1

Final Model - goodness of fit and predictive ability

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information

WORK.TIMESINCEVAX
WORK.TIMESINCEVA

Response Variable breakthrough breakthrough

Number of Response Levels 2

Model binary logit
Optimization Technique Fisher's scoring

Number of Observations Read 3084648 Number of Observations Used 3084648

Response Profile

Total		Ordered
Frequency	breakthrough	Value
29677	1	1
3054971	0	2

Probability modeled is breakthrough=1.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Des Varia	•
vaccination_code	Janssen	1	0
	Moderna Pfizer	0	1 0
	1 1 1 2 6 1	U	U

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Deviance and Pearson Goodness-of-Fit Statistics

Criterion	Value	DF	Value/DF	Pr > ChiSq
Deviance	5548.6582	79E3	0.0699	1.0000
Pearson	52957809.3	79E3	666.7902	<.0001

Number of unique profiles: 79437

Model Fit Statistics

		Intercept
	Intercept	and
Criterion	Only	Covariates
AIC	334698.88	6462.445
SC	334711.82	6656.574
-2 Log L	334696.88	6432.445

The LOGISTIC Procedure

R-Square 0.1010 Max-rescaled R-Square 0.9818

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	328264.438	14	<.0001
Score	1688010.73	14	<.0001
Wald	2520.8233	14	<.0001

Joint Tests

		Wald	
Effect	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
followup_time	1	1444.7465	<.0001
time_since_vax	1	1463.7601	<.0001
age	1	49.9358	<.0001
age*age	1	13.7074	0.0002
vaccination_code	2	38.6258	<.0001
followup_*time_since	1	398.0801	<.0001
time_since_vax*age	1	51.1583	<.0001
time_sinc*vaccinatio	2	10.1679	0.0062
followup_*vaccinatio	2	8.9438	0.0114
follow*time_s*vaccin	2	34.8542	<.0001
-			

NOTE: Under full-rank parameterizations, Type 3 effect tests are replaced by joint tests. The joint test for an effect is a test that all the parameters associated with that effect are zero. Such joint tests might not be equivalent to Type 3 effect tests under GLM parameterization.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

			Standard	Wald	
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq

Turkensenk		00 0050	4 0040	004 5755	. 0001
Intercept	1	-23.0658	1.2610	334.5755	<.0001
followup_time	1	-6.2586	0.1647	1444.7465	<.0001
time_since_vax	1	198.2	5.1803	1463.7601	<.0001
age	1	0.1296	0.0183	49.9358	<.0001
age*age	1	-0.00062	0.000168	13.7074	0.0002
vaccination_code Janssen	1	3.3370	1.6436	4.1221	0.0423
vaccination_code Moderna	1	-14.6574	2.8275	26.8733	<.0001
followup_*time_since	1	-0.0290	0.00145	398.0801	<.0001
<pre>time_since_vax*age</pre>	1	-0.0138	0.00193	51.1583	<.0001
time_sinc*vaccinatio Janssen	1	41.1984	18.7468	4.8295	0.0280
time_sinc*vaccinatio Moderna	1	27.3625	10.5957	6.6689	0.0098
followup_*vaccinatio Janssen	1	-1.4022	0.5981	5.4963	0.0191
followup_*vaccinatio Moderna	1	-0.7028	0.3251	4.6724	0.0307
follow*time_s*vaccin Janssen	1	0.00648	0.00302	4.5886	0.0322
follow*time_s*vaccin Moderna	1	-0.0190	0.00378	25.1540	<.0001

Final Model - goodness of fit and predictive ability

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Percent Concordant	99.7	Somers' D	0.994
Percent Discordant	0.3	Gamma	0.994
Percent Tied	0.0	Tau-a	0.019
Pairs	90662374367	С	0.997

Partition for the Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

	breakthr	ough = 1	breakthr	ough = 0
Total	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected
816735	25	0.82	816710	816734.2
320271	35	1.35	320236	320269.6
309303	47	4.13	309256	309298.9
321827	23	7.76	321804	321819.2
313146	11	10.42	313135	313135.6
312607	5	12.73	312602	312594.3
308654	22	24.60	308632	308629.4
382105	29509	29614.90	352596	352490.1
	816735 320271 309303 321827 313146 312607 308654	Total Observed 816735 25 320271 35 309303 47 321827 23 313146 11 312607 5 308654 22	816735 25 0.82 320271 35 1.35 309303 47 4.13 321827 23 7.76 313146 11 10.42 312607 5 12.73 308654 22 24.60	Total Observed Expected Observed 816735 25 0.82 816710 320271 35 1.35 320236 309303 47 4.13 309256 321827 23 7.76 321804 313146 11 10.42 313135 312607 5 12.73 312602 308654 22 24.60 308632

Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test

Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
2034.9463	6	<.0001