

# Smart Control Algorithm for 2-DOF Helicopter

Glenn Janiak    Kenneth Vonckx    Advisor: Dr. Suruz Miah

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
Bradley University  
1501 W. Bradley Avenue  
Peoria, IL, 61625, USA

Saturday, May 4, 2019

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Introduction

- Helicopter are important for short-distance travel
  - air-sea rescue
  - fire fighting
  - traffic control
  - tourism
- Purpose of control system
  - resistance to turbulence
  - enable use of mobile device
- Which is better?
  - Optimal Control (Linear Quadratic Regulator)
  - Optimal Noise Resistant Control (Linear Quadratic Gaussian)
  - Machine Learning (Approximate Dynamic Programming)

# Demonstration

# Introduction




Figure 1: General high-level system architecture

# Introduction

- This project will:
  - use a pair of 2-DOF (2-degrees-of-freedom) mechatronics platforms
  - implement control algorithms on embedded system
  - use mobile device for user control
  - encourage research
  - serve as an educational tool

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Background Study

## Control Techniques

Various control techniques have been proposed for 2-DOF helicopters such as:

- Sliding mode control [1]
- Fuzzy Logic control [2] [3] [4]
- Data-driven Adaptive Optimal Output-feedback control [5]
- Decentralized discrete-time neural control [6]

These control techniques employ advanced mathematics that are difficult to implement on embedded systems.



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Background Study

## Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter




Figure 2: Model of a 2-DOF helicopter




Figure 3: Quanser Aero

# Background Study

## Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter

- Characterized by fixed base
  - Can change 2 of 3 possible orientations...
    - Pitch ( $\theta$ )
    - Yaw ( $\psi$ )
    - *Not Roll*
  - and cannot change position
    - x direction
    - y direction
    - z direction

# Background Study

## Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter

- Motors are attached to the propellers to create thrust due to air resistance
  - Main - changes pitch angle
  - Tail - changes yaw angle
- Torque due to rotation also creates a force on opposite axes

# Background Study

## Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter

Due to the efficiency of the Quanser Aero, we can create a linearized system model:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{Ax}(t) + \mathbf{Bu}(t), \text{ such that} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -K_{sp}/J_p & -D_p/J_p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -D_y/J_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \psi \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ K_{pp}/J_p & K_{py}/J_p \\ K_{yp}/J_y & K_{yy}/J_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_p \\ V_y \end{bmatrix}$$

# Background Study

## Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter

- $K_{sp}$  - being the stiffness of the axes
- $K_{pp}$  - pitch motor thrust constant
- $K_{py}$  - thrust constant acting on the pitch angle from the yaw motor
- $K_{yp}$  - thrust constant acting on the yaw angle from the pitch motor
- $K_{yy}$  - yaw motor thrust constant
- $J_p$  - moment of inertia about pitch axis
- $J_y$  - moment of inertia about yaw axis
- $D_p$  - viscous damping of the pitch axis
- $D_y$  - viscous damping of the yaw axis

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - **Control Algorithm and Architecture**
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Background Study

## Control Algorithm Overview - Optimal Control

- 1 Employ state-space representation of 2-DOF helicopter:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$$

- 2 Use state feedback law

$$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}$$

to minimize the quadratic cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{u}) = \int_0^{\infty} (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u} + 2\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{N} \mathbf{u}) dt$$

- 3 Find the solution  $\mathbf{S}$  to the Riccati equation

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N}^T) + \mathbf{Q} = 0$$

- 4 Calculate gain,  $\mathbf{K}$

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N}^T)$$



# Background Study

## Control Algorithm Overview - Optimal Noise Resistant Control

- Utilizes gain calculated in LQR
- Added Kalman filter to reduce external disturbances to the system




Figure 4: Noise resistant 2-DOF helicopter model

# Background Study

## Control Algorithm Overview - Machine Learning




Figure 5: Neural network




Figure 6: Neural network sampling

# Background Study

## Control Architecture Overview - P Type Controller




Figure 7: Optimal P type controller [servo]

# Background Study

## Control Architecture Overview - PI Type Controller




Figure 8: Optimal PI type controller [servo]

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - **Prior Work**
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Background Study

## Prior Work

- extensive modeling & simulations
- implementation of two motion control algorithms (LQR & ADP)
- one helicopter

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Subsystem Level Functional Requirements

## Block Diagram




Figure 9: Communication model



# Subsystem Level Functional Requirements

## Block Diagram





Figure 10: Low level smart control diagram

# Outline


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Simulation

## Optimal Control Simulation (P Type Controller)



(a)



(b)

Figure 11: Optimal control (P type controller) simulation (a) position and (b) voltage w/ step input

# Simulation

## Optimal Control Simulation (P Type Controller)





Figure 12: Optimal control (P type controller) simulation w/ constant signal

# Outline


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Simulation

## Noise Resistant and Optimal Control (PI type Controller) Simulation



(a)




(b)


Figure 13: Noise resistant control vs optimal control (PI type controller) simulation (a) pitch position and (b) yaw position w/ step input

# Simulation

## Noise Resistant and Optimal Control (PI Type Controller) Simulation



(a)



(b)

Figure 14: Noise resistant control vs optimal control (PI type controller) simulation (a) pitch voltage and (b) yaw voltage w/ step input


# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions




# Implementation

## Optimal Control P and PI Type Controller USB



(a)




(b)


**Figure 15:** USB implementation comparison between optimal control (P type controller) and optimal control (PI type controller) for (a) pitch and (b) yaw configurations w/ step input

# Implementation

## Optimal Control P and PI Type Controller USB



(a)



(b)

**Figure 16:** USB implementation comparison between optimal control (P type controller) and optimal control (PI type controller) for (a) pitch and (b) yaw voltages w/ step input

# Implementation


## Optimal Control P and PI Type Controller USB

	Pitch Step	Yaw Step
LQR P	3.5025	5.8502
LQR PI	1.2349	5.5058
Improvement	64.7437%	0.5408%
	Pitch Square	Yaw Square
LQR P	6.2819	20.4623
LQR PI	6.9206	21.0709
Improvement	-10.1675%	-2.9740%
	Pitch Sine	Yaw Sine
LQR P	4.2469	2.8644
LQR PI	1.3383	1.7852
Improvement	68.4872%	63.2998%


Table 1: Root mean squared error

# Implementation

## Machine Learning and Optimal Control (P Type Controller) USB



(a)



(b)

Figure 17: USB implementation comparison between machine learning and optimal control (P type controller) for (a) pitch and (b) yaw orientations w/ step input

# Implementation

## Machine Learning and Optimal Control (P Type Controller) USB

	Pitch Step	Yaw Step
ADP P	1.3067	6.1991
LQR P	3.5025	5.8502
Improvement	62.6923%	-5.9638%
	Pitch Square	Yaw Square
ADP P	6.5790	21.1923
LQR P	6.2819	20.4623
Improvement	-4.7294%	-0.3567%
	Pitch Sine	Yaw Sine
ADP P	2.1877	3.6307
LQR P	4.2469	2.8644
Improvement	48.4871%	-26.7525%


Table 2: Root mean squared error

# Outline


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Background Study
  - Control Techniques
  - Modeling a 2-DOF Helicopter
  - Control Algorithm and Architecture
  - Prior Work
- 3 Subsystem Level Functional Requirements
  - Block Diagram
- 4 Simulation
  - Optimal Control Simulation
  - Noise Resistant and Optimal Control Simulation
- 5 Implementation
  - USB
  - Android
- 6 Future Directions

# Implementation

## Optimal Control (P Type Controller) via Android



(a)




(b)


**Figure 18:** Optimal control (P type controller) (a) pitch position and (b) yaw position w/ input from mobile phone

# Implementation

## Optimal Control (P Type Controller) via Android



(a)




(b)

Figure 19: Optimal control (P type controller) (a) pitch voltage and (b) yaw voltage w/ input from mobile phone




# Implementation

## Machine Learning via Android



(a)




(b)


Figure 20: Machine learning (a) pitch position and (b) yaw position w/ input from mobile phone

# Implementation

## Machine Learning via Android



(a)



(b)

**Figure 21:** Machine learning (a) pitch voltage and (b) yaw voltage w/ input from mobile phone

# Future Directions

- Discretization of System
- Digital Compass
- Enhanced Smart Control




Figure 22: Enhanced smart control

- Implementation on 6-DOF Helicopter

# Summary

- Embedded implementation of control algorithms
- Mobile interface
- PI type control improves steady-state error
- Machine Learning is best when system parameters are unknown or time-variant

# Acknowledgement

Special Thanks to Andrew Fandel, Anthony Birge, and Dr. Suruz Miah for their work with Machine Learning on a 2-DOF Helicopter

# For Further Reading I

- [1] Q. Ahmed, A. I. Bhatti, S. Iqbal, and I. H. Kazmi, “2-sliding mode based robust control for 2-dof helicopter,” in *2010 11th International Workshop on Variable Structure Systems (VSS)*, June 2010, pp. 481–486.
- [2] W. Chang, J. Moon, and H. Lee, “Fuzzy model-based output-tracking control for 2 degree-of-freedom helicopter,” *Journal of Electrical Engineering Technology*, vol. 12.00, no. 1, pp. 1921–1928, 2017, quanser product(s): 2 DOF Helicopter.
- [3] E. Kayacan and M. Khanesar, “Recurrent interval type-2 fuzzy control of 2-dof helicopter with finite time training algorithm,” in *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, July 2016, pp. 293–299.

# For Further Reading II

- [4] P. Mndez-Monroy and H. Bentez-Prez, “Fuzzy control with estimated variable sampling period for non-linear networked control systems: 2-dof helicopter as case study,” *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement*, vol. no. 7, October 2012.
- [5] W. Gao and Z. P. Jiang, “Data-driven adaptive optimal output-feedback control of a 2-dof helicopter,” in *2016 American Control Conference (ACC)*, July 2016, pp. 2512–2517.
- [6] M. Hernandez-Gonzalez, A. Alanis, and E. Hernandez-Vargas, “Decentralized discrete-time neural control for a quanser 2-dof helicopter,” *Applied Soft Computing*, 2012.