

Create a proposition from a propositional function $P(x)$ by assigning values to variables we can use "some", "for all", "many", "none" x

Universal quantifier \forall

$P(x)$ for all values of x in the domain
[true]

Written as $\forall x P(x)$

Ex Let $P(x)$ be the propositional function " $x+2 > x$ "
What is the truth value of $\forall x P(x)$ where the domain is $x \in \mathbb{R}$?
[T]

Note: generally the domain of discourse is non-empty [True as no element for which $P(x)$ false]

Can disprove by a counterexample?

If $P(x)$ is $x < 2$ and the domain is \mathbb{Z}^+

$\forall x P(x)$ is false when $x = 3$

Q1 $P(x) : x^2 \geq x$ What is the truth value of $\forall x P(x)$?

DEPENDS ON THE DOMAIN — must specify

if $x \in \mathbb{R}$, false eg $x = \frac{1}{2}$

if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ true [no integers $0 < x < 1$]

The Existential Quantifier \exists

There exists an element of x in the domain such that $P(x)$ true

Written as $\exists x P(x)$

for some for at least one there is

Ex I) $P(x) : x > 3$

$\exists x P(x)$?

T

II) $Q(x) : x = x+2$

$\exists x Q(x)$?

F

III) $R(x) : x^2 > 20$

$\exists x R(x)$?

$x = 6 \checkmark$ T

Domain
 $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$\exists! x P(x)$

\uparrow uniqueness quantifier: one and only one eg $P(x) : x-1=0$
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}$

Precedence of Quantifiers.

\forall, \exists have higher precedence than all logical operators from propositional calculus

$\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$ is the disjunction of $\forall x P(x)$ and $Q(x)$
 $\equiv (\forall x P(x)) \vee Q(x)$

* Note $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$ $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \neq \forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$

Similarly $\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \equiv \exists x S(x) \vee \exists x R(x)$

but $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \neq \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$

Bound variables

If a quantifier is used on variable x then it is **bound**
if a variable has no quantifier it is **free**

All variables in a propositional function must be bound or set to a particular value to make it a proposition

$\exists x$ a) $\exists x$ (x + y = 2)

b) $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vee \forall x R(x)$ all bound

scope of \exists scope of \forall

could also write as $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vee \forall z R(z)$
scopes do not overlap