## Propensity Scores and Bias in Political Participation: A Reevaluation

Jacob Lara Texas AM University February 28, 2024

### Abstract

Exploring the intricate link between college education and political participation, scrutinizing Kam and Palmer (2008) for their potential oversight of selection bias, and utilize propensity score matching to provide a nuanced understanding of education's effect on civic engagement, laying a methodological groundwork for revealing education's true influence on political activity.

### Introduction

The paper scrutinizes the relationship between college education and political participation, using propensity score matching to address selection bias and provide insight into education's real impact on civic engagement.

### References

Henderson, J., Chatfield, S. (2011). Who matches? Propensity scores and bias in the causal effects of education on participation. The Journal of Politics, 73(3), 646-658. Kam, C., Palmer, C. (2008). Reconsidering the effects of education on political participation. The Journal of Politics, 70(4), 612-631.

## Findings

The findings conclude a more accurate covariate balance as shown below with the ATC and ATT of the GenMatch method versus the previous matching method by Kam Palmer (2008).

**Table:** Covariate Balance of College Attenders and Nonattenders Before and After Matching

| Covariate                   | KP P-Score | GenN  | /latch |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
|                             |            | ATT   | ATC    |
| Student GPA                 | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.141  |
| Student Gender              | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.891  |
| Student Race                | 0.005      | 0.000 | 0.229  |
| Student Republican Party ID | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.324  |
| Student Knowledge Index     | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.093  |
| Student College Plans       | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.000  |
| Parent Vote Participation   | 0.134      | 0.437 | 0.154  |
| Parent Political Persuasion | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.854  |
| Parent Participation Index  | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.137  |
| Parent Employment           | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.670  |
| Head of Household Education | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.179  |
| Wife's Education            | 0.306      | 0.000 | 0.140  |
| Parent Income               | 0.148      | 0.000 | 0.000  |
| Parent Homeownership        | 0.000      | 0.062 | 0.105  |
| Parent Republican Party ID  | 0.000      | 0.000 | 0.078  |
| Parent Knowledge Index      | 0.037      | 0.079 | 0.001  |

# Methodology

The paper reexamines previous work on the impact of college education on political participation by employing advanced statistical methods to mitigate selection bias. Initially, the authors critique the application of propensity score matching in prior studies, identifying limitations in addressing overt bias. Subsequently, they introduce genetic matching alongside an extensive propensity score analysis, aiming for more accurate covariate balance. This methodological rigor is intended to provide a deeper, more nuanced understanding of the causal relationship between education and political activity.

# Discussion/Conclusion

The study concludes that pre-adult conditions significantly influence college attendance, demonstrating a nuanced understanding of the factors leading to higher education. It reaffirms the positive correlation between college education and political participation, suggesting the need for careful consideration of selection biases in observational research to accurately assess education's impact on civic engagement.

(Content generated using PlusMind ChatGPT.)