ITS Health Intervention

Lingfeng Shi

2024-02-26

Install packages required for the analysis (uncomment if needed)

install.packages("lmtest"); install.packages("Epi") install.packages("tsModel"); install.packages("vcd")

load the packages

library(foreign) library(tsModel) library("lmtest") library("Epi") library("splines") library("vcd")

read data from csv file

data <- read.csv("sicily.csv") head(data) data

1. Descriptive Statistics

compute the standardized rates

 $\label{eq:datarate} $$ datarate < -with (data, aces/stdpop*10^5) \# start the plot, excluding the points and the x-axis plot (datarate, type="n", ylim=c(00 ylab="Std rate x 10,000", bty="l",xaxt="n") \# shade the post intervention period grey rect(36,0,60,300,col=grey(0.9),border=F plot the observed rate for pre-intervention period points (datarate[datasmokban==0],cex=0.7) \# specify the x-axis (i.e. time units) axis(1,at=0:512,labels=F) axis(1,at=0:412+6,tick=F,labels=2002:2006) \# add a title title ("Sicily, 2002-2006")$

summary(data)

#tabulate aces before and after the smoking ban summary(dataaces[datasmokban==0]) summary(dataaces[datasmokban==0]) summary(datarate[datasmokban==0]) summary(datarate[datasmokban==1])

2. Regression model

#Poisson with the standardised population as an offset model < glm(aces \sim offset(log(stdpop)) + smokban + time, family=poisson, data) summary(model1) summary(model1) dispersionround(ci.lin(model1, Exp = T), 3) datanew < -data.frame(stdpop = mean(datastdpop), smokban=rep(c(0,1),c(360,240)), time= 1:600/10,month=rep(1:120/10,5)) # We generate predicted values based on the model in order to create a plot pred1 < -predict(model1,type="response",datanew)/mean(datastdpop)*10⁵#Thiscanthenbeplottedalongwithascattergraph(s rate x 10,000", bty="1",xaxt="n") rect(36,0,60,300,col=grey(0.9),border=F) points(datarate,cex = 0.7)axis(1, at = 0 : 5 * 12, labels = F)axis(1, at = 0 : 4 * 12 + 6, tick = F, labels = 2002 : 2006)lines((1 : 600/10), pred1, col = 2)title("Sicily, 2002 - 2006")datanew < -data.frame(stdpop = mean(datastdpop),smokban=0,time=1:600/10, month=rep(1:120/10,5)) # generate predictions under the counterfactual scenario and add it to the plot pred1b < -predict(model1,datanew,type="response")/mean(datastdpop)*

 $10^5 lines(datanew time, pred1b, col=2, lty=2)$ # return the data frame to the scenario including the intervention datanew <- data.frame(stdpop=mean(data\$stdpop), smokban=rep(c(0,1), c(360,240)), time= 1.600/10, month=rep(1.120/10,5))

#3. methodological issues

#Model checking and autocorrelation # Check the residuals by plotting against time res2 <-residuals(model2,type="deviance") plot(data\$time,res2,ylim=c(-5,10),pch=19,cex=0.7,col=grey(0.6), main="Residuals over time",ylab="Deviance residuals",xlab="Date") abline(h=0,lty=2,lwd=2) # Further check for autocorrelation by examining the autocorrelation and # partial autocorrelation functions acf(res2) pacf(res2)

#4. adjusting for seasonality

There are various ways of adjusting for seasonality - here we use harmonic

terms specifying the number of sin and cosine pairs to include (in this

case 2) and the length of the period (12 months)

```
 \begin{minipage}{0.95\textwidth} $\operatorname{model3} < -\operatorname{glm}(\operatorname{aces} \sim \operatorname{offset}(\log(\operatorname{stdpop})) + \operatorname{smokban} + \operatorname{time} + \operatorname{harmonic}(\operatorname{month}, 2, 12), \ \operatorname{family=quasipoisson}, \ \operatorname{data}) \ \operatorname{summary}(\operatorname{model3}) \ \operatorname{summary}(\operatorname{model3}) \ \operatorname{dispersionround}(ci.lin(model3, Exp} = T), 3) \#EFFECTSci.lin(model3, Exp} = T)["smokban", 5 : 7] \#TRENDexp(coef(model3)["time"] * 12) \#Weagaincheckthemodelandautocorrelation functions res3 < -residuals(model3, type = "deviance")plot(res3, ylim = c(-5, 10), pch = 19, cex = 0.7, col = grey(0.6), main = "Residuals overtime", ylab = "Deviance residuals", xlab = "Date")abline(h = 0, lty = 2, lwd = 2)acf(res3)pacf(res3) \#predict and plot of these as onally adjusted model pred3 < -predict(model3, type = "response", datanew)/mean(datastdpop) 10 ^5 plot(datarate, type = "n", ylim = c(120, 300), xlab = "Year", ylab = "Stdratex10, 000", bty = "l", xaxt = "n")rect(36, 120, 60, 300, col = grey(0.9), border = F)points(datarate, cex=0.7) axis(1, at=0.512, labels=F) axis(1, at=0.412+6, tick=F, labels=2002:2006) lines(1:600/10, pred3, col=2) title("Sicily, 2002-2006") # it is sometimes difficult to clearly see the change graphically in the # seasonally adjusted model, therefore it can be useful to plot a straight # line representing a 'deseasonalised' trend # this can be done by predicting all the observations for the same month, in # this case we use June pred3b <- predict(model3, type="response", transform(datanew, month=6))/ mean(data$stdpop)10 ^5 # this can then be added to the plot as a dashed line lines(1:600/10, pred3b, col=2, lty=2)
```

5. Additional material

add a change-in-slope

we parameterize it as an interaction between time and the ban indicator

 $\label{eq:control_co$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{col=c(2,4),inset=0.05,bty="n",cex=0.7)} \ \# \ \text{test if the change-in-slope improve the fit $\#$ the selected test} \\ & \text{here is an F-test, which accounts for the overdispersion, $\#$ while in other cases a likelihood ratio or wald} \\ & \text{test can be applied anova(model3,model4,test="F")} \ \# \ \text{not surprisingly, the p-value is similar to that of the interaction term} \\ \end{aligned}$