THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

PROCEEDINGS B

Autocrine and paracrine interferon signalling as 'ring vaccination' and 'contact tracing' strategies to suppress virus infection in a host

G. Michael Lavigne, Hayley Russell, Barbara Sherry and Ruian Ke

Article citation details

Proc. R. Soc. B **288**: 20203002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.3002

Review timeline

Original submission: 2 December 2020 Revised submission: 25 January 2021 Final acceptance: 26 January 2021 Note: Reports are unedited and appear as submitted by the referee. The review history

appears in chronological order.

Review History

RSPB-2020-3002.R0 (Original submission)

Review form: Reviewer 1

Recommendation

Accept with minor revision (please list in comments)

Scientific importance: Is the manuscript an original and important contribution to its field?

General interest: Is the paper of sufficient general interest?

Good

Quality of the paper: Is the overall quality of the paper suitable?

Excellent

Is the length of the paper justified?

Yes

Should the paper be seen by a specialist statistical reviewer?

No

Reports © 2021 The Reviewers; Decision Letters © 2021 The Reviewers and Editors; Responses © 2021 The Reviewers, Editors and Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited

Do you have any concerns about statistical analyses in this paper? If so, please specify them explicitly in your report.

No

It is a condition of publication that authors make their supporting data, code and materials available - either as supplementary material or hosted in an external repository. Please rate, if applicable, the supporting data on the following criteria.

Is it accessible?

No

Is it clear?

N/A

Is it adequate?

No

Do you have any ethical concerns with this paper?

No

Comments to the Author

I appreciate the thoughtful responses of the authors to the original round of comments. I am still very enthusiastic about this interesting paper and the revisions definitely helped clarify things I was previously confused about.

I wonder if the code for the simulation results (especially the CA model) could/should be made available?

Apart from that, I noticed a few, extremely minor typographical things.

- -1. 36 should "systematic" be "systemic"?
- -l. 86 add "of" in "(b) A Spatial Model Viral Infection..."
- -l. 129, is there a period missing here after "occurs"?
- -l. 136, missing end parenthesis ")" after "[4,35]"?
- -l. 454 "equilirbium"-> "equilibrium"
- -1. 488, don't forget to drop "borth"!:)

This is a really nice contribution.

Review form: Reviewer 2 (Rustom Antia)

Recommendation

Accept as is

Scientific importance: Is the manuscript an original and important contribution to its field? Excellent

General interest: Is the paper of sufficient general interest?

Excellent

Quality of the paper: Is the overall quality of the paper suitable?

Excellent

Is the length of the paper justified?

Yes

Should the paper be seen by a specialist statistical reviewer?

No

Do you have any concerns about statistical analyses in this paper? If so, please specify them explicitly in your report.

No

It is a condition of publication that authors make their supporting data, code and materials available - either as supplementary material or hosted in an external repository. Please rate, if applicable, the supporting data on the following criteria.

Is it accessible?

N/A

Is it clear?

N/A

Is it adequate?

N/A

Do you have any ethical concerns with this paper?

No

Comments to the Author

The authors have addressed the issues I raised in my earlier review. This is one of the most interesting papers that I have read in a while. I enthusiastically recommend publication. What would be very nice to see (potentially in a follow up by the authors), is a more detailed investigation of the parameter regimes where the conventional ODE models fail (i.e. give very different results from the spatial models with interferon diffusion). However that should be left for later and this paper is a super first step.

Decision letter (RSPB-2020-3002.R0)

18-Jan-2021

Dear Dr Ke

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript RSPB-2020-3002 entitled "Autocrine and paracrine interferon signaling as "ring vaccination" and "contact tracing" strategies to suppress virus infection in a host" has been accepted for publication in Proceedings B.

The referees have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the referees' comments and revise your manuscript. Because the schedule for publication is very tight, it is a condition of publication that you submit the revised version of your manuscript within 7 days. If you do not think you will be able to meet this date please let us know.

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been

appended to denote a revision. You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript and upload a new version through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the referee(s) and upload a file "Response to Referees". You can use this to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. We require a copy of the manuscript with revisions made since the previous version marked as 'tracked changes' to be included in the 'response to referees' document.

Before uploading your revised files please make sure that you have:

- 1) A text file of the manuscript (doc, txt, rtf or tex), including the references, tables (including captions) and figure captions. Please remove any tracked changes from the text before submission. PDF files are not an accepted format for the "Main Document".
- 2) A separate electronic file of each figure (tiff, EPS or print-quality PDF preferred). The format should be produced directly from original creation package, or original software format. PowerPoint files are not accepted.
- 3) Electronic supplementary material: this should be contained in a separate file and where possible, all ESM should be combined into a single file. All supplementary materials accompanying an accepted article will be treated as in their final form. They will be published alongside the paper on the journal website and posted on the online figshare repository. Files on figshare will be made available approximately one week before the accompanying article so that the supplementary material can be attributed a unique DOI.

Online supplementary material will also carry the title and description provided during submission, so please ensure these are accurate and informative. Note that the Royal Society will not edit or typeset supplementary material and it will be hosted as provided. Please ensure that the supplementary material includes the paper details (authors, title, journal name, article DOI). Your article DOI will be 10.1098/rspb.[paper ID in form xxxx.xxxx e.g. 10.1098/rspb.2016.0049].

- 4) A media summary: a short non-technical summary (up to 100 words) of the key findings/importance of your manuscript.
- 5) Data accessibility section and data citation

It is a condition of publication that data supporting your paper are made available either in the electronic supplementary material or through an appropriate repository. Please see our Data Sharing Policies https://royalsociety.org/journals/authors/author-guidelines/#data.

In order to ensure effective and robust dissemination and appropriate credit to authors the dataset(s) used should be fully cited. To ensure archived data are available to readers, authors should include a 'data accessibility' section immediately after the acknowledgements section. This should list the database and accession number for all data from the article that has been made publicly available, for instance:

- DNA sequences: Genbank accessions F234391-F234402
- Phylogenetic data: TreeBASE accession number S9123
- Final DNA sequence assembly uploaded as online supplemental material
- Climate data and MaxEnt input files: Dryad doi:10.5521/dryad.12311

NB. From April 1 2013, peer reviewed articles based on research funded wholly or partly by RCUK must include, if applicable, a statement on how the underlying research materials – such as data, samples or models – can be accessed. This statement should be included in the data accessibility section.

If you wish to submit your data to Dryad (http://datadryad.org/) and have not already done so you can submit your data via this link

http://datadryad.org/submit?journalID=RSPB&manu=(Document not available) which will take you to your unique entry in the Dryad repository. If you have already submitted your data to dryad you can make any necessary revisions to your dataset by following the above link. Please see https://royalsociety.org/journals/ethics-policies/data-sharing-mining/ for more details.

6) For more information on our Licence to Publish, Open Access, Cover images and Media summaries, please visit https://royalsociety.org/journals/authors/author-guidelines/.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Proceedings B and I look forward to receiving your revision. If you have any questions at all, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Sincerely, Professor Hans Heesterbeek mailto: proceedingsb@royalsociety.org

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Referee: 1

Comments to the Author(s)

I appreciate the thoughtful responses of the authors to the original round of comments. I am still very enthusiastic about this interesting paper and the revisions definitely helped clarify things I was previously confused about.

I wonder if the code for the simulation results (especially the CA model) could/should be made available?

Apart from that, I noticed a few, extremely minor typographical things.

- -1. 36 should "systematic" be "systemic"?
- -l. 86 add "of" in "(b) A Spatial Model Viral Infection..."
- -l. 129, is there a period missing here after "occurs"?
- -l. 136, missing end parenthesis ")" after "[4,35]"?
- -l. 454 "equilirbium"-> "equilibrium"
- -1. 488, don't forget to drop "borth"!:)

This is a really nice contribution.

Referee: 2

Comments to the Author(s)

The authors have addressed the issues I raised in my earlier review. This is one of the most interesting papers that I have read in a while. I enthusiastically recommend publication. What would be very nice to see (potentially in a follow up by the authors), is a more detailed investigation of the parameter regimes where the conventional ODE models fail (i.e. give very different results from the spatial models with interferon diffusion). However that should be left for later and this paper is a super first step.

Decision letter (RSPB-2020-3002.R1)

26-Jan-2021

Dear Dr Ke

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript entitled "Autocrine and paracrine interferon signaling as "ring vaccination" and "contact tracing" strategies to suppress virus infection in a host" has been accepted for publication in Proceedings B.

You can expect to receive a proof of your article from our Production office in due course, please check your spam filter if you do not receive it. PLEASE NOTE: you will be given the exact page length of your paper which may be different from the estimation from Editorial and you may be asked to reduce your paper if it goes over the 10 page limit.

If you are likely to be away from e-mail contact please let us know. Due to rapid publication and an extremely tight schedule, if comments are not received, we may publish the paper as it stands.

If you have any queries regarding the production of your final article or the publication date please contact procb_proofs@royalsociety.org

Your article has been estimated as being 10 pages long. Our Production Office will be able to confirm the exact length at proof stage.

Open Access

You are invited to opt for Open Access, making your freely available to all as soon as it is ready for publication under a CCBY licence. Our article processing charge for Open Access is £1700. Corresponding authors from member institutions

(http://royalsocietypublishing.org/site/librarians/allmembers.xhtml) receive a 25% discount to these charges. For more information please visit http://royalsocietypublishing.org/open-access.

Paper charges

An e-mail request for payment of any related charges will be sent out shortly. The preferred payment method is by credit card; however, other payment options are available.

Electronic supplementary material:

All supplementary materials accompanying an accepted article will be treated as in their final form. They will be published alongside the paper on the journal website and posted on the online figshare repository. Files on figshare will be made available approximately one week before the accompanying article so that the supplementary material can be attributed a unique DOI.

You are allowed to post any version of your manuscript on a personal website, repository or preprint server. However, the work remains under media embargo and you should not discuss it with the press until the date of publication. Please visit https://royalsociety.org/journals/ethics-policies/media-embargo for more information.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the Proceedings B, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely, Proceedings B mailto: proceedingsb@royalsociety.org