Exercises 1.9

Exercise 1.9.1

A factory has n suppliers that produce quantities $x_1 \ldots x_n$ per day. The factory is connected with suppliers by a system of roads, which can be at variable capacities $c_1 \ldots c_n$, so that the factory is supplied daily the amount $x = c_1 x_1 + \cdots + c_n x_n$.

a. Given that the factory production process starts when the supply reaches the critical daily level b, write a formula for the daily factory revenue.

b. Formulate the problem as a learning problem.

Exercise 1.9.2

A number of finantial institutions, each having a wealth x_i, deposit amounts of money in a fund, at some adjustable rates of deposit w_i, so the money in the fund is given by $x = x_1 w_1 + \cdots + x_n w_n$. The fund is set up to function as in the following: as long a sthe fund has less than a certain reserve fund M, the fund manager does not invest. Only the money exceeding the reserve found M is invested. Let $k = e^{rt}$, where r and t denote the investment rate of return and time of investment, respectively.

a. Find the formula for the investment.

b. Formulate the problem as a learning problem.

Exercise 1.9.3

a. Given a continous function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, find a linear function $L(x) = ax + b$ with $L(0) = f(0)$ and such that $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (L(x) - f(x))^2 dx$ is minimized.

b. Given a continous function $f : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, find a linear function $L(x,y) = ax + by + c$ with $L(0,0) = f(0,0)$ and such that the error $\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0,1]^2} (L(x,y) - f(x,y))^2 dx$ is minimized

Exercise 1.9.4

For any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we associate the symetric matrix $\rho_{ij} = \int_K x_i x_j dx_1 \ldots dx_n$ The invertiblity of the matrix (ρ_{ij}) depends both on the shape of K and the dimension n.

a. Show that if $n = 2$ then $\det(\rho_{ij}) \neq 0$, for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

b. Asume $K = [0,1]^n$. Show that $\det(\rho_{ij}) \neq 0$, for any $n \geq 1$.

SOLUTIONS

1.9.1 (a)

Let $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ and $\mathbf{p} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the roads variable capacities and the produced quantities, respectively. Then $x = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. Suppose the cost of product per item is k, so if the production starts after the critical daily level b is meet. This is, $x - b > 0$. It is clear that the revenue L_r will be given by the formula:

$$L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b) = \begin{cases} k(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p} - b), & \text{if } \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p} - b > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1.9.1 (b)

The learning problem can be stated like this: "Given a vector of road variable capacities $\mathbf{c}$ and a daily critical level b, provided that the production of the n factories is expressed by the vector $\mathbf{p}$. The goal is find a vector $\mathbf{p}^\star$ and a scalar $b^\star$ such that the ideal revenue $r(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ is close that provided by the data $L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b)$ (obtained in 1.9.1 (a))". In other words, the pair $(\mathbf{c}^\star, b^\star)$ minimizes the distance (in the $\mathcal{L}_2$ sense) between $r(\mathbf{p})$ and $L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b)$. In symbols:

$$(\mathbf{c}^\star, b^\star) = \underset{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ p \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg \min} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (r(\mathbf{p}) - L_r(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{c}, b))^2 d\mathbf{p}.$$

1.9.2 (a)

If $\mathbf{w} := (w_1 \ldots w_n)$ encodes the adjustable rates of deposit corresponding to each of the n finantial institutions and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1 \ldots x_n)$ the wealth of each of the n institutions, the money in the fund is expressed by $x = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. It's known that the fund is set to function if the revenue exceds a given capital M and that the investment grows proportional to e^{rt} (profit per investment), then it is clear that the invesment is given by the formula:

$$L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M) = \begin{cases} e^{rt}(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - M), & \text{if } \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} > M \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1.9.2 (b)

Let $I(\mathbf{x}) = x = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ be the ideal investment, $L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M)$ given in 1.9.2 (b). Then the learning problem can be stated as follows: "Given the vector of wealth of the n institutions $\mathbf{x}$, the vector of adjustable rates of deposit $\mathbf{w}$ and that inversions are placed in the fund if the capital exceeds the quantity M. It is needed to find a tuple $(\mathbf{w}^\star, M)$ that minimizes the distance (in the $\mathcal{L}_2$ sense) between the functions $I(\mathbf{x})$ and $L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}, M)$"

In mathematical terms this means that:

$$(\mathbf{w}^\star, M^\star) = \underset{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ M \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg \min} \int_{\mathcal{K}} (I(\mathbf{x}) - L_I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{c}, M))^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

1.9.3 (a)

It follows from the constrain $f(0) = L(0)$ that $b = f(0)$. From now on the notation $L(x; a)$ will be used. That said, the task is now to find an $a^\star$ such that
$a^\star = \underset{a \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg \min} \|f(x) - L(x; a)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1])}$. Now, let $C(a) := \|f(x) - L(x; a)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1])}$, then $C(a)$ has the most explicit form:

$$C(a) = \int_{[0,1]} (f(x) - ax - f(0))^2 dx.$$

The extremizing $a^\star$ can be found by computing critical points and applying the second derivative test to determine the nature of the critical point. Then one has:

$$\frac{d}{da}C(a) = \int_{[0,1]} -2(f(x) - ax - f(0))x\,dx = a\int_{[0,1]} 2x^2\,dx + \int_{[0,1]} 2(f(0) - f(x))x\,dx.$$ To find the critical

point $a^\star$ we solve the equation $\frac{d}{da}C(a) = 0$. Solving for a one finds that such extrema is meet at:

$$a^\star = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]} (f(x) - f(0))x\,dx}{\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]} x^2\,dx}.$$ Now, lets compute the second derivative to determine the nature

of this critical point. A straight-forward calculation for finding the second derivative yields:

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(a) = 2\int_{[0,1]} x^2\,dx.$$ Because the even function $2x^2$ satisfies $2x^2 > 0$, $\forall x \in [0,1]$ and the interval of

integration is not symetric, is clear that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(a) = 2\int_{[0,1]} x^2\,dx > 0$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$. From this follows that $a^\star$ is a

minimum. Then, $L(x) = \dfrac{\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]} (f(t) - f(0))t\,dt}{\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]} t^2\,dt} x + f(0).$

1.9.3 (b)

The condition $f(0,0) = L(0,0)$ implies $b = f(0,0)$. Lets be more explicit by writing $L(x,y;a,b)$. The goal is
to find $(a^\star, b^\star)$ satisfying $(a^\star, b^\star) = \underset{a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg\min} \|f(x,y) - L(x,y;a,b)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1]^2)}$. If $C(a,b) = \|f(x,y) - L(x,y;a,b)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2([0,1]^2)}$,
it's necessary to solve the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial a}C(a,b) = 0 \\[2mm] \dfrac{\partial}{\partial b}C(a,b) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Lets now compute both partial derivatives. For a we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}C(a,b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} -2(f(x,y) - ax - by - f(0,0))x\,dx\,dy$$

$$= a\int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2\,dx\,dy + b\int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy\,dx\,dy + \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(0,0) - f(x,y))x\,dx\,dy$$

Likewise, for b we find:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b}C(a,b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} -2(f(x,y) - ax - by - f(0,0))y\,dx\,dy$$

$$= a\int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy\,dx\,dy + b\int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2\,dx\,dy + \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(0,0) - f(x,y))y\,dx\,dy.$$

System (1) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} a\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2\,dx\,dy + b\int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy\,dx\,dy = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x,y) - f(0,0))x\,dx\,dy \\[4mm] a\displaystyle\int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy\,dx\,dy + b\int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2\,dx\,dy = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x,y) - f(0,0))y\,dx\,dy \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Because the function $L(x, y; a, b)$ is continous, then the mixed partial derivatives coincide. It's easy to verify $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial b} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy$. Similarly, is straight-forward to see that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} C(a, b) = \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy$. Then, system (2) can be represented in the following matricial form:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} 2x^2 dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} 2xy dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} 2y^2 dx dy \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x,y) - f(0,0)) x dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} 2(f(x,y) - f(0,0)) y dx dy \end{bmatrix}
\tag{3}
$$

Lets denote H_C the matrix on the l.h.s of the equation (3). By the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz inequality follows that:

$\det(H_C) = 4 \int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - 4(\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy)^2 > 0$. Since $\det(H_C) \neq 0$ there's a unique solution to the system (3). Such solutions are given explicitly by:

$$
a^\star = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x,y) - f(0,0)) x dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x,y) - f(0,0)) y dx dy \end{bmatrix}}{\int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - (\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy)^2}
$$

$$
b^\star = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x,y) - f(0,0)) x dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x,y) - f(0,0)) y dx dy & \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy \end{bmatrix}}{\int_{[0,1]^2} x^2 dx dy \int_{[0,1]^2} y^2 dx dy - (\int_{[0,1]^2} xy dx dy)^2}
$$

(4)

Note that $(1, 1)$ minor of $\det(H_C)$ also has positive determinant, then by the Sylvester's criterion H_C is positively defined, and the critical point $(a^\star, b^\star)$ is a minimum.

1.9.4 (a)

For the $n = 2$ case we have:

$$
\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_K x_1^2 dx_1 dx_2 & \int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2 \\ \int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2 & \int_K x_2^2 dx_1 dx_2 \end{bmatrix} . \text{ Once again, by the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz inequality}
$$

follows that $\det(\mathbf{P}) = \int_K x_1^2 dx_1 dx_2 \int_K x_2^2 dx_1 dx_2 - (\int_K x_1 x_2 dx_1 dx_2)^2 > 0$. The equality can not occure because that would imply K is section of line which contradicts the arbitrairiness of K. $\square$

1.9.4 (b)