1. A **basic arithmetic expression** is composed of characters from the set $\{1, +, \times\}$ and parentheses. Almost every integer can be represented by more than one basic arithmetic expression. For example, all of the following basic arithmetic expression represent the integer 14:

$$1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1$$

$$((1+1)\times(1+1+1+1+1))+((1+1)\times(1+1))$$

$$(1+1)\times(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1)$$

$$(1+1)\times(((1+1+1)\times(1+1))+1)$$

Describe and analyze an algorithm to compute, given an integer n as input, the minimum number of 1's in a basic arithmetic expression whose value is equal to n. The number of parentheses doesn't matter, just the number of 1's. For example, when n=14, your algorithm should return 8, for the final expression above. The running time of your algorithm should be bounded by a small polynomial function of n.

Solution: Let Min1s(n) denote the minimum number of 1s in a basic arithmetic expression with value n. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$Min1s(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \min \left\{ \min \left\{ Min1s(m) + Min1s(n-m) \mid 1 \le m \le n/2 \right\} \\ \min \left\{ \min \left\{ Min1s(m) + Min1s(n/m) \mid 1 \le m \le \sqrt{n} \text{ and } n/m \text{ is an integer} \right\} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here are the first twenty values of this function; more values can be found at *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (sequence Aoo5245).

We can memoize this function into a one-dimensional array Min1s[1..n]. Each entry Min1s[i] depends on all entries Min1s[j] with j < i, so we can fill the array in increasing index order.

```
 \frac{\text{MinOnes}(n):}{\text{Min1s}[1] \leftarrow 1} 
 \text{for } i \leftarrow 2 \text{ to } n 
 \text{Min1s}[i] \leftarrow i \qquad \langle \langle \text{Easy upper bound} \rangle \rangle 
 \text{for } m \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i/2 
 \text{Min1s}[i] \leftarrow \min \left\{ \text{Min1s}[i], \text{Min1s}[m] + \text{Min1s}[i - m] \right\} 
 \text{if } \lfloor i/m \rfloor \cdot m = i 
 \text{Min1s}[i] \leftarrow \min \left\{ \text{Min1s}[i], \text{Min1s}[m] + \text{Min1s}[i/m] \right\} 
 \text{return } \text{Min1s}[n]
```

The resulting algorithm runs in $O(n^2)$ *time* (assuming each arithmetic operation takes O(1) time).

This is not the fastest algorithm known for this problem.

To think about later:

2. Suppose you are given a sequence of integers separated by + and - signs; for example:

$$1+3-2-5+1-6+7$$

You can change the value of this expression by adding parentheses in different places. For example:

$$1+3-2-5+1-6+7=-1$$

$$(1+3-(2-5))+(1-6)+7=9$$

$$(1+(3-2))-(5+1)-(6+7)=-17$$

Describe and analyze an algorithm to compute, given a list of integers separated by + and - signs, the maximum possible value the expression can take by adding parentheses. Parentheses must be used only to group additions and subtractions; in particular, do not use them to create implicit multiplication as in 1+3(-2)(-5)+1-6+7=33.

Solution: Suppose the input consists of an array X[0..2n], where X[i] is an integer for every even index i and $X[i] \in \{+, -\}$ for every odd index i.

Let Max(i,k) and Min(i,k) respectively denote the maximum and minimum values obtainable by parenthesizing the subexpression X[2i...2k]. We need to compute Max(0,n). These functions obey the following mutual recurrences:

$$Max(i,k) = \begin{cases} X[2i] & \text{if } i = k \\ \max \begin{cases} \max \left\{ Max(i,j) + Max(j+1,k) \middle| & i \le j < k \\ X[2j+1] = + \end{cases} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \\ \max \left\{ Max(i,j) - Min(j+1,k) \middle| & i \le j < k \\ X[2j+1] = - \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$Min(i,k) = \begin{cases} X[2i] & \text{if } i = k \\ & \begin{cases} \min \left\{ Min(i,j) + Min(j+1,k) \middle| & i \leq j < k \\ X[2j+1] = + \end{cases} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \min \left\{ Min(i,j) - Max(j+1,k) \middle| & i \leq j < k \\ X[2j+1] = - \end{cases} \right\}$$

We can memoize each of these functions into a two-dimensional array. Each entry Mxx[i,k] depends on earlier entries in the same row of the same array, and later entries in the same column *in both arrays*. Thus, we can fill both arrays simultaneously, by considering rows from bottom to top in the outer loop, and considering each row from left to right in the inner loop.

The resulting algorithm (shown on the next page) runs in $O(n^3)$ time.

```
MaxValue(X[0..2n]):
  for i \leftarrow n down to 0
        Max[i,i] \leftarrow X[2k]
        Min[i,i] \leftarrow X[2k]
        for k \leftarrow i + 1 to n
              localMax \leftarrow -\infty
              localMin \leftarrow \infty
              for j \leftarrow i to k-1
                   if X[2j+1] = +
                         localMax \leftarrow max\{localMax, Max[i, j] + Max[j + 1, k]\}
                         localMin \leftarrow min\{localMin, Min[i, j] + Min[j + 1, k]\}
                    else
                         localMax \leftarrow \max\{localMax, \ Max[i,j] - Min[j+1,k]\}
                         localMin \leftarrow \min\{localMin, \ Min[i,j] - Max[j+1,k]\}
              Max[i,k] \leftarrow localMax
              Min[i,k] \leftarrow localMin
  return Max[0, n]
```

3