

Exercises 1.9

Exercise 1.9.1

A factory has n suppliers that produce quantities $x_1 \dots x_n$ per day. The factory is connected with suppliers by a system of roads, which can be at variable capacities $c_1 \dots c_n$, so that the factory is supplied daily the amount $x = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$.

- Given that the factory production process starts when the supply reaches the critical daily level b , write a formula for the daily factory revenue.
- Formulate the problem as a learning problem.

Exercise 1.9.2

A number of financial institutions, each having a wealth x_i , deposit amounts of money in a fund, at some adjustable rates of deposit w_i , so the money in the fund is given by $x = x_1w_1 + \dots + x_nw_n$. The fund is set up to function as in the following: as long as the fund has less than a certain reserve fund M , the fund manager does not invest. Only the money exceeding the reserve fund M is invested. Let $k = e^{rt}$, where r and t denote the investment rate of return and time of investment, respectively.

- Find the formula for the investment.
- Formulate the problem as a learning problem.

Exercise 1.9.3

- Given a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, find a linear function $L(x) = ax + b$ with $L(0) = f(0)$ and such that $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (L(x) - f(x))^2 dx$ is minimized.
- Given a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, find a linear function $L(x, y) = ax + by + c$ with $L(0, 0) = f(0, 0)$ and such that the error $\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, 1]^2} (L(x, y) - f(x, y))^2 dx$ is minimized.

Exercise 1.9.4

For any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we associate the symmetric matrix $\rho_{ij} = \int_K x_i x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n$. The invertibility of the matrix (ρ_{ij}) depends both on the shape of K and the dimension n .

- Show that if $n = 2$ then $\det(\rho_{ij}) \neq 0$, for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.
- Assume $K = [0, 1]^n$. Show that $\det(\rho_{ij}) \neq 0$, for any $n \geq 1$.

SOLUTIONS

1.9.1 (a)

Let $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ and $\mathbf{p} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the roads variable capacities and the produced quantities, respectively. Then $x = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. Suppose the cost of product per item is k , so if the production starts after the critical daily level b is meet. This is, $x - b > 0$. It is clear that the revenue L_r will be given by the formula:

$$L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b) = \begin{cases} k(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p} - b), & \text{if } \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p} - b > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1.9.1 (b)

The learning problem can be stated like this: "Given a vector of road variable capacities \mathbf{c} and a daily critical level b , provided that the production of the n factories is expressed by the vector \mathbf{p} . The goal is find a vector \mathbf{p}^* and a scalar b^* such that the ideal revenue $r(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ is close that provided by the data $L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b)$ (obtained in 1.9.1 (a))". In other words, the pair (\mathbf{c}^*, b^*) minimizes the distance (in the \mathcal{L}_2 sense) between $r(\mathbf{p})$ and $L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b)$. In symbols:

$$(\mathbf{c}^*, b^*) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (r(\mathbf{p}) - L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b))^2 d\mathbf{p}.$$

1.9.2 (a)

If $\mathbf{w} := (w_1 \dots w_n)$ encodes the adjustable rates of deposit corresponding to each of the n financial institutions and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1 \dots x_n)$ the wealth of each of the n institutions, the money in the fund is expressed by $x = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. It's known that the fund is set to function if the revenue exceeds a given capital M and that the investment grows proportional to e^{rt} (profit per investment), then it is clear that the invesment is given by the formula:

$$L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M) = \begin{cases} e^{rt}(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - M), & \text{if } \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} > M \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1.9.2 (b)

Let $I(\mathbf{x}) = x = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ be the ideal investment, $L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M)$ given in 1.9.2 (b). Then the learning problem can be stated as follows: "Given the vector of wealth of the n institutions \mathbf{x} , the vector of adjustable rates of deposit \mathbf{w} and that inversions are placed in the fund if the capital exceeds the quantity M . It is needed to find a tuple (\mathbf{w}^*, M) that minimizes the distance (in the \mathcal{L}_2 sense) between the functions $I(\mathbf{x})$ and $L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M)$ "

In mathematical terms this means that:

$$(\mathbf{w}^*, M^*) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, M \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (I(\mathbf{x}) - L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M))^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

1.9.3 (a)

It follows from the constrain $f(0) = L(0)$ that $b = f(0)$. From now on the notation $L(x; a)$ will be used. That said, the task is now to find an a^* such that $a^* = \arg \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(x) - L(x; a)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1])}^2$. Now, let $C(a) := \|f(x) - L(x; a)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1])}^2$, then $C(a)$ has the most explicit form:

$$C(a) = \int_{[0,1]} (f(x) - ax - f(0))^2 dx.$$

The extremizing a^* can be found by computing critical points and applying the second derivative test to determine the nature of the critical point. Then one has:

$\frac{d}{da}C(a) = \int_{[0,1]} -2(f(x) - ax - f(0))x dx = a \int_{[0,1]} 2x^2 dx + \int_{[0,1]} 2(f(0) - f(x))x dx$. To find the critical point a^* we solve the equation $\frac{d}{da}C(a) = 0$. Solving for a one finds that such extrema is meet at:

$$a^* = \frac{\int_{[0,1]} (f(x) - f(0))x dx}{\int_{[0,1]} x^2 dx}. \text{ Now, lets compute the second derivative to determine the nature}$$

of this critical point. A straight-forward calculation for finding the second derivative yields:

$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(a) = 2 \int_{[0,1]} x^2 dx$. Because the even function $2x^2$ satisfies $2x^2 > 0, \forall x \in [0, 1]$ and the interval of integration is not symetric, is clear that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(a) = 2 \int_{[0,1]} x^2 dx > 0, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$. From this follows that a^* is a

minimum. Then, $L(x) = \frac{\int_{[0,1]} (f(t) - f(0))t dt}{\int_{[0,1]} t^2 dt} x + f(0)$.

1.9.3 (b)

The condition $f(0,0) = L(0,0)$ implies $b = f(0,0)$. Lets be more explicit by writing $L(x, y; a, b)$. The goal is to find (a^*, b^*) satisfying $(a^*, b^*) = \arg \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(x, y) - L(x, y; a, b)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1]^2)}^2$. If $C(a, b) = \|f(x, y) - L(x, y; a, b)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1]^2)}^2$, it's necessary to solve the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}C(a, b) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial b}C(a, b) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Lets now compute both partial derivatives. For a we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}C(a, b) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} -2(f(x, y) - ax - by - f(0,0))x dx dy \\ &= a \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy + b \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy + \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(0,0) - f(x, y))x dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, for b we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial b}C(a, b) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} -2(f(x, y) - ax - by - f(0,0))y dx dy \\ &= a \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy + b \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy + \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(0,0) - f(x, y))y dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

System (1) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} a \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy + b \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x, y) - f(0,0))x dx dy \\ a \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy + b \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x, y) - f(0,0))y dx dy \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Because the function $L(x, y; a, b)$ is continuous, then the mixed partial derivatives coincide. It's easy to verify $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial b} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy$. Similarly, is straight-forward to see that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy$. Then, system (2) can be represented in the following matricial form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x, y) - f(0, 0))x dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x, y) - f(0, 0))y dx dy \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Lets denote H_C the matrix on the l.h.s of the equation (3). By the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz inequality follows that:

$\det(H_C) = 4 \int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - 4 \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy \right)^2 > 0$. Since $\det(H_C) \neq 0$ there's a unique solution to the system (3). Such solutions are given explicitly by:

$$\begin{aligned} a^* &= \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x, y) - f(0, 0))x dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x, y) - f(0, 0))y dx dy \end{bmatrix}}{\int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy \right)^2} \\ b^* &= \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x, y) - f(0, 0))x dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x, y) - f(0, 0))y dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy \end{bmatrix}}{\int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy \right)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

11.7 Note that (1, 1) minor of $\det(H_C)$ also has positive determinant, then by Sylvester's criterion H_C is positively defined, and the critical point (a^*, b^*) is a minimum.

1.9.4 (a)

For the $n = 2$ case we have:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_K x_1^2 dx_1 dx_2 & \int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2 \\ \int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2 & \int_K x_2^2 dx_1 dx_2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Once again, by the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz inequality}$$

follows that $\det(\mathbf{P}) = \int_K x_1^2 dx_1 dx_2 \int_K x_2^2 dx_1 dx_2 - \left(\int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^2 > 0$. The equality can not occur because that would imply K is a section of line which contradicts the arbitrariness of K . \square

1.9.4 (b)

Note that for $\forall i, j \in \{1 \dots n\}$ if $i = j$, $\mathbf{P}_{ii} = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 x_i^2 dx_1 \dots dx_n$. By Fubini's theorem the integral reduces to:

$\mathbf{P}_{ii} = \int_0^1 x_i^2 dx_i \int_0^1 \prod_{k \neq i}^n dx_k = \frac{1}{3}$. On the other hand, for $i \neq j$ one has:

$$\mathbf{P}_{ij} = \int_0^1 x_i x_j dx_i \int_0^1 dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_0^1 x_i dx_i \int_0^1 x_j dx_j \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \prod_{k \neq i, k \neq j}^n dx_k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

This implies the matrix \mathbf{P} is represented by:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

It's easy due to the simple expression of \mathbf{P} . The quantity $\det(\mathbf{P})$ will be found using elementary transformations on the row, to which the determinant is invariant. Having said that, if all the other $n - 1$ rows are added to the first one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{P}) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4}\right) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting $1/4$ times the first row to every other column the later transform into:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{P}) &= \left(\frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4}\right) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4}\right) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3} + (n-1)\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□