We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

(Hint) Write a recurrence to analyze the algorithm's running time if we choose a list of size *k*.

1

ECE-374-B: Lecture 11 - Backtracking and memoization

Instructor: Abhishek Kumar Umrawal

October 03, 2023

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

(Hint) Write a recurrence to analyze the algorithm's running time if we choose a list of size *k*.

Learning Objectives


Learning Objectives

At the end of the lecture, you should be able to understand

- the details of the quickselect and medians of median algorithms,
- the idea of backtracking through the 8-queens puzzle,
- the longest increasing subsequence problem and recursive algorithms to solve it,
- the intuition behind memoization.

Given an array A = [0, ..., n-1] of n numbers and an index i, where $0 \le i \le n-1$, find the i^{th} smallest element of A.

For instance, assume n = 20 and i = 10.



The smallest element of rank 10 would be 11. But how do we figure that out

Do median of medians.....

Call Median-of-Medians(A, 10)

Given an array A = [0, ..., n-1] of n numbers and an index i, where $0 \le i \le n-1$, find the ith smallest element of A.

For instance, assume n = 20 and i = 10.

The smallest element of rank 10 would be 11. But how do we figure that out

Do median of medians.....

Call Median-of-Medians(A, 10)

First thing we need to do is find the pivot!

Given an array A = [0, ..., n-1] of n numbers and an index i, where $0 \le i \le n-1$, find the ith smallest element of A.

For instance, assume n = 20 and i = 10.

The smallest element of rank 10 would be 11. But how do we figure that out

Do median of medians.....

Call Median-of-Medians(A, 10)

First thing we need to do is find the pivot!

First we reorganize:

| 4 | 17 | 8 | 16 |
|----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 9 | 18 | 6 |
| 15 | 10 | 11 | 19 |
| 7 | 14 | 2 | 5 |
| 1 | 13 | 12 | 20 |

First we reorganize:

| 4 | 17 | 8 | 16 |
|----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 9 | 18 | 6 |
| 15 | 10 | 11 | 19 |
| 7 | 14 | 2 | 5 |
| 1 | 13 | 12 | 20 |

Then we sort each column:

| 1 | 9 | 2 | 5 | | |
|----|----|----|----|--|--|
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 6 | | |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | 16 | | |
| 7 | 14 | 12 | 19 | | |
| 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 | | |

First we reorganize:

| 4 | 17 | 8 | 16 |
|----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 9 | 18 | 6 |
| 15 | 10 | 11 | 19 |
| 7 | 14 | 2 | 5 |
| 1 | 13 | 12 | 20 |

Then we sort each column:

| 1 | 9 | 2 | 5 |
|----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | 16 |
| 7 | 14 | 12 | 19 |
| 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 |

Still need the pivot. Find median of medians

| 1 | 9 | 2 | 5 |
|----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | 16 |
| 7 | 14 | 12 | 19 |
| 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 |

| 1 | 9 | 2 | 5 | |
|----|----|----|----|--|
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 6 | |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | 16 | |
| 7 | 14 | 12 | 19 | |
| 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 | |

- Call Median-of-Medians([4,13,11,16], floor(len/2) = 2)
- · Can sort this in linear time.
- · Get back 13.
- 13 is our new pivot!

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=13) to break it up into two.



We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 12$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 7$
- Want k = 10

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=13) to break it up into two.



We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 12$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 7$
- Want k = 10

Call Median-of-Medians(A_{Lower}, 10)

Then we do this again:

| 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|
|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|

Then we do this again:

| 4 3 7 1 | 9 10 | 8 11 | 2 12 | 6 | 5 |
|---------|------|------|------|---|---|
|---------|------|------|------|---|---|

First we reorganize:

| 4 | 10 | |
|---|----|---|
| 3 | 8 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 9 | 12 | |

Then we do this again:

| | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 5 | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|--|
|--|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|--|

First we reorganize:

| 4 | 10 | |
|---|----|---|
| 3 | 8 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 9 | 12 | |

Then we sort each column:


| 1 | 2 | |
|---|----|---|
| 3 | 8 | 5 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | |
| 9 | 12 | |


| 1 | 2 | |
|---|----|---|
| 3 | 8 | 5 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | |
| 9 | 12 | |

| 1 | 2 | |
|---|----|---|
| 3 | 8 | 5 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | |
| 9 | 12 | |

- Call Median-of-Medians([4,10,6], floor(len/2) = 1)
- · Can sort this in linear time.
- · Get back 6.
- 6 is our new pivot!

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=12) to break it up into two (well three).







We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 5$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 6$
- Want k = 10 (pivot is of rank 6)

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=12) to break it up into two (well three).





We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 5$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 6$
- Want k = 10 (pivot is of rank 6)

Call Median-of-Medians (A_{Upper} , 10 – 6 = 4)

Then we do this again:

| 7 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
|---|---|----|---|----|----|
|---|---|----|---|----|----|

Then we do this again:

| 7 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
|---|---|----|---|----|----|
|---|---|----|---|----|----|

First we reorganize:

| 7 | |
|----|----|
| 9 | |
| 10 | 12 |
| 8 | |
| 11 | |

Then we do this again:

| 7 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
|---|---|----|---|----|----|

First we reorganize:

| 7 | |
|----|----|
| 9 | |
| 10 | 12 |
| 8 | |
| 11 | |

Then we sort each column:

| 7 | |
|----|----|
| 8 | |
| 9 | 12 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |

| 7 | |
|----|----|
| 8 | |
| 9 | 12 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |

| 7 | |
|----|----|
| 8 | |
| 9 | 12 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |

- Call Median-of-Medians([9,12], floor(len/2) = 1)
- · Can sort this in linear time.
- · Get back 12.
- 12 is our new pivot!

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=6) to break it up into two (well three).

12

We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 5$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 0$
- Want k = 4 (pivot is of rank 5)

Back to our original array! Use the pivot (=6) to break it up into two (well three).

12

We know the following:

- $len(A_{Lower}) = 5$
- $len(A_{Upper}) = 0$
- Want k = 4 (pivot is of rank 5)

Call Median-of-Medians(ALOWER, 4)

Final Step!



Can sort in linear time!

Return Sorted(A[4]) = 11

Median of medians time analysis

```
Median-of-medians(A, i):
    sublists = [A[j:j+5] for j \in range(0, len(A), 5)]
    medians = [sorted (sublist)[len (sublist)/2] for sublist ∈sublists]
    // Base Case
    if len (A) \le 5 return sorted (a)[i]
    // Find median of medians
    if len (medians) < 5
         pivot = sorted (medians)[len (medians)/2]
    else
         pivot = Median-of-medians (medians, len/2)
    // Partitioning Step
    low = [j \text{ for } j \in A \text{ if } j < pivot]
    high = [j for j ∈A if j > pivot]
    k = len (low)
    if i < k
        return Median-of-medians (low, i)
    elseif i > k
        return Median-of-medians (low, i-k-1)
    else
    return pivot
```

Median of medians time analysis

```
Median-of-medians(A, i):
    sublists = [A[j:j+5] for j \in range(0, len(A), 5)]
    medians = [sorted (sublist)[len (sublist)/2] for sublist ∈sublists]
    // Base Case
    if len (A) < 5 return sorted (a)[i]
    // Find median of medians
    if len (medians) < 5
         pivot = sorted (medians)[len (medians)/2]
    else
         pivot = Median-of-medians (medians, len/2)
    // Partitioning Step
    low = [j \text{ for } j \in A \text{ if } j < pivot]
    high = [j for j ∈A if j > pivot]
    k = len (low)
    if i < k
        return Median-of-medians (low, i)
    elseif i > k
         return Median-of-medians (low, i-k-1)
    else
    return pivot
```

$$T(n) = T(\frac{1}{5}n) + T(\frac{7}{10}n) + cn$$

We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

$$T(n) = T(\frac{1}{3}n) + T(\frac{4}{6}n) + cn$$

We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

$$T(n) = T(\frac{1}{3}n) + T(\frac{4}{6}n) + cn$$

What about k = 7?

Pre-lecture brain teaser

We saw a linear time selection algorithm in the previous lecture.

Why did we choose lists of size 5? Will lists of size 3 work?

$$T(n) = T(\frac{1}{3}n) + T(\frac{4}{6}n) + cn$$

What about k = 7?

$$T(n) = T(\frac{1}{7}n) + T(\frac{10}{14}n) + cn$$

On different techniques for recursive algorithms

Recursion

Reduction: Reduce one problem to another

Recursion

A special case of reduction

- reduce problem to a smaller instance of itself
- self-reduction
- Problem instance of size n is reduced to one or more instances of size n — 1 or less.
- For termination, problem instances of small size are solved by some other method as base cases.

Recursion in Algorithm Design

 Tail Recursion: problem reduced to a single recursive call after some work. Easy to convert algorithm into iterative or greedy algorithms.


Examples: Interval scheduling, MST algorithms....

 Divide and Conquer: Problem reduced to multiple independent sub-problems that are solved separately. Conquer step puts together solution for bigger problem.

Examples: Closest pair, median selection, quick sort.


- Backtracking: Refinement of brute force search. Build solution incrementally by invoking recursion to try all possibilities for the decision in each step.
- Dynamic Programming: problem reduced to multiple (typically)
 dependent or overlapping sub-problems. Use memoization to
 avoid recomputation of common solutions leading to iterative
 bottom-up algorithm.


Search trees and backtracking





Q: How many queens can one place on the board?


Q: Can one place 8 queens on the board?





















Q: How many queens can one place on the board?

Q: Can one place 8 queens on the board? How many permutations?


The eight queens puzzle

Problem published in 1848, solved in 1850.




The eight queens puzzle

Problem published in 1848, solved in 1850.




Q: How to solve problem for general *n*?

Introducing concept of state tree



What if we attempt to find all the possible permutations and then check?


Search tree for 5 queens





Let's be a bit smarter and recognize that:

- · Queens can't be on the same row, column or diagonal
- Can have *n* queens max.

Search tree for 5 queens







Backtracking: Informal definition

Recursive search over an implicit tree, where we "backtrack" if certain possibilities do not work.

n queens C++ code

```
generate permutations( int * permut, int row, int n )
  if (row == n) {
     print board( permut, n );
     return:
  for (int val = 1; val \leq n; val + )
     if (isValid(permut, row, val)) {
       permut[ row ] = val;
       generate permutations (permut, row + 1, n);
generate permutations (permut, 0, 8);
```

Quick note: n queens - number of solutions

| N | Number of Solutions | Number of Unique Solutions |
|----|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 10 | 2 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 |
| 7 | 40 | 6 |
| 8 | 92 | 12 |
| 9 | 352 | 46 |
| 10 | 724 | 92 |
| 11 | 2,680 | 341 |
| 12 | 14,200 | 1,787 |
| 13 | 73,712 | 9,233 |
| 14 | 365,596 | 45,752 |
| 15 | 2,279,184 | 285,053 |

Longest Increasing Sub-sequence

Sequences

Definition

Sequence: an ordered list a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n . Length of a sequence is number of elements in the list.

Definition

 a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_k} is a subsequence of a_1, \ldots, a_n if $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \le n$.

Definition

A sequence is increasing if $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$. It is non-decreasing if $a_1 \le a_2 \le \ldots \le a_n$. Similarly decreasing and non-increasing.

Sequences - Example...

Example

- Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1, 9
- · Subsequence of above sequence: 5, 2, 1
- Increasing sequence: 3, 5, 9, 17, 54
- Decreasing sequence: 34, 21, 7, 5, 1
- · Increasing subsequence of the first sequence: 2,7,9.

Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem

Input A sequence of numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n Goal Find an increasing subsequence $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_k}$ of maximum length

Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem

Input A sequence of numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ Goal Find an increasing subsequence $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, ..., a_{i_k}$ of maximum length

Example

- · Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1
- · Increasing subsequences: 6, 7, 8 and 3, 5, 7, 8 and 2, 7 etc
- · Longest increasing subsequence: 3, 5, 7, 8

Naive Enumeration

Assume a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n is contained in an array A

```
algLISNaive(A[1..n]):
    max = 0
    for each subsequence B of A do
        if B is increasing and |B| > max then
            max = |B|
    Output max
```

Naive Enumeration

Assume a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n is contained in an array A

```
algLISNaive(A[1..n]):

max = 0

for each subsequence B of A do

if B is increasing and |B| > max then

max = |B|

Output max
```

Running time:

Naive Enumeration

Assume a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n is contained in an array A

```
algLISNaive(A[1..n]):
    max = 0
    for each subsequence B of A do
        if B is increasing and |B| > max then
            max = |B|
    Output max
```

Running time: $O(n2^n)$.

 2^n subsequences of a sequence of length n and O(n) time to check if a given sequence is increasing.

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

LIS(A[1..*n*]):

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

```
LIS(A[1..n]):
```

- Case 1: Does not contain A[n] in which case LIS(A[1..n]) = LIS(A[1..(n-1)])
- Case 2: contains A[n] in which case LIS(A[1..n]) is

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

LIS(A[1..*n*]):

- Case 1: Does not contain A[n] in which case LIS(A[1...n]) = LIS(A[1..(n-1)])
- Case 2: contains A[n] in which case LIS(A[1..n]) is not so clear.

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

LIS(A[1..n]):


- Case 1: Does not contain A[n] in which case LIS(A[1..n]) = LIS(A[1..(n-1)])
- Case 2: contains A[n] in which case LIS(A[1..n]) is not so clear.

Observation

For second case we want to find a subsequence in A[1..(n-1)] that is restricted to numbers less than A[n]. This suggests that a more general problem is LIS_smaller(A[1..n], x) which gives the longest increasing subsequence in A where each number in the sequence is less than x.

Example

Sequence: A[1..5] = 5, 9, 7, 8, 1



Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller(A[1..n], x): length of longest increasing subsequence in A[1..n] with all numbers in subsequence less than x

```
LIS_smaller(A[1..n], x):

if (n = 0) then return 0

m = LIS_smaller(A[1..(n - 1)], x)

if (A[n] < x) then

m = max(m, 1 + LIS_smaller(A[1..(n - 1)], A[n]))

Output m
```

```
LIS(A[1..n]):
return LIS_smaller(A[1..n], \infty)
```

Running time analysis

```
LIS_smaller(A[1..n], x):

if (n = 0) then return 0

m = LIS_smaller(A[1..(n - 1)], x)

if (A[n] < x) then

m = max(m, 1 + LIS_smaller(A[1..(n - 1)], A[n]))
Output m
```

```
LIS(A[1..n]):
return LIS_smaller(A[1..n], \infty)
```

Lemma LIS_smaller runs in $O(2^n)$ time.

Lemma LIS_smaller runs in $O(2^n)$ time.

Improvement: From $O(n2^n)$ to $O(2^n)$.

Lemma LIS_smaller runs in $O(2^n)$ time.

Improvement: From $O(n2^n)$ to $O(2^n)$.

....one can do much better using memoization!