complexity $\mathcal{O}(\log\log(n/(\varepsilon\delta))\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\log(\frac{1}{\delta}))$.

Lowerbound: $\Omega(\log \log_{1+\varepsilon} n) = \Omega(\log(1/\varepsilon) + \log \log n)$ (for $\delta = 0$, its trickier to prove lowerbound involving δ)

3 Distinct elements

Input: Stream of values i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m from [n] query() \leftarrow number of distinct elements

Trivial solution: remember the stream, bitvector

3.1 Flajolet Martin [FM85]

Pick a hash function $h:[n] \to [0,1]$ (for a moment let us assume ideal real numbers, and perfectly random hash function).

- 1. initially Z=1
- 2. input $X: Z = \min(Z, h(X))$

3. estimator: Y = 1/Z - 1

Observation 3. Repeats do not affect Z.

If t is the number of distinct elements, then $Z = \min(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t)$ where r_i are all independent and from [0, 1].

Lemma 4.

$$\mathbf{E}[Z] = \frac{1}{t+1} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Pick fresh A at random from [0,1]. By symmetry,

$$\mathbf{E}[Z] = \mathbf{Pr}[A < Z] = \mathbf{Pr}[A \text{ is minimal among } A, r_1, \dots, r_t] = \frac{1}{(t+1)}.$$

Lemma 5.

$$\mathbf{E}[Z^2] \le \frac{2}{(t+1)(t+2)} \tag{5}$$

Proof. Pick fresh A, B at random from [0, 1]. By symmetry, $\mathbf{E}[Z^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[A < Z \land B < Z] = \frac{2}{(t+1)(t+2)}$ \Box Alternative proof.

$$\mathbf{E}\left[Z^{2}\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr}\left(Z^{2} > \lambda\right) d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr}(Z > \sqrt{\lambda}) d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \sqrt{\lambda})^{t} d\lambda$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{1} u^{t} (1 - u) du \quad [u = 1 - \sqrt{\lambda}] \quad = \frac{2}{(t+1)(t+2)}$$

 $\mathbf{Var}[Z] = \frac{2}{(t+1)(t+2)} - \frac{1}{(t+1)^2} = \frac{t}{(t+1)^2(t+2)} < (\mathbf{E}[Z])^2$ (6)

Remark 6. Applying Chebyshev's inequality \rightarrow results in a guarantee of a (large) constant approximation with lets say $\frac{9}{10}$ probability.

Issue: $\mathbf{E}[\frac{1}{Z}] \neq \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}[Z]}$, but concentrating Z with $1 + \varepsilon$ multiplicative error will give $1 + \varepsilon$ multiplicative error for $\frac{1}{Z}$.

3.2 FM+

To reach better approximation guarantee, we need to concentrate our output around expected value.

Approach 1 copy approach from Morris' algorithm - "repeat k times and take average" to improve variance, set $k = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2})$ for $\frac{9}{10}$ probability of $1 + \varepsilon$ approximation.

Approach 2 replace "take minimum" with "take k-th smallest value" (to be analyzed \rightarrow exercise).

3.3 FM++

To improve probability of success, repeat FM+ algorithm $t = \mathcal{O}(\log \delta^{-1})$ times, and take median of answers. This boosts probability of success to $1 - \delta$.

Total memory complexity is

 $\mathcal{O}(\log n \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \log \delta^{-1})$ of words (each word is $\log n$ bits).

3.4 Issues

Recall "for a moment let us assume ideal real numbers".

We only care about relative order of hashes, and use actual value as an estimator. Using hash-functions of form $h:[n] \to \{\frac{0}{M},\frac{1}{M},\dots,\frac{M-1}{M},\frac{M}{M}\}$ for some $M=n^3$, as it only introduces small relative error (whp each hash is $\geq \frac{1}{n}$ thus relative error introduced is at most $(1+\frac{1}{n})$, and wlog $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{n}$), and whp there are no collisions of hashes.

Recall "and perfectly random hash function".

Randomness vs. pseudorandomness \rightarrow c.f. exercises

4 Further reading

- hyperloglog algorithm, which very efficient in theory and practice, but has extremely nontrivial analysis (cf. description on Wikipedia)
- [Bla18] optimal $\Theta(\log n + \frac{\log \delta^{-1}}{\varepsilon^2})$ bits.

A Probability recap

Definition 7. 1. The empty set is an event, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$

- 2. Given a countable set of events A_1, A_2, \ldots , its union is as an event, $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$
- 3. if A is an event, then so is the complementary set A^c

Definition 8. 1. $\mathbf{Pr}(\emptyset) = 0, \mathbf{Pr}(\Omega) = 1$

2. if A_1, A_2, \ldots are mutually excluding events, then $\mathbf{Pr}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Pr}(A_i)$ $A \mathbf{Pr} : \mathcal{F} \mapsto [0, 1]$ satysfying these is called a probability. The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{Pr})$ is called a probability space.

Definition 9. We define coditional probability as

$$\mathbf{Pr}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbf{Pr}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{Pr}(B)}$$

Theorem 10. Let B_1, \ldots, B_n be a partition of Ω , then

$$\mathbf{Pr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}(A|B_i) \mathbf{Pr}(B_i)$$
(7)

Definition 11. Events A and B are called independent if

$$\mathbf{Pr}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{Pr}(A)\mathbf{Pr}(B). \tag{8}$$

When $0 < \mathbf{Pr}(B) < 1$, this is the same as

$$\mathbf{Pr}(A|B) = \mathbf{Pr}(A) = \mathbf{Pr}(A|B^c) \tag{9}$$

A family $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of events is called independent if

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(\cap_{i\in J} A_i\right) = \prod_{i\in J} \mathbf{Pr}\left(A_i\right) \tag{10}$$

for any finite subset J of I.

Definition 12. A random variable is Informally: A quantity which is assigned by a random experiment. Formally: A mapping $X : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$.

Definition 13. The cumulated distribution function(cdf) is:

$$F(x) = \mathbf{Pr}(X \le x) \tag{11}$$

If satisfies following properties:

- 1. $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to +\infty} F(x) = 1$
- 2. $x < y \Rightarrow F(x) \le F(y)$
- 3. F is right-continuous, ie. $F(x+h) \rightarrow F(x)$ as $h \downarrow 0$

Definition 14. The mean of a stochastic variable is

$$\mathbf{E}X = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \mathbf{Pr}(X = i)$$

in the discrete case, and

$$\mathbf{E}X = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)dx$$

in the continuous case. In both cases we assume that the sum/integral exists absolutely. The variance of X is

$$\mathbf{Var}X = \mathbf{E}(X - \mathbf{E}x)^2 = \mathbf{E}X^2 - (\mathbf{E}X)^2$$

Definition 15. The conditional expectation is the mean in the conditional distribution

$$\mathbf{E}(Y|X=x) = \sum_{y} y f_{Y|X}(y|x) \tag{12}$$

It can be seen as a stochastic variable: Let $\psi(x) = \mathbf{E}(Y|X=x)$, then $\psi(X)$ is the conditional expectation of Y given X

$$\psi(X) = \mathbf{E}(Y|X) \tag{13}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(Y|X)) = \mathbf{E}Y\tag{14}$$

Definition 16. Conditional variance Var(Y|X) is the variance in the conditional distribution.

$$\mathbf{Var}(Y|X=x) = \sum_{y} (y - \psi(x))^2 f_{Y|X}(y|x)$$
 (15)

This can also be written as

$$\mathbf{Var}(Y|X) = \mathbf{E}\left(Y^2|X\right) - (\mathbf{E}(Y|X))^2$$

and can be manipulated into

$$Var = EVar(Y|X) + VarE(Y|X)$$

which partitions the variance of Y.

Theorem 17 (Markov's inequality). Let $X \geq 0$ be a random variable. Then for any $k \geq 1$:

$$\mathbf{Pr}(X \ge k \cdot \mathbf{E}[X]) \le \frac{1}{k} \tag{16}$$

Theorem 18 (Chebyshev's inequality). Let X be a random variable. For any k > 0:

$$\mathbf{Pr}(|X - \mathbf{E}[X]| \ge k \cdot \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}[X]}) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$
(17)

Theorem 19. Hoeffding bound] Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \in \{0, 1\}$ be fully independent ran-dom variables. Let $X = \sum_i X_i$. Then:

$$\mathbf{Pr}(|X - \mathbf{E}[X]| \ge t) \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{n}\right) \tag{18}$$

References

- [Bla18] Jaroslaw Blasiok. Optimal streaming and tracking distinct elements with high probability. *CoRR*, abs/1804.01642, 2018.
- [FM85] Philippe Flajolet and G. Nigel Martin. Probabilistic counting algorithms for data base applications. J. Comput. Syst. Sci., 31(2):182–209, 1985.
- [Sr.78] Robert H. Morris Sr. Counting large numbers of events in small registers. Commun. ACM, 21(10):840–842, 1978.