

# LP & QP Assignment

Jiaxuan Zhang, Yiting Li  
 $E1 = 9, E2 = 13, E3 = 5$

07 October 2020

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Question 1</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Quesiont1.(a)	2
1.1.1	Assumption	2
1.1.2	Analysis	2
1.1.3	Model	2
1.2	Question1.(b)	2
1.2.1	Solution	3
1.2.2	Answer	3
1.3	Question 1(c)	3
1.3.1	Assumption	3
1.3.2	Analysis	4
1.3.3	Model	4
1.3.4	Solution	4
1.3.5	Answer	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Question 2</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Analysis	5
2.2	Solution	6
2.3	Answer	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Question 3</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Analysis	6
3.2	Model	7
3.3	solution	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Question 4</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Analysis	7
4.2	Model	9
4.3	Solution	10
4.4	Answer	10
	<b>MATLAB Code</b>	<b>11</b>

# 1 Question 1

## 1.1 Quesiont1.(a)

### 1.1.1 Assumption

A maximum linear programming problem was described in this question. We assume that:

1. We will install central air conditioner X, the number of which is x, and split-type air conditioner Y, the number of which is y.
2. All air conditioners are installed at one time.
3. Although x and y are integer, we consider them as non-negative real number first.

### 1.1.2 Analysis

Apparently, there are two constrains, namely, budget constrains and amount constrains.

1. We can not install more than 12 air conditioners or install a negative number of air conditioners.
2. Total budget for all installation is  $\text{€}24000 + 300E1$ , where  $E1 = 9$ .

### 1.1.3 Model

According to analysis above, we can formalize this optimization problem, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} \quad & 4x + 2.5y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x + y \leq 12 \\ & 3000x + 1500y \leq 24000 + 300E1 \\ & x, y \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Obviously, model above isn't a standard form of linear programming problem. The corresponding standard form is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} - \min_{x,y,s_1,s_2} \quad & -4x - 2.5y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x + y + s_1 = 12 \\ & 3000x + 1500y + s_2 = 24000 + 300E1 \\ & x, y, s_1, s_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Formula (2) is a standard form of LP problem described in Question1.(a).

## 1.2 Question1.(b)

When solving problem in Question1.(a) by using MATLAB, we will use following function:

$$[x, val, flag] = \text{linprog}(c, A, b, A_{eq}, b_{eq}, lb, ub, options) \tag{3}$$

Formula (3) represent solution of following questions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_x fX \\
& AX \leq b \\
& A_{eq}X = b_{eq} \\
& lb \leq X \leq ub
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In formula (3)  $x$  represent the optimization of independent variable,  $val$  represent the optimized outcome,  $flag$  is a sign of whether the problem has a solution. When the  $flag$  is 1, it means that the problem has an optimal solution. If the  $flag$  is 0, the problem has no optimal solution. We can easily tell that, in Question1.(b):

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= [-4 \quad -2.5] \\
A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3000 & 1500 \end{bmatrix} \\
b &= \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 24000 + 300E1 \end{bmatrix} \\
lb &= [0 \quad 0] \\
ub &= [inf \quad inf] \\
A_{eq} &= b_{eq} = []
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

### 1.2.1 Solution

MATLAB code, which is not shown here, will be uploaded as an attachment in the form of .m file. Without considering that the result should be integer, we should install 5.8 air conditioners X, and 6.2 air conditioners Y.

Taking integral constraints into consideration, installation plan  $(x, y) = (5, 7), (x, y) = (6, 5), (x, y) = (6, 6)$  should be calculated.  $(x, y) = (5, 7)$  obtain maximum power 37.5 kW,  $(x, y) = (6, 5)$  obtain power 36.5 kW and they all meet the other constraints. But  $(x, y) = (6, 6)$  break the budget constraints.

### 1.2.2 Answer

The final result is that we should install 5 air conditioners X, and 7 air conditioner Y, leading to a maximum power, which is 37.5 kW.

## 1.3 Question 1(c)

### 1.3.1 Assumption

For Question 1 (c), make the following assumptions:

1. Only the cost of maintenance and the cost of installation of air conditioners are considered.
2. All budgets are not separated, and can be used flexibly after determining the service life.
3. After the durable time is pre-determined, the practical duration for using and maintenance these air conditioners should be equal to the pre-determined durable time.

### 1.3.2 Analysis

According to assumption 2, after the service life is determined, part of the maintenance budget can also be used to install air conditioners. For  $N$  years, the cost for installation and maintenance during  $N$  years should be considered together as shown in the following formula.

$$\sum_{n=1}^N 3000x + 1500y + C_x(n)x + C_y(n)y \leq 24000 + 300E_1 + (4000 + 100E_1)N \quad (6)$$

$C_x(n)$  is the maintenance cost of year  $n$  for air conditioner X,  $C_y(n)$  is the maintenance cost of year  $n$  for air conditioner Y.

### 1.3.3 Model

According to analysis 1.3.2, the model of Question 1(c) can be made as following (for  $N$  years).

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{x,y} - (4x + 2.5y) \\ & s.t. \quad x + y \leq 12 \\ & \sum_{n=1}^N 3000x + 1500y + C_x(n)x + C_y(n)y \leq 24000 + 300E_1 + (4000 + 100E_1)N \\ & x, y \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

### 1.3.4 Solution

Different durations lead to different amount of maintenance budget can be used as installation budget. We calculated the maximal value of different durable years separately and find the best one from them.

Based on formula in 1.3.2, the corresponding parameters in MATLAB function `linprog` are shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [-4 \quad -2.5] \\ A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3000 + \sum_{n=1}^N C_x(n) & 1500 + \sum_{n=1}^N C_y(n) \end{bmatrix} \\ b &= [12 \quad 24000 + 300E_1 + (4000 + 100E_1)N]^T \\ lb &= [0 \quad 0]^T \end{aligned}$$

For years ranging from 1 to 10, the installation plan and the maximum power are found as shown in the following table.

duration	1	2	3	4	5
max power	41.7069	44.0789	45.8932	46.5493	47.0043
X amount	7.8046	9.3860	10.5955	11.0329	11.3362
y amount	4.1954	2.6140	1.4045	0.9671	0.6638
duration	6	7	8	9	10
max power	46.6992	45.8421	44.6238	43.1983	41.6791
X amount	11.1328	10.5614	9.7492	8.7989	7.7861
y amount	0.8672	1.4386	2.2508	3.2011	4.2139

Table 1: Results for different duration time (non-integrization)

Without considering the integral, The optimal choice duration is 5 year, with 11.3362 X type, 0.6638 Y type and maximum power 47.0043 (kW). The next step is to adjust this result to integer and check whether it is still the best plan.

Considering that the duration time is 5 years, calculate the power of  $(x, y) = (11, 0)$   $(x, y) = (11, 1)$  and  $(x, y) = (12, 0)$ . gives a maximal power 46.5 (kW). However, this value is lower than the maximum power of 4 and 6 years in the table 1. This means that the integer solution of 6 need to be tested extra to find the optimal solution. See the following table for the maximal power for durable years 4,5,6.

year	4			5			6		
amount x	11	11	12	11	11	12	11	11	12
amount y	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
power	44	46.5	48	44	46.5	48	44	46.5	48
whether feasible	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N

Table 2: Results for integer points

The results show that the maximum power of 11 air conditioner X and 1 air conditioner Y remains unchanged, which is 46.5 kW for durable years 4,5,6.

### 1.3.5 Answer

1. The problem is hard to transform to a sinlge LP problem.
2. The durable years can be selected as 4,5,6, 11 air conditioner X and 1 air conditioner Y should be chosen and the maximum available power is 46.5kW.

## 2 Question 2

### 2.1 Analysis

In order to transform model to a discrete-time model, we need to use the following approximation:

$$\dot{T}_{b,k} = \frac{dT_{b,k}}{dt} \approx \frac{T_{b,k+1} - T_{b,k}}{\Delta t} \quad (8)$$

Where  $T_{b,k}$  represents the indoor temperature in the building at time step  $k$ .

The target equation has derivative on both sides, but according to Question 3, the derivate of  $q_{solar,k}$ ,  $q_{occ,k}$ ,  $q_{ac,k}$ ,  $q_{vent,k}$  are able to observed directly. Therefore, we only need to discretize the left side of the equation.

## 2.2 Solution

The process to obtain discrete-time model is shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{T_{b,k+1} - T_{b,k}}{\Delta t} &= a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,k} + a_2 [\dot{q}_{occ,k} + \dot{q}_{ac,k} - \dot{q}_{vent,k}] + a_3 [T_{amb,k} - T_{b,k}] \\
\Rightarrow T_{b,k+1} &= \{a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,k} + a_2 [\dot{q}_{occ,k} + \dot{q}_{ac,k} - \dot{q}_{vent,k}] + a_3 [T_{amb,k} - T_{b,k}]\} \Delta t + T_{b,k} \\
\Rightarrow T_{b,k+1} &= \{a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,k} + a_2 [\dot{q}_{occ,k} + \dot{q}_{ac,k} - \dot{q}_{vent,k}] + a_3 T_{amb,k}\} \Delta t + (1 - a_3 \Delta t) T_{b,k}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= 1 - a_3 \Delta t \\
B &= [a_1 \Delta t \quad a_2 \Delta t \quad a_2 \Delta t \quad -a_2 \Delta t \quad a_3 \Delta t]
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

## 2.3 Answer

From 2.2, the answer is:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= 1 - a_3 \Delta t \\
B &= [a_1 \Delta t \quad a_2 \Delta t \quad a_2 \Delta t \quad -a_2 \Delta t \quad a_3 \Delta t]
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

# 3 Question 3

## 3.1 Analysis

In order to have a more accurate indoor temperature discrete model, we need the best coefficient of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  is needed. It can be realized by minimizing the square error between real  $T_{b,k+1}$  and estimation.

From 2.1.2, we can rewrite the formula:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \{[(T_{b,k+1} - T_{b,K}) - \Delta t(a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,k} + a_2(\dot{q}_{occ,k} + \dot{q}_{ac,k} - \dot{q}_{vent,k}) + a_3(T_{amb,k} - T_{b,k}))]\} \tag{12}$$

We set:

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= (T_{b,k+1} - T_{b,K}) \\
(q_{occ,k} + q_{ac,k} - q_{vent,k}) &= (q_{sigma,k}) \\
T_{amb,k} - T_{b,k} &= T_{sigma,k} \\
(a_1 q_{solar,k} + a_2 (q_{sigma,k}) + a_3 (T_{sigma,k})) &= [q_{solar,k} \quad q_{sigma,k} \quad T_{sigma,k}] \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
[q_{solar,k} \quad q_{sigma,k} \quad T_{sigma,k}] &= [\dot{q}_k] \\
\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} &= [a]
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We can write  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\dot{q}_k]$  as  $[\dot{q}]$ , then formula (12) can be rewritten to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[T - [\dot{q}] [a]]^T [T - [\dot{q}] [a]] \\
&= a^T \dot{q}^T \dot{q} a - 2T^T \dot{q} a + T^T T
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

### 3.2 Model

This is a quadratic programming problem, standard form of a quadratic programming is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_x \quad & \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + c^T x \\
& Ax = b \\
& x \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For formula (16), it is a standard form of quadratic programming problem, where:

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= 2\dot{q}^T \dot{q} \\
c^T &= 2T^T \dot{q}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

### 3.3 solution

We can use function in MATLAB to solve it. Details of each matrix are store in MATLAB, as a result, we only show final result here:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= 2.662 \times 10^{-6} \\
a_2 &= 1.056 \times 10^{-5} \\
a_3 &= 2.135 \times 10^{-5}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

## 4 Question 4

### 4.1 Analysis

The model of the problem has been clearly stated in the problem document, so the key point is to transform the model into a matrix available in MATLAB. Define the variable vector as follows:

$$x = [q_{ac,1} \quad q_{ac,2} \quad \cdots \quad q_{ac,2160} \quad T_{b,2} \quad T_{b,3} \quad \cdots \quad T_{b,2160}]$$



Considering the unit of  $\Phi_k$  is €/kWh and the unit of  $q_{ac,k}$  is kW, so  $\Delta T$  in  $\sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k}$  should be  $\Delta t = 1(h)$ .

There are two ways for finding the corresponding matrices  $H$  and  $C$  in the standard form of quadratic optimization problem.

1. Measure 1: from equation to matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} \Delta t + (0.1 + E_2/10)(T_{B,k} - T_{ref})^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} + \sum_{k=1}^N 1.4(T_k^2 + T_{ref}^2 - 2T_r T_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} - 2.8T_r T_k + \sum_{k=1}^N 1.4T_{ref}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N 1.4T_k^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N 1.4T_{ref}^2 + C^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= [\underbrace{\Phi_1 \ \cdots \ \cdots \ \Phi_{2160}}_N \ \underbrace{-2.8T_{ref} \ \cdots \ \cdots \ -2.8T_{ref}}_{N-1}]^T \\
H &= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \hline & \overbrace{\quad\quad\quad}^N & & \overbrace{\quad\quad\quad}^{N-1} & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2.8 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2.8 \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} N \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} N-1 \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

2. Measure 2: directly from matrices operation

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} \Delta t + (0.1 + E_2/10)(T_{B,k} - T_{ref})^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} + \sum_{k=1}^N 1.4(T_k^2 + T_{ref}^2 - 2T_r T_k) \\
&= \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} \right) - 1.4(Ax - T_{mref})^T (Ax - T_{mref}) \\
&= \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k q_{ac,k} \right) - 1.4x^T A^T Ax - 1.4T_{mref}^T T_{mref} + 2.8T_{mref}^T Ax \\
&= -2.8T_{mref}^T T_{mref} + \Phi x + 2.8T_{mref}^T Ax - 1.4x A^T Ax
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= [\underbrace{\Phi_1 \dots \dots \Phi_{2160}}_N \underbrace{0 \dots \dots 0}_{N-1}] \\
T_{mref} &= [\underbrace{0 \dots \dots a0}_N \underbrace{T_{ref} \dots \dots T_{ref}}_{N-1}] \\
A &= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} N \\ \\ \\ N-1 \end{array}
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \Phi + 2T_{mref}^T = [\underbrace{\Phi_1 \dots \dots \Phi_{2160}}_N \underbrace{-2.8T_{ref} \dots \dots -2.8T_{ref}}_{N-1}]^T \\
H &= 2A^T A = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 2.8 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2.8 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} N \\ \\ \\ N-1 \end{array}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For constraints  $A_{eq}x = b_{eq}$ , the matrices are shown

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{eq} &= \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c|cccc} \hline a & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} N-1 \\ 1 \\ N-1 \end{array} \\
b_{eq} &= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 q_{solar,1} + a_2 q_{occ,1} \dot{t} - a_2 q_{vent,1} + a_3 T_{amb,1}) \Delta t + T_{b,1} \\ \vdots \\ (a_1 q_{solar,N} + a_2 q_{occ,N} \dot{t} - a_2 q_{vent,N} + a_3 T_{amb,N}) \Delta t \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

## 4.2 Model

The model has been clearly explained in the problem document, and the model is explained by using matrices here. Because  $1.4T_{ref}^2$  is a constant in this problem, it was ignored in the standard form, it will be added after optimization with MATLAB.

### 4.3 Solution

Based on equations, the corresponding parameters in MATLAB function `quadprog` are shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \overbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}^N & & & \overbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}^{N-1} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 2.8 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2.8 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} N \\ \\ N-1 \end{array} \\
 f &= [\underbrace{\Phi_1 \ \dots \ \Phi_{2160}}_N \ \underbrace{-2.8T_{ref} \ \dots \ -2.8T_{ref}}_{N-1}]^T \\
 A_{eq} &= \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c|cccc} \underbrace{a \ 0 \ \dots \ 0}_{N-1} & & & & 0 & \underbrace{1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0}_{N-1} \\ 0 & a & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & -1 \ \ddots \ \ddots \ \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \ \ddots \ \ddots \ \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a & 0 & \vdots \ \ddots \ \ddots \ 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ -1 \ 1 \end{array} \right] \\
 b_{eq} &= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,1} + a_2 \dot{q}_{occ,1} t - a_2 \dot{q}_{vent,1} + a_3 T_{amb,1}) \Delta t + T_{b,1} \\ \vdots \\ (a_1 \dot{q}_{solar,N} + a_2 \dot{q}_{occ,N} - a_2 \dot{q}_{vent,N} + a_3 T_{amb,N}) \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \\
 lb(i) &= \begin{cases} 0 & i=1, \dots, N \\ 15 & i > 2160 \text{ and } \dot{q}_{occ,(i-2160)} > 0 \\ -\inf & (others) \end{cases} \\
 ub(i) &= \begin{cases} q_{ac,max} & i=1, \dots, N \\ 28 & i > 2160 \text{ and } \dot{q}_{occ,(i-2160)} > 0 \\ \inf & (others) \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the `quadprog` got the optimal answer, which obtained the minimal cost of €20533.

### 4.4 Answer

1. The optimal cost for air-conditioning along the horizon of N(2160) steps is €20533.

# MATLAB Code

```

1
2
3
4     syms E1 E2 E3;
5     maintenance = [(200+E2) (200+2*E2) (200+3*E2) (300+4*E2) (300+5*E2) ...
6                     (400+5*E2) (500+5*E2) (600+5*E2) (700+5*E2) (800+5*E2);
7                     (50 +E3) (50 +2*E3) (100+3*E3) (150+4*E3) (150+5*E3) (200+5*E3) ...
8                     (250+5*E3) (300+5*E3) (350+5*E3) (400+5*E3)];%cost of maintenance
9
10    E1=9;
11    E2=13;
12    E3=5;
13
14    line_optim_opt = optimoptions('linprog', 'Algorithm', 'dual-simplex');
15    quad_optim_opt = optimoptions('quadprog', 'Algorithm', ...
16    'interior-point-convex');
17
18    %data for Q3
19    measurement3 = readtable("measurements_physical.csv");
20
21    Δ_t = 3600;
22    Qocc3 = measurement3{(1:2160),1};
23    Qac3 = measurement3{(1:2160),2};
24    Qvent3 = measurement3{(1:2160),3};
25    Qsolar3 = measurement3{(1:2160),4};
26    Tamb3 = measurement3{(1:2160),5};
27    TK1_3 = measurement3{(1:2160),6};
28    TK3 = measurement3{(1:2159),6};
29    Phi3 = measurement3{(1:2160),7};
30
31    %data for Q4
32    observations=readtable('measurements.csv');
33
34    q_dot_occ=table2array(observations([1:end-1],1));
35    q_dot_ac=table2array(observations([1:end-1],2));
36    q_dot_vent=table2array(observations([1:end-1],3));
37    q_dot_solar=table2array(observations([1:end-1],4));
38    T_amb=table2array(observations([1:end-1],5));
39    T_b=table2array(observations(:,6));
40
41    %% Q1_a & Q1_b
42
43    disp("Q1_a &Q1_b:")
44    c_1b = [-4 -2.5];
45    A_1b = [1 1;3000 1500];
46    b_1b = [12 24000 + 300*E1]';
47    lb_1b = [0 0]';
48    ub_1b = [inf inf]';
49    [x_1b,val_1b,flag_1b] = ...
50    linprog(c_1b, A_1b, b_1b, [], [], lb_1b, ub_1b, [], line_optim_opt);
51    possi_x_1b=[5,6,6;7,5,6];
52    possi_power=-c_1b*possi_x_1b;
53    cost=A_1b(2,:) *possi_x_1b;
54
55    disp("without considering integral")
56    disp("installation plan");
57    disp(x_1b);

```

```

55     disp("maximum power");
56     disp(-val_1b);
57
58     disp("possible integer installation plan:");
59     disp(poss_i_1b);
60     disp("possible power:");
61     disp(poss_i_power);
62     disp("they cost:");
63     disp(cost);
64     disp("the third plan is not feasible , so ")
65
66     disp("installation plan");
67     disp(poss_i_1b(:,1));
68     disp("maximum power");
69     disp(poss_i_power(1));
70
71     disp("%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%");
72
73     %% Q1_c
74     disp("Q1_c");
75     maintenance=eval(maintenance);
76
77     acc_maintenance=cumsum(maintenance,2); %%maintenance accumulation by ...
78     years
79     budget=(4000+100*E1)*ones(1,10);
80     acc_budget=cumsum(budget); %%maintenance budget accumulation by years
81
82     x_1c=[];
83     val_1c=[];
84     flag_1c=[];
85     f_1c=-[4,2.5];
86
87     for i=1:1:10
88         A_1c=[1,1;3000+acc_maintenance(1,i),1500+acc_maintenance(2,i)];
89         b_1c=[12,24000+300*E1+acc_budget(1,i)];
90         lb_1c=[0,0]';
91         ub_1c=[];
92         [tem_x,tem_val,tem_flag]=...
93             linprog(f_1c,A_1c,b_1c,[],[],lb_1c,ub_1c,lin_optim_opt);
94         x_1c=[x_1c,tem_x];
95         val_1c=[val_1c,tem_val];
96         flag_1c=[flag_1c,tem_flag];
97     end
98
99     disp('installation plan for different duration:');
100     disp(x_1c);
101     disp('maximum power for different duration');
102     disp(-val_1c);
103     disp('optimization flag for different duration');
104     disp(flag_1c);
105
106     duration=max(-val_1c);
107
108     disp("without considering the answer should be integral:")
109     [opt_val,duration_time]=max(-val_1c);
110     max_power_1c=x_1c(:,duration_time);
111     disp("the best durable years");
112     disp(duration_time);
113     disp("best installation plan");
114     disp(max_power_1c);

```

```

114     disp('maximum power');
115     disp(max(-val_1c))
116
117     %integral answer for duration time 5
118     possi_instal_plans=[11,11,12;0,1,0]; %possible integer solutions for ...
        durable years 5
119     A_cost_1c=[3000+acc_maintenance(1,5),1500+acc_maintenance(2,5)];
120     b_cost_1c=24000+300*E1+acc_budget(1,5);
121     possi_cost=zeros(1,3);
122     possi_power=zeros(1,3);
123
124     for i=1:1:3
125         possi_cost(i)=A_cost_1c*possi_instal_plans(:,i);
126         possi_power(i)=[4,2.5]*possi_instal_plans(:,i);
127         if possi_cost(i)>b_cost_1c %whether meet constraints or not
128             possi_cost(i)=-1;
129             possi_power(i)=-1;
130         end
131     end
132
133     [max_power_1c,pos]=max(possi_power);
134     instal_plan_1c=possi_instal_plans(:,pos);
135
136     disp("considering integer constraints, for 5 years:");
137     disp("optimal integer installation plan:");
138     disp(instal_plan_1c);
139     disp("optimal power:");
140     disp(max_power_1c);
141     disp("However, if duration time is chosen to be 4,6"+...
142         " it seems also possible to obtain this plan");
143
144     %test other duration years
145     possi_cost=zeros(3,3);
146     possi_power=zeros(1,3);
147     for i=1:1:3
148         A_cost_1c=[3000+acc_maintenance(1,i+3),1500+acc_maintenance(2,i+3)];
149         b_cost_1c=24000+300*E1+acc_budget(1,i+3);
150         for j=1:1:3
151             possi_cost(i,j)=A_cost_1c*possi_instal_plans(:,j);
152             possi_power(i,j)=[4,2.5]*possi_instal_plans(:,j);
153             if possi_cost(i,j)>b_cost_1c
154                 possi_cost(i,j)=-1;
155                 possi_power(i,j)=-1;
156             end
157         end
158     end
159
160     disp("check all possible install plan for duration time 4,5,6");
161     disp(possi_instal_plans);
162     disp("check all possible maximum power for duration time 4,5,6");
163     disp(possi_power);
164     disp("And now we can find, for duration time 4,5,6,7, choose 11 x_1b ...
        and 1 Y "+...
165         "we can obtain optimal power 46.5kW");
166
167     disp("%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%");
168
169     %% Q3
170     disp("Q3:");
171     %task3

```

```

172 Qsigma3 = Qocc3(1:2159,1) - Qvent3(1:2159,1) + Qac3(1:2159,1);
173 Tsigma3 = Tamb3(1:2159,1) - TK3(1:2159,1);
174
175 phi_3 = Δ_t*[Qsolar3(1:2159,1) Qsigma3 Tsigma3];
176 Y_3 = TK1_3(2:2160,1) - TK3(1:2159,1);
177 H_3 = 2*(phi_3') * phi_3;
178 C_3 = -2*(Y_3') * phi_3;
179 % Five = [TK Q];
180 % H2 = Five' * Five;
181 % c2 = -2 * TK1' * Five;
182 % lb_3 = [-Inf -Inf];
183 % ub_3 = [Inf Inf];
184 [x_3, val_3, flag_3] = ...
185     quadprog(H_3, C_3, [], [], [], [], [], [], [], quad_optim_opt);
186
187 if flag_3==1
188     disp("value of a1,a2,a3 are:");
189     disp(x_3);
190 else
191     disp("no optimal solutions");
192 end
193 disp("%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%");
194
195 %% Q_4
196 disp("Q4")
197
198 N=2160;
199 T1=22.43;
200 additional_cost=0.1+13/10;
201 price_phi=table2array(observations(:,end));
202
203 T_min=ones(N-1,1)*15;
204 T_max=ones(N-1,1)*28;
205 T_ref=ones(N-1,1)*22;
206 q_dot_ac_max=ones(N,1)*100;
207 lb_4=[zeros(N,1);T_min];
208 ub_4=[q_dot_ac_max;T_max];
209
210 for i=1:N-1
211     if (q_dot_occ(i)<0)
212         lb_4(N+i-1)=-inf;
213         ub_4(N+i-1)=inf;
214     end
215 end
216
217 c_4=[price_phi;-2*additional_cost*T_ref];
218
219 H_4=zeros(2160*2-1,2160*2-1);
220 for i=2161:2*2160-1
221     H_4(i,i)=additional_cost*2;
222 end
223
224 parameter_A=1-x_3(3)*Δ_t;
225
226 parameter_B=[x_3(1)*Δ_t,x_3(2)*Δ_t,x_3(2)*Δ_t,...
227     -x_3(2)*Δ_t,x_3(3)*Δ_t];
228
229 beq_4=parameter_B(1)*q_dot_solar+parameter_B(2)*q_dot_occ+...
230     parameter_B(4)*q_dot_vent+parameter_B(5)*T_amb;
231 beq_4(1)=beq_4(1)+T1*parameter_A;

```

```

232
233     A1=diag(-parameter_B(3)*ones(1,N-1));
234     A2=zeros(N-1,1);
235     A3=diag(ones(1,N-1))+diag(-ones(1,N-1-1)*parameter_A,-1);
236     Aeq_4=[A1,A2,A3];
237
238     [x_4,fval_4,flag_4]=...
239         quadprog(H_4,c_4,[],[],Aeq_4,beq_4,lb_4,ub_4,[],quad_optim_opt);
240
241     if flag_4==1
242         fval_4=fval_4+1.4*2160*22^2+1.4*T1^2-2.8*22*T1;
243         disp("the optimal cost for air-conditioning along the horizon of N ...
244             steps");
245         disp(fval_4);
246     else
247         disp("no optimal solutions");
248     end

```