



# SDG BLOCKCHAIN ACCELERATOR

## Impact Measurement Framework

## 1. Project Information

- **Project Name:** AegisGrid: using blockchain to address Tanzania's electricity losses
- **Challenge & UNDP Office:** UNDP Tanzania – Frequent energy theft, poor billing transparency, and limited integration of renewable energy into national utility systems
- **Document Version:** 1. This version of the document focuses on the PoC plus the next stage of development for AegisGrid (MVP), with some Projected Outcomes estimated on a longer timeframe (i.e. 24 months) to estimate potential impact further out in time. For the 24 month outcomes, development of the solution beyond the MVP would be needed.

## 2. SDG Alignment

### SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

- Target: By 2030, double the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency
- Indicator: Reduction in electricity losses from current 14% baseline
- AegisGrid Impact: Immutable consumption tracking reduces meter tampering and billing fraud

### SDG 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

- Target: Develop quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient infrastructure
- Indicator: Number of electricity consumers with transparent, blockchain-verified billing
- AegisGrid Impact: Modernizes utility infrastructure with blockchain technology

### SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities

- Target: Reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities
- Indicator: Improved energy efficiency in urban electricity distribution
- AegisGrid Impact: Better monitoring enables targeted loss reduction in urban areas

### SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions

- Target: Develop effective, accountable and transparent institutions
- Indicator: Increased transparency in utility billing and consumption reporting
- AegisGrid Impact: Blockchain provides immutable audit trail for electricity transactions

## 3. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

KPI	Measurement Method	Target / Threshold	Notes
-----	--------------------	--------------------	-------

Electricity loss reduction	Comparison of pre/post implementation loss percentages	Reduce from 14% baseline to <10%	Primary business impact metric
Successful blockchain transactions	Cardano transaction logs	99%+ success rate	All transactions tracked in <a href="#">Cardano Preview</a>
Energy readings tokenized	On-chain ledger count	100% of monitored consumption	Each token = 1 hour electricity reading
Customer billing disputes	TANESCO customer service records	50% reduction in billing disputes	Transparency reduces customer complaints
Revenue recovery	TANESCO financial records	Recover \$7M+ annually from reduced losses	Based on 14% loss reduction
System uptime	Platform monitoring	99.5% availability	Critical for utility operations
User adoption rate	Platform analytics	80% of large power users onboarded	Focus on high-value customers first
Data accuracy	Comparison with traditional meters	99.9% accuracy vs manual readings	Blockchain verification vs legacy systems

## 4. Tracking Methods

### On-chain Metrics:

- Transaction success rate via Blockfrost API
- Tokens minted/burned counts
- UTxO state monitoring
- Smart contract execution logs
- Batch processing efficiency metrics

### Off-chain Metrics:

- Dashboard user activity analytics
- TANESCO loss percentage reports (monthly)
- Customer satisfaction surveys
- Energy consumption pattern analysis
- System performance monitoring

#### Integration Points:

- Real-time meter data ingestion tracking
- API response time monitoring
- Database synchronization verification
- Automated reconciliation between on-chain and off-chain data

## 5. Baseline vs Projected Outcomes

Metric	Baseline	Projected Outcome (after 24 months of implementation)
Electricity losses	14%	10%
Active large power users	0	500+
Hourly readings tokenized	0	4.3M/year
Revenue recovered	\$0	\$7M+per year
Billing accuracy	85% (assumed)	95%+
Customer complaints	100/month (assumed)	Reduce by 50%

## 6. Monitoring & Reporting Plan

#### Frequency:

- Real-time: Blockchain transaction monitoring
- Daily: System performance and uptime metrics
- Weekly: Energy consumption and tokenization summaries
- Monthly: Loss reduction analysis and financial impact
- Quarterly: Comprehensive stakeholder reports

#### Responsible Parties:

- **Technical Monitoring:** Blockchain Operations Team

- **Business Impact:** Project Manager with TANESCO liaison
- **Financial Analysis:** Finance team with UNDP oversight
- **User Experience:** Customer Success team

#### Reporting Format:

- Live dashboard for real-time metrics
- Weekly automated email summaries
- Monthly executive briefings
- Quarterly impact assessment reports
- Annual SDG contribution analysis

#### Tools & Systems:

- Blockfrost API for on-chain monitoring
- Custom dashboard for user analytics
- PostgreSQL for off-chain data analysis
- Automated alerting system for anomalies
- Integration with TANESCO's existing reporting

## 7. Risks & Mitigation

### Technical Risks

- **Risk:** Data inconsistency between on-chain and off-chain records
  - **Mitigation:** Regular reconciliation scripts and automated alerts for mismatches
- **Risk:** Double-spend or UTxO conflicts during batch minting
  - **Mitigation:** UTxO reservation logic, retries, and cooldowns; consider persistent locks for multi-process
- **Risk:** Key compromise or unauthorized minting
  - **Mitigation:** Secure key storage, access controls, and regular key rotation
- **Risk:** Blockfrost/API downtime or rate limits
  - **Mitigation:** Retry logic, monitoring, and backup API endpoints
- **Risk:** Missed transaction confirmations
  - **Mitigation:** Monitoring scripts to detect and reprocess unconfirmed or failed transactions

### Operational Risks:

- **Risk:** TANESCO system integration challenges
  - **Mitigation:** Phased integration, extensive testing, dedicated liaison team
- **Risk:** User adoption resistance
  - **Mitigation:** Training programs, incentive structures, gradual onboarding
- **Risk:** Regulatory compliance issues
  - **Mitigation:** Legal review, government stakeholder engagement, compliance monitoring

### Financial Risks:

- **Risk:** Implementation costs exceeding projections
  - **Mitigation:** Detailed budget tracking, milestone-based funding, cost optimization
- **Risk:** Longer ROI timeline than expected
  - **Mitigation:** Conservative projections, interim value capture, scalability planning

### Security Risks:

- **Risk:** Unauthorized access to sensitive data
  - **Mitigation:** Encryption, access controls, regular security assessments
- **Risk:** Meter tampering at physical level
  - **Mitigation:** Tamper-evident devices, anomaly detection algorithms, field audits