LCN: Lichen interaction network study

 $MK \ Lau$

Results

```
### REML
### We know from Lamit's dissertation work that lichen communities are
### heritable, largely driven by bark roughness
### Do we find similar patterns?
## Create a list to generate a results table
h2.tab <- matrix("", 10, 4)
colnames(h2.tab) <- c("Response", "H2", "R2", "p-value")</pre>
## Total cover ~ genotype
ptc.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(PC^(1/2)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ptc.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ptc.reml)</pre>
ptc.reml.pval
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 2.9627, p-value = 0.0367
fligner.test(onc.dat$PC^(1/2), onc.dat$geno)
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$PC^(1/2) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 13.751, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.3169
shapiro.test(residuals(ptc.reml))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
## data: residuals(ptc.reml)
## W = 0.95096, p-value = 0.02174
h2.tab[1, "p-value"] <- ptc.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[1, "H2"] \leftarrow H2(ptc.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[1, "R2"] <- R2(ptc.reml)
## Warning: 'r.squaredGLMM' now calculates a revised statistic. See the help
## page.
```

```
R2(ptc.reml)
         R2c
## 0.1727875
h2.tab[1, "Response"] <- "Percent Lichen Cover"
## Species richness ~ genotype
spr.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(SR^(1/2)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
spr.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(spr.reml)</pre>
spr.reml.pval
##
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 1.0001, p-value = 0.1354
shapiro.test(residuals(spr.reml))
##
    Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(spr.reml)
## W = 0.97364, p-value = 0.2467
fligner.test(onc.dat$SR^(1/2), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
##
## data: onc.dat$SR^(1/2) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 13.276, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.3493
h2.tab[2, "p-value"] <- spr.reml.pval$"p.value"</pre>
h2.tab[2, "H2"] <- H2(spr.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[2, "R2"] <- R2(spr.reml)
R2(spr.reml)
##
          R<sub>2</sub>c
## 0.09814791
h2.tab[2, "Response"] <- "Lichen Species Richness"
## Bark roughness REML
prb.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(BR^{(1/2)}) \sim (1 \mid geno), data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
prb.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(prb.reml)</pre>
prb.reml.pval
##
##
    simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
```

```
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 10.69, p-value = 2e-04
fligner.test(onc.dat$BR^(1/2), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
##
## data: onc.dat$BR^(1/2) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 6.1915, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.9061
shapiro.test(residuals(prb.reml))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(prb.reml)
## W = 0.97975, p-value = 0.4529
h2.tab[3, "p-value"] <- prb.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[3, "H2"] <- H2(prb.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[3, "R2"] \leftarrow R2(prb.reml)
R2(prb.reml)
         R<sub>2</sub>c
## 0.3783496
h2.tab[3, "Response"] <- "Percent Rough Bark"
## pH ~ qenotype
ph.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(pH^(1/2)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = na.omit(onc.dat), REML = TRUE)
ph.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ph.reml)</pre>
ph.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.52364, p-value = 0.1999
fligner.test(log(onc.dat$pH), onc.dat$geno)
##
  Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: log(onc.dat$pH) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 22.971, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.02797
shapiro.test(residuals(ph.reml))
```

##

```
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(ph.reml)
## W = 0.76737, p-value = 9.03e-08
# h2.tab[1, "p-value"] <- ph.reml.pval$"p.value"
\# h2.tab[1, "H2"] \leftarrow H2(ph.reml, g = onc.dat\$geno)
\# h2.tab[1, "R2"] \leftarrow R2(ph.reml)
R2(ph.reml)
##
         R<sub>2</sub>c
## 0.1404423
# h2.tab[1, "Response"] <- "Percent Lichen Cover"</pre>
## condensed tannins REML
ct.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(CT<sup>(1/4</sup>)) ~ (1 | geno), data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ct.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ct.reml)</pre>
ct.reml.pval
##
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
## data:
## RLRT = 4.3224, p-value = 0.0162
fligner.test(onc.dat$CT^(1/4), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$CT^(1/4) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 7.8941, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.7933
shapiro.test(residuals(ct.reml))
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(ct.reml)
## W = 0.74892, p-value = 2.431e-08
## CN ratio REML
cnr.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(CN^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno), data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)</pre>
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
cnr.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(cnr.reml)</pre>
cnr.reml.pval
##
##
    simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
##
```

```
## data:
## RLRT = 0, p-value = 1
fligner.test(onc.dat$CN^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
##
## data: onc.dat$CN^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 8.1116, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.7763
shapiro.test(residuals(cnr.reml))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(cnr.reml)
## W = 0.92183, p-value = 0.001754
## Bark roughness PCA
###### This is a rough draft of chem data analysis with new pH ######
pca.onc <- princomp(na.omit(onc.dat[, c("pH", "CT", "CN")]))</pre>
cumsum(pca.onc[["sdev"]] / sum(pca.onc[["sdev"]]))
      Comp.1
                Comp.2
                          Comp.3
## 0.7652602 0.9986463 1.0000000
tpc.onc <- pca.onc[["scores"]][, 1:2]</pre>
onc.dat.test <- cbind(onc.dat,</pre>
                      tpc.onc[match(rownames(onc.dat), rownames(tpc.onc)), ])
pc1.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(Comp.1^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat.test, REML = TRUE)
RLRsim::exactRLRT(pc1.reml)
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.68862, p-value = 0.1761
pc2.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(Comp.2^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno),</pre>
                       data = onc.dat.test, REML = TRUE)
RLRsim::exactRLRT(pc2.reml)
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.22788, p-value = 0.2843
```

```
cn.d.onc.test <- distNet(cn.onc[as.character(onc.dat.test[!is.na(onc.dat.test[, "Comp.1"]), "tree.id"])</pre>
adonis2(cn.d.onc.test ~ Comp.1 * Comp.2, data = onc.dat.test)
## Permutation test for adonis under reduced model
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 999
## adonis2(formula = cn.d.onc.test ~ Comp.1 * Comp.2, data = onc.dat.test)
                Df SumOfSqs
                                 R2
                                          F Pr(>F)
## Comp.1
                 1
                     26.78 0.01962 1.0763 0.274
                 1
                     10.07 0.00738 0.4049 0.527
## Comp.2
## Comp.1:Comp.2 1
                    108.89 0.07978 4.3767 0.036 *
                49 1219.08 0.89322
## Residual
## Total
                52 1364.81 1.00000
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
mantel(cn.d.onc.test ~ dist(na.omit(onc.dat[, c("pH", "CN", "CT")])))
##
       mantelr
                     pval1
                                 pval2
                                             pval3
                                                    llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
   0.15698039
               0.08300000 0.91800000 0.08300000 -0.06860204
                                                                0.24385570
## Is species richness correlated with percent cover?
cor.test(onc.dat[, "SR"], onc.dat[, "PC"], data = onc.dat)
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: onc.dat[, "SR"] and onc.dat[, "PC"]
## t = 8.3456, df = 55, p-value = 2.393e-11
\#\# alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.6047186 0.8437321
## sample estimates:
##
         cor
## 0.7475023
## Were these correlated with bark roughness?
ptc.prb.lm \leftarrow lm(I(PC^{(1/2)}) \sim I(BR^{(1/2)}), data = onc.dat)
summary(ptc.prb.lm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = I(PC^(1/2)) \sim I(BR^(1/2)), data = onc.dat)
##
## Residuals:
                1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -5.9770 -1.6378 0.6333 1.9603 3.4658
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                4.4142
                            1.0901
                                     4.049 0.000162 ***
                                     2.607 0.011730 *
## I(BR^(1/2))
                 0.4942
                            0.1896
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
## Residual standard error: 2.485 on 55 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.11, Adjusted R-squared: 0.09381
## F-statistic: 6.797 on 1 and 55 DF, p-value: 0.01173
fligner.test(onc.dat$PC, onc.dat$BR)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$PC and onc.dat$BR
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 27.401, df = 24, p-value =
## 0.2861
shapiro.test(residuals(ptc.prb.lm))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(ptc.prb.lm)
## W = 0.95045, p-value = 0.02061
spr.prb.lm \leftarrow lm(I(SR^{(1)}) \sim I(BR^{(1/2)}), data = onc.dat)
summary(spr.prb.lm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = I(SR^(1)) \sim I(BR^(1/2)), data = onc.dat)
## Residuals:
               1Q Median
##
      Min
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -3.0420 -1.3123 -0.1178 1.2308 4.3519
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 2.5015 0.8002 3.126 0.00283 **
## I(BR^(1/2)) 0.1709
                           0.1392 1.228 0.22456
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.824 on 55 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.0267, Adjusted R-squared: 0.009003
## F-statistic: 1.509 on 1 and 55 DF, p-value: 0.2246
fligner.test(onc.dat$SR^(1), onc.dat$BR)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$SR^(1) and onc.dat$BR
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 26.046, df = 24, p-value =
## 0.3508
shapiro.test(residuals(spr.prb.lm))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
```

```
## data: residuals(spr.prb.lm)
## W = 0.97168, p-value = 0.2008
## COM ~ genotype + Bark roughness + PTC + SPR
set.seed(2)
rcom.ng.perm <- vegan::adonis2(onc.com.rel^(1/1) ~ BR + PC + SR,</pre>
                               data = onc.dat, perm = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
set.seed(2)
rcom.perm <- vegan::adonis2(onc.com.rel^(1/1) ~ geno + BR + PC + SR,
                            data = onc.dat, perm = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
com.ng.perm <- vegan::adonis2(onc.com^(1/1) ~ BR + PC + SR,</pre>
                              data = onc.dat, perm = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
set.seed(2)
com.perm <- vegan::adonis2(onc.com^(1/1) ~ geno + BR + PC + SR,
                           data = onc.dat, perm = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
rcom.ng.perm
## Permutation test for adonis under reduced model
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 10000
## vegan::adonis2(formula = onc.com.rel^(1/1) ~ BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat, permutations = 10000, mra
           Df SumOfSqs
                                           Pr(>F)
                            R2
                                     F
                0.4398 0.03889 3.7408 0.008799 **
## BR
            1
## PC
                3.8618 0.34151 32.8482 9.999e-05 ***
            1 0.7754 0.06857 6.5958 9.999e-05 ***
## SR
## Residual 53 6.2309 0.55102
## Total
           56 11.3079 1.00000
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
rcom.perm
## Permutation test for adonis under reduced model
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 10000
## vegan::adonis2(formula = onc.com.rel^(1/1) ~ geno + BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat, permutations = 100
                                     F
##
           Df SumOfSqs
                            R2
                                           Pr(>F)
            12 2.7463 0.24287 1.8221 0.0031997 **
## geno
                0.1248 0.01104 0.9938 0.3900610
## BR
            1
            1 2.6711 0.23622 21.2661 9.999e-05 ***
## PC
            1 0.6159 0.05447 4.9036 0.0009999 ***
## SR.
               5.1498 0.45541
## Residual 41
         56 11.3079 1.00000
## Total
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
h2.tab[4, "p-value"] <- unlist(rcom.perm)["Pr(>F)1"]
h2.tab[4, "H2"] <- H2(rcom.perm, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[4, "R2"] <- R2(rcom.perm)
h2.tab[4, "Response"] <- "Lichen Community Composition"
```

```
## Is network similarity correlated with community composition?
ecodist::mantel(cn.d.onc ~ vegdist(onc.com.rel), mrank = TRUE)
##
                                       pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
     mantelr
                  pval1
                             pval2
## 0.09198784 0.07200000 0.92900000 0.12000000 0.05120132 0.13656424
spr.d <- dist(onc.dat$SR)</pre>
ptc.d <- dist(onc.dat$PC)</pre>
prb.d <- dist(onc.dat$BR)</pre>
### rough -> cover -> rich -> net
ecodist::mantel(cn.d.onc ~ vegdist(onc.com.rel) + spr.d + ptc.d + prb.d, mrank = TRUE)
                                        pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
##
     mantelr
                  pval1
                             pval2
## 0.06853395 0.15400000 0.84700000 0.31300000 0.02256902 0.13046001
## Partial Mantels using RFLP distance
ecodist::mantel(cn.mu.d.onc ~ rflp.d)
##
                    pval1
      mantelr
                                pval2
                                           pval3
                                                   llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
ecodist::mantel(onc.com.mu.d ~ rflp.d)
##
     mantelr
                  pval1
                             pval2
                                       pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
## 0.1179051 0.2830000 0.7180000 0.4830000 -0.2789494 0.2435282
ecodist::mantel(cn.mu.d.onc ~ onc.com.mu.d)
                    pval1
                                pval2
                                           pval3
                                                  llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
      mantelr
              0.08800000 0.91300000 0.08800000 -0.02360565 0.42465976
   0.29000439
## Was lichen network similarity determined by genotype?
cn.perm <- vegan::adonis2(cn.d.onc ~ geno + BR + PC + SR,</pre>
                         data = onc.dat, permutations = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
set.seed(1234)
cn.perm.ng <- vegan::adonis2(cn.d.onc ~ BR + PC + SR,</pre>
              data = onc.dat, permutations = 10000, mrank = TRUE)
cn.perm.ng
## Permutation test for adonis under reduced model
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 10000
## vegan::adonis2(formula = cn.d.onc ~ BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat, permutations = 10000, mrank = TRUE
           Df SumOfSqs
                            R2
                                    F
                                         Pr(>F)
                 61.42 0.03968 4.1680
                                        0.04050 *
## BR
            1
                 49.47 0.03197 3.3573
## PC
            1
                                        0.06549 .
                655.76 0.42373 44.5034 9.999e-05 ***
## SR.
            1
## Residual 53 780.96 0.50462
           56 1547.61 1.00000
## Total
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Permutation test for adonis under reduced model
```

Terms added sequentially (first to last)

```
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 10000
## vegan::adonis2(formula = cn.d.onc ~ geno + BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat, permutations = 10000, mrank
           Df SumOfSqs
                           R2
                                    F
                                          Pr(>F)
          12 450.52 0.29111 2.6902 0.008299 **
## geno
           1 29.11 0.01881 2.0858 0.150185
## BR
                30.01 0.01939 2.1504 0.152285
## PC
           1
           1 465.78 0.30097 33.3755 9.999e-05 ***
## Residual 41 572.18 0.36972
## Total 56 1547.61 1.00000
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
h2.tab[5, "p-value"] <- as.matrix(cn.perm)[1, "Pr(>F)"]
h2.tab[5, "H2"] <- H2(cn.perm, g = onc.dat[, "geno"], perm =10000)
h2.tab[5, "R2"] <- R2(cn.perm)
h2.tab[5, "Response"] <- "Lichen Network"
                                       # db rda for network similarity
dbr.cn.geno <- vegan::dbrda(cn.d.onc ~ geno, data = onc.dat, distance = "bray")
anova(dbr.cn.geno, permutations = 5000)
## Permutation test for dbrda under reduced model
## Permutation: free
## Number of permutations: 5000
## Model: vegan::dbrda(formula = cn.d.onc ~ geno, data = onc.dat, distance = "bray")
          Df Variance
                            F Pr(>F)
         12
                 8.045 1.5057 0.138
## Model
## Residual 44
                19.591
H2(dbr.cn.geno)
## [1] 0.2911089
## What aspects of networks explained the similiarity?
## L = number of edges, LD = link density, C = connectivity,
## dcen = degree centrality
link.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(log(L + 0.00000001))) \sim (1 | geno),
                         data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
link.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(link.reml, nsim = 50000)</pre>
link.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 50000 simulated values)
##
## RLRT = 2.0484, p-value = 0.06632
fligner.test(log(onc.dat$L + 0.0000001), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
##
## data: log(onc.dat$L + 1e-07) and onc.dat$geno
```

```
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 11.991, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.4464
shapiro.test(residuals(link.reml))
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(link.reml)
## W = 0.83643, p-value = 2.036e-06
h2.tab[6, "p-value"] <- link.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[6, "H2"] <- H2(link.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[6, "R2"] <- R2(link.reml)
R2(link.reml)
## 0.1701568
h2.tab[6, "Response"] <- "Number of Network Links"
                                         # network centrality
cen.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(Cen^(1/2)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
cen.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(cen.reml, nsim = 50000)</pre>
cen.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
  (p-value based on 50000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 2.7801, p-value = 0.04018
fligner.test(onc.dat$L^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$L^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 14.241, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.2856
shapiro.test(residuals(cen.reml))
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
## data: residuals(cen.reml)
## W = 0.90072, p-value = 0.0002041
h2.tab[7, "p-value"] <- cen.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[7, "H2"] \leftarrow H2(cen.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[7, "R2"] <- R2(cen.reml)
R2(cen.reml)
##
         R<sub>2</sub>c
## 0.2016649
```

```
h2.tab[7, "Response"] <- "Network Centrality"
                                         # network modularity
mod.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(onc.ns[, "mod.lik"]^(1/4)) ~ (1 | geno),
                        data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
mod.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(mod.reml)</pre>
mod.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
  (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.23363, p-value = 0.2769
fligner.test(onc.ns[, "mod.lik"]^(1/4), onc.dat$geno)
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.ns[, "mod.lik"]^(1/4) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 13.439, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.3379
shapiro.test(residuals(mod.reml))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(mod.reml)
## W = 0.54001, p-value = 4.252e-12
h2.tab[8, "p-value"] <- mod.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[8, "H2"] \leftarrow H2(mod.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[8, "R2"] <- R2(mod.reml)
h2.tab[8, "Response"] <- "Network Modularity"
## Added diversity and evenness
## Species diversity ~ genotype
spd.reml \leftarrow lme4::lmer(I(SD^(1/2)) \sim (1 \mid geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
spd.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(spd.reml)</pre>
spd.reml.pval
##
##
    simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
    (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 1.1007, p-value = 0.1281
shapiro.test(residuals(spd.reml))
```

##

```
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(spd.reml)
## W = 0.9289, p-value = 0.002422
fligner.test(onc.dat$SD^(1/2), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$SD^(1/2) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 17.299, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.1387
h2.tab[9, "p-value"] <- spd.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[9, "H2"] <- H2(spd.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[9, "R2"] <- R2(spd.reml)
R2(spd.reml)
         R<sub>2</sub>c
## 0.1097691
h2.tab[9, "Response"] <- "Lichen Species Diversity"
## Species diversity ~ genotype
spe.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(SE^(1/4)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
spe.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(spe.reml)</pre>
spe.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 1.8008, p-value = 0.0765
shapiro.test(residuals(spe.reml))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(spe.reml)
## W = 0.77532, p-value = 6.117e-08
fligner.test(onc.dat$SD^(1/2), onc.dat$geno)
##
  Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: onc.dat$SD^(1/2) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 17.299, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.1387
h2.tab[10, "p-value"] <- spe.reml.pval$"p.value"
h2.tab[10, "H2"] <- H2(spe.reml, g = onc.dat$geno)
h2.tab[10, "R2"] <- R2(spe.reml)
```

```
R2(spe.reml)
        R2c
## 0.1330205
h2.tab[10, "Response"] <- "Lichen Species Evenness"
                                       # network stats in relation to other variables
L.aov \leftarrow aov(I(log(L + 0.000001)) ~ BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat)
summary(L.aov)
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                          Pr(>F)
## BR
               1 102.3
                          102.3 2.776
                                          0.1016
               1 239.6
## PC
                          239.6 6.504
                                          0.0137 *
## SR
               1 957.0
                          957.0 25.980 4.71e-06 ***
              53 1952.2
                           36.8
## Residuals
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
shapiro.test(residuals(L.aov))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(L.aov)
## W = 0.9629, p-value = 0.07794
cen.aov \leftarrow aov(I(Cen^(1/2)) ~ BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat)
summary(cen.aov)
##
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## BR
                   3.77
                           3.77
                                 2.174
                                           0.146
               1
## PC
               1
                  6.46
                           6.46
                                 3.724
                                           0.059 .
## SR
               1 56.48
                          56.48 32.552 5.31e-07 ***
## Residuals
              53 91.95
                          1.73
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
shapiro.test(residuals(cen.aov))
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residuals(cen.aov)
## W = 0.97222, p-value = 0.2126
mod.aov \leftarrow aov(I(onc.ns[, "mod.lik"]^(1/4)) \sim BR + PC + SR, data = onc.dat)
summary(mod.aov)
##
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                          Pr(>F)
## BR
               1 0.0442 0.0442 0.787
                                           0.379
## PC
               1 0.0879 0.0879
                                 1.564
                                           0.217
               1 1.3799 1.3799 24.558 7.76e-06 ***
## SR
## Residuals
             53 2.9781 0.0562
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
shapiro.test(residuals((mod.aov)))
##
##
  Shapiro-Wilk normality test
## data: residuals((mod.aov))
## W = 0.9201, p-value = 0.001078
cor.test(onc.ns[, "L"], onc.ns[, "Cen"])
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
## data: onc.ns[, "L"] and onc.ns[, "Cen"]
## t = 13.37, df = 55, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.7950728 0.9244074
## sample estimates:
##
         cor
## 0.8744752
                                         # are these metrics correlated with network similarity
L.d <- dist(onc.dat$L)
cen.d <- dist(onc.dat$Cen)</pre>
mod.d <- dist(cn.mod.onc)</pre>
cn.L.cen.perm <- adonis2(cn.d.onc ~ L + Cen, data = onc.dat, mrank = TRUE)</pre>
## So, are there patterns in the centrality of individual lichen species?
sppcen.test <- apply(cen.spp[, apply(cen.spp, 2, sum) >= 2], 2, function(x)
    lme4::lmer(I(x^(1/2)) \sim (1 \mid geno), data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE))
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
sppcen.pval <- lapply(sppcen.test, RLRsim::exactRLRT)</pre>
sppcen.tab <- do.call(rbind, lapply(sppcen.pval, function(x)</pre>
    c(x[["statistic"]], x[["p.value"]])))
sppcen.h2 <- round(unlist(lapply(sppcen.test, H2)), 3)</pre>
sppcen.h2
            Cs
                        Ch
                               Xm
      Χg
                  Ls
## 0.000 0.127 0.000 0.258 0.201 0.000 0.000
## Mean centrality of species
sort(apply(cen.spp, 2, mean), decreasing = TRUE)
##
                                  Ls
                                             Rs
                                                         Χg
                                                                    Pm
## 0.73204678 0.54157218 0.39722829 0.18378675 0.14553120 0.07914127
## 0.06376775 0.02105263 0.00000000
## Ordinations
### nits = 10,
```

```
### iconf = random
### epsilon = 1e-12 = acceptable change in stress
### maxit = 500 = maximum number of iterations
ord.com <- nmds.min(nms.com, 3)
## Minimum stress for given dimensionality: 0.1008923
## r^2 for minimum stress configuration: 0.9357192
## Minimum stress for given dimensionality: 0.1008923
## r^2 for minimum stress configuration: 0.9357192
ord.cn <- nmds.min(nms.cn, 2)
## Minimum stress for given dimensionality: 0.01065177
## r^2 for minimum stress configuration: 0.9993026
## Minimum stress for given dimensionality: 0.01065177
## r^2 for minimum stress configuration: 0.9993026
## checking variance explained by ordinations
ord1.cn.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(ord.cn[, 1]^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ord2.cn.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(ord.cn[, 2]^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ord1.cn.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ord1.cn.reml)</pre>
ord2.cn.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ord2.cn.reml)</pre>
ord1.cn.reml.pval
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
##
## data:
## RLRT = 1.0221, p-value = 0.1353
ord2.cn.reml.pval
##
## simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.5618, p-value = 0.1989
fligner.test(ord.cn[, 1]^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
##
  Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: ord.cn[, 1]^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 16.805, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.1571
fligner.test(ord.cn[, 2]^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
##
```

```
## data: ord.cn[, 2]^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 9.9165, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.6233
ord1.com.reml \leftarrow lme4::lmer(I(ord.com[, 1]^(1/1)) \sim (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ord2.com.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(ord.com[, 2]^(1/1)) ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = onc.dat, REML = TRUE)
ord1.com.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ord1.com.reml)</pre>
ord2.com.reml.pval <- RLRsim::exactRLRT(ord2.com.reml)</pre>
ord1.com.reml.pval
##
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
## (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.1669, p-value = 0.3055
ord2.com.reml.pval
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.98197, p-value = 0.1414
fligner.test(ord.com[, 1]^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: ord.com[, 1]^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 9.3187, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.6755
fligner.test(ord.com[, 2]^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: ord.com[, 2]^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 16.947, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.1516
fligner.test(ord.com[, 3]^(1/1), onc.dat$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: ord.com[, 3]^(1/1) and onc.dat$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 14.943, df = 12, p-value =
## 0.2446
```

```
summary(lm(ord.cn[, 1] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat))
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ord.cn[, 1] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -10.6007 -1.7887 0.1726
                               2.2110
                                        6.7059
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.77927
                          1.05175
                                   3.593 0.000706 ***
                          0.39475 -7.324 1.23e-09 ***
## SR
              -2.89115
## PC
              0.10728
                          0.02215
                                  4.844 1.11e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 3.596 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5025, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4841
## F-statistic: 27.27 on 2 and 54 DF, p-value: 6.508e-09
summary(lm(ord.cn[, 2] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat))
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ord.cn[, 2] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat)
## Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
                               30
                                      Max
## -2.4080 -0.9426 -0.6151 1.3669 2.9279
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.143124
                          0.420811 -2.716 0.008846 **
## SR
              0.561645
                          0.157944 3.556 0.000793 ***
## PC
              -0.013722
                          0.008862 -1.548 0.127384
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.439 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2223, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1935
## F-statistic: 7.718 on 2 and 54 DF, p-value: 0.001127
summary(lm(ord.com[, 1] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat))
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ord.com[, 1] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q Median
                                   3Q
## -0.18241 -0.09091 -0.01606 0.05475 0.65204
##
## Coefficients:
```

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -0.5145496  0.0395271 -13.018  < 2e-16 ***
               0.0527258 0.0148358
                                      3.554 0.000798 ***
## PC
               0.0058018 0.0008324
                                      6.970 4.61e-09 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.1351 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8048, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7976
## F-statistic: 111.3 on 2 and 54 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
summary(lm(ord.com[, 2] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat))
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ord.com[, 2] ~ SR + PC, data = onc.dat)
## Residuals:
                      Median
       Min
                 1Q
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -0.54228 -0.11829 0.03558 0.16463 0.50365
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.068196 -3.287 0.00178 **
## (Intercept) -0.224171
## SR
               0.015539
                          0.025596
                                    0.607 0.54634
## PC
               0.002973
                                    2.070 0.04328 *
                          0.001436
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2331 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2151, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1861
## F-statistic:
                 7.4 on 2 and 54 DF, p-value: 0.001444
## Lichen size distribution
## X. gallericulata thalli are about 0.22 +/- 0.003 cm^2 on average
## with an average median size of 0.12 \pm 0.001 cm<sup>2</sup>
## and, size does not vary significantly with genotype.
xgs.reml <- lme4::lmer(I(mean.thallus) ~ (1 | geno),
                      data = xgs.data[xgs.data$geno %in% names(which(table(xgs.data$geno) > 2)), ],
                      REML = TRUE)
xgs.median.reml <- lme4::lmer(median.thallus ~ (1 | geno),
                       data = xgs.data[xgs.data$geno %in% names(which(table(xgs.data$geno) > 2)), ],
                      REML = TRUE)
RLRsim::exactRLRT(xgs.reml)
##
   simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
## data:
## RLRT = 2.4792, p-value = 0.0478
RLRsim::exactRLRT(xgs.median.reml)
```

##

```
simulated finite sample distribution of RLRT.
##
##
   (p-value based on 10000 simulated values)
##
##
## data:
## RLRT = 0.092023, p-value = 0.331
fligner.test(xgs.data$mean.thallus, xgs.data$geno)
##
##
   Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: xgs.data$mean.thallus and xgs.data$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 13.244, df = 17, p-value =
## 0.7197
fligner.test(xgs.data$median.thallus, xgs.data$geno)
##
## Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances
## data: xgs.data$median.thallus and xgs.data$geno
## Fligner-Killeen:med chi-squared = 19.374, df = 17, p-value =
## 0.3075
mean(xgs.data$mean.thallus)
## [1] 0.1808442
sd(xgs.data$mean.thallus) / (length(xgs.data$mean.thallus) - 1)
## [1] 0.001845945
mean(xgs.data$median.thallus)
## [1] 0.1170852
sd(xgs.data$median.thallus) / (length(xgs.data$median.thallus) - 1)
## [1] 0.001223999
                                         # ONC and Wild Stand (Uintah)
all.dat <- rbind(wild.dat[, c("BR", "PC", "SR", "L", "Cen")],
                 onc.dat[, c("BR", "PC", "SR", "L", "Cen")])
                                         # Network distances
cn.all <- cn.wild
for (i in 1:length(cn.wild)){
    cn.all[[i]] <- cn.wild[[i]][match(rownames(cn.onc[[1]]), rownames(cn.wild[[i]])),</pre>
                                 match(colnames(cn.onc[[1]]), colnames(cn.wild[[i]]))]
}
cn.all <- append(cn.all, cn.onc)</pre>
cn.d.all <- distNet(cn.all, method = "bc")</pre>
cn.nms.geno <- c(rep("wild", length(cn.wild)), onc.geno)</pre>
if (!exists("cn.nms.all")){
    set.seed(12345)
    cn.nms.all <- nmds.min(nmds(cn.d.all, 2, 2))</pre>
    vec.all <- envfit(cn.nms.all, all.dat)</pre>
                                         # jitter identical points
    cn.nms.all[cn.nms.geno == "H10", ] <- cn.nms.all[cn.nms.geno == "H10", ] - 0.2
```

```
## Minimum stress for given dimensionality: 0.04194367
## r^2 for minimum stress configuration: 0.9915263
```

Tables

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by xtable 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

Response	H2	R2	p-value
Percent Rough Bark	0.37835	0.37835	2e-04
Network Centrality	0.20166	0.20166	0.04018
Percent Lichen Cover	0.17279	0.17279	0.0367
Number of Network Links	0.17016	0.17016	0.06632
Lichen Community Composition	0.16093	0.24287	0.0032
Lichen Species Evenness	0.13302	0.13302	0.0765
Lichen Species Diversity	0.10977	0.10977	0.1281
Lichen Species Richness	0.09815	0.09815	0.1354
Lichen Network	0.06252	0.29111	0.0083
Network Modularity	0.05731	0.05731	0.2769

Table 1: Genotypic effects of cottonwood trees on the associated lichen community.

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by x table 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
BR	1	0.44	0.04	3.74	0.0088
PC	1	3.86	0.34	32.85	0.0001
SR	1	0.78	0.07	6.60	0.0001
Residual	53	6.23	0.55		
Total	56	11.31	1.00		

Table 2: PerMANOVA Pseudo-F Table showing the predictors of community similarity.

```
include.rownames = TRUE,
include.colnames = TRUE
)
```

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by xtable 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
geno	12	2.75	0.24	1.82	0.0032
BR	1	0.12	0.01	0.99	0.3901
PC	1	2.67	0.24	21.27	0.0001
SR	1	0.62	0.05	4.90	0.0010
Residual	41	5.15	0.46		
Total	56	11.31	1.00		

Table 3: PerMANOVA Pseudo-F Table showing the predictors of community similarity.

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by x table 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
BR	1	61.42	0.04	4.17	0.0405
PC	1	49.47	0.03	3.36	0.0655
SR	1	655.76	0.42	44.50	0.0001
Residual	53	780.96	0.50		
Total	56	1547.61	1.00		

Table 4: PerMANOVA Pseudo-F Table showing the predictors of network similarity.

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by xtable 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
geno	12	450.52	0.29	2.69	0.0083
BR	1	29.11	0.02	2.09	0.1502
PC	1	30.01	0.02	2.15	0.1523
SR	1	465.78	0.30	33.38	0.0001
Residual	41	572.18	0.37		
Total	56	1547.61	1.00		

Table 5: PerMANOVA Pseudo-F Table showing the predictors of network similarity.

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by xtable 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BR	1	102.25	102.25	2.78	0.1016
PC	1	239.57	239.57	6.50	0.0137
SR	1	956.96	956.96	25.98	0.0000
Residuals	53	1952.23	36.83		

Table 6: ANOVA F Table showing the predictors of the number of network links.

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by xtable 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:54 2019

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BR	1	3.77	3.77	2.17	0.1463
PC	1	6.46	6.46	3.72	0.0590
SR	1	56.48	56.48	32.55	0.0000
Residuals	53	91.95	1.73		

Table 7: ANOVA F Table showing the predictors of network centralization.

```
print(cn.L.cen.perm.xtab,
          type = "latex",
          include.rownames = TRUE,
          include.colnames = TRUE
)
```

% latex table generated in R 3.6.1 by x table 1.8-4 package % Mon Oct 7 14:12:55 2019

	Df	SumOfSqs	R2	F	Pr(>F)
L	1	1330.80	0.86	734.67	0.0010
Cen	1	118.99	0.08	65.69	0.0010
Residual	54	97.82	0.06		
Total	56	1547.61	1.00		

Table 8: PerMANOVA Pseudo-F Table showing the predictors of network similarity.

Plots

Figure: Genotype barplots Community composition NMDS with vectors




Figure: Lichen networks

```
par(mfrow = c(2, 2), mar = c(0, 0.1, 1.0, 0.1))
set.seed(123)
net.col <- sign(meanNet(cn.mu.onc))</pre>
net.col[net.col == -1] <- 2
net.col[net.col == 1] <- 1</pre>
coord <- gplot(abs(meanNet(cn.mu.onc)), gmode = "digraph",</pre>
      displaylabels = TRUE,
      edge.lwd = abs(meanNet(cn.mu.onc)) * 20,
      edge.col = net.col,
      vertex.col = "black",
      vertex.cex = 0.5,
      arrowhead.cex = 0.5,
      label.cex = 1,
      main = "All Genotypes")
cn.mu.plot <- cn.mu.onc[names(cn.mu.onc) %in% c("996", "11", "1008")]</pre>
for (i in 1:length(cn.mu.plot)){
        net.col <- sign(cn.mu.plot[[i]])</pre>
        net.col[net.col == -1] <- 2
        net.col[net.col == 1] <- 1
        set.seed(123)
        gplot(abs(cn.mu.plot[[i]]), gmode = "digraph",
              displaylabels = TRUE,
              coord = coord,
              edge.lwd = abs(cn.mu.plot[[i]]) * 20,
              edge.col = net.col,
              vertex.col = "black",
              vertex.cex = 0.5,
              arrowhead.cex = 0.5,
              label.cex = 1,
              main = names(cn.mu.plot)[i])
```




Figure: Genotype network similarity by genotype






Figure: (A) Linkage and centrality by genotype and (B) Total cover and species richness predict L and Cen



Supplementary Figure: Lichen size distribution


```
plot(density(xgs.data$median.thallus),
    xlab = "Median Lichen Thallus Area (cm^2)",
    main = "")
abline(v = median(xgs.data$median.thallus, na.rm = TRUE), lty = 2)


u
```




Median Lichen Thallus Area (cm^2)

Figure 2






ch.plot(nms.onc, onc.geno)



Х2 ## Х1 ## 10 -0.091479884 -0.104244255 ## 1005 -0.124540472 0.074171596 **##** 1007 0.175012652 -0.154102531 ## 1008 0.061349598 0.060637950 ## 1012 -0.056201035 0.097682862 ## 1017 -0.040197275 0.050863036 ## 1023 0.053916695 0.005735269 ## 11 -0.032978298 -0.028980365 ## 996 0.076271011 -0.099167595 ## 999 0.105185439 -0.062733516

```
## H10 -0.011830997 0.122603983
## T6
          0.002941633 0.064173827
        -0.128224482 0.016507373
## WC5
## plot(cv.onc, col = "qrey30")
## legend("topleft", legend = "A")
g.order <- tapply(ns.onc[, "C"], onc.geno, mean)</pre>
g.order <- names(g.order)[order(g.order, decreasing = TRUE)]</pre>
onc.g <- factor(onc.geno, levels = g.order)</pre>
plot(ns.onc[, "C"] ~ onc.g, xlab = "Tree Genotype", ylab = "Lichen Network Connectance (C)")
Lichen Network Connectance (C)
      0.15
                                   0
       0.10
                                                          0
       0.05
       0.00
                                 1008
                                            1012
                                                        996
                                                                   1007
                                                                                999
                 11
                       10
                                            Tree Genotype
```

Which wild uintah trees are similar to garden trees?



Send results to manuscript

```
manuscript.dir <- "../../lcn manuscript"</pre>
### Send tables and figures to manuscript directory
if (exists("manuscript.dir")){
    tabs.figs <- dir(manuscript.dir)</pre>
    tab.fig.update <- dir("../results/lcn_notebook_files/figure-latex/",</pre>
                           full.names = TRUE)[
                               dir("../results/lcn_notebook_files/figure-latex/") %in% tabs.figs]
    tab.fig.update <- c(tab.fig.update,</pre>
                         dir("../docs", full.names = TRUE)[dir("../docs") %in% tabs.figs])
    sapply(tab.fig.update, file.copy, to = manuscript.dir, overwrite = TRUE)
                                          # supplementary figures
    si.dir <- paste0(manuscript.dir, "/supplement")</pre>
    si <- dir(si.dir)</pre>
    si.update <- dir("../results/lcn_notebook_files/figure-latex/",</pre>
                      full.names = TRUE)[
                          dir("../results/lcn_notebook_files/figure-latex/") %in% si]
    si.update <- c(si.update, dir("../docs", full.names = TRUE)[dir("../docs") %in% si])
    sapply(si.update, file.copy, to = si.dir,
           overwrite = TRUE)
```

Loading and pre-processing data

named list()

```
## This is a place-holder for the echoing the data loading code.
```