Two main types of hierarchical clustering:

Agglomerative:

- 1. Start with every point in its own cluster
- 2. At each step, merge the two closest clusters
- 3. Stop when every point is in the same cluster


Divisive:


- 1. Start with every point in the same cluster
- 2. At each step, split until every point is in its own cluster


Our main focus will be on **agglomerative** methods


Agglomerative Clustering Algorithm


- 1. Let each point in the dataset be in its own cluster
- 2. Compute the distance between all pairs of clusters
- 3. Merge the two closest clusters
- 4. Repeat 3 & 4 until all points are in the same cluster




































We can "cut" the dendrogram at any threshold to produce any number of clusters







We can "cut" the dendrogram at any threshold to produce any number of clusters





We can "cut" the dendrogram at any threshold to produce any number of clusters





Can we implement this? Are we missing anything?

How do we compute the distance between clusters?

Distance between clusters can be thought of as distance between two sets of points. What ideas come to mind?

Hierarchical Clustering - Distance Functions

Let's first define:


Distance between points: $d(p_1, p_2)$

Distance between clusters: $D(C_1, C_2)$

Single-Link Distance


Is the **minimum** of all pairwise distances between a point from one cluster and a point from the other cluster.

$$D_{SL}(C_1, C_2) = \min \{ d(p_1, p_2) \mid p_1 \in C_1, p_2 \in C_2 \}$$




Depends on choice of **d**


Single-Link Distance



Can handle clusters of different sizes

Single-Link Distance






But... Sensitive to noise points Tends to create elongated clusters


Complete-Link Distance

Is the **maximum** of all pairwise distances between a point from one cluster and a point from the other cluster.

$$D_{CL}(C_1, C_2) = \max \{d(p_1, p_2) \mid p_1 \in C_1, p_2 \in C_2\}$$




Complete-Link Distance



Less susceptible to noise Creates more balanced (equal diameter) clusters

Complete-Link Distance




But... Tends to split up large clusters. All clusters tend to have the same diameter

Average-Link Distance

Is the **average** of all pairwise distances between a point from one cluster and a point from the other cluster.

$$D_{AL}(C_1, C_2) = \frac{1}{|C_1| \cdot |C_2|} \sum_{p_1 \in C_1, p_2 \in C_2} d(p_1, p_2)$$



Average-Link Distance


Less susceptible to noise and outliers.

But... Tends to be biased toward globular clusters

Centroid Distance

The distance between the centroids of clusters.

$$D_C(C_1, C_2) = d(\mu_1, \mu_2)$$



Ward's Distance

Is the difference between the spread / variance of points in the merged cluster and the unmerged clusters.


$$D_{WD}(C_1,C_2) = \sum_{p \in C_{12}} d(p,\mu_{12}) - \sum_{p_1 \in C_1} d(p_1,\mu_1) - \sum_{p_2 \in C_2} d(p_2,\mu_2)$$

Agglomerative Clustering Algorithm

- 1. Let each point in the dataset be in its own cluster
- 2. Compute the distance between all pairs of clusters
- 3. Merge the two closest clusters
- 4. Repeat 3 & 4 until all points are in the same cluster

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	Α	В	С	D
Α				
В				
С				
D				

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	Α	В	С	D
Α	0			
В		0		
С			0	
D				0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	Α	В	С	D
Α	0	√2	3	2
В	√2	0	√5	√10
С	5	√5	0	√13
D	2	√10	√13	0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link




	Α	В	С	D
Α	0	√2	3	2
В	√2	0	√5	√10
С	5	√5	0	√13
D	2	√10	√13	0

d = Euclidean

D = Single-Link




Dendrogram



d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	A & B	С	D
A & B	0		
С		0	√13
D		√13	0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	A & B	С	D
A & B	0	√5	2
С	√5	0	√13
D	2	√13	0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link




	A & B	С	D
A & B	0	√5	2
С	√5	0	√13
D	2	√13	0

d = Euclidean

D = Single-Link




Dendrogram



d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	A & B & D	С
A & B & D	0	
С		0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link



	A & B & D	С
A & B & D	0	√5
С	√5	0

d = Euclidean


D = Single-Link




	A & B & D	С
A & B & D	0	√5
С	√5	0

d = Euclidean

D = Single-Link



Dendrogram



Finding the threshold with which to cut the dendrogram requires exploration and tuning. But in general hierarchical clustering is used to expose a hierarchy in the data (ex: finding/defining species via DNA similarity).

To capture the difference between clusterings you can use a cost function, or methods that we will discuss later when we look at clustering aggregation.