

DAT8: Advanced topics in semantics and verification

Hans Hüttel

20 February 2012

1. Show that if $P_1 \equiv P_2$, then $\text{fn}(P_1) \equiv \text{fn}(P_2)$. (It is enough to show that this holds for each of the laws defining structural congruence).
2. Give an example that shows why

$$(\nu x)!P \equiv (\nu x)P$$

should *not* be an axiom in the definition of structural congruence. That is, find a process P and argue informally that the two sides of the above equation do not have the same behaviour.

3. The goal of this problem is to give you experience with expressing a simple system in the π -calculus. Consider a system consisting of Alice, Bob and a Server. The system should have the following behaviour.
 - Alice and Bob each share a private channel with the server. Alice does not know the channel that Bob is using, and vice versa. The server will only respond to requests from Alice and Bob.
 - Alice and Bob can send a new message to the other part (here, messages are names) as follows:
 - a) Alice (or Bob, if Bob wants to send a message) will use her private channel to ask the server for a new channel. The server sends this channel to Alice and Bob.
 - b) Alice (or Bob, if Bob is the one wanting to send a message) now uses the channel received to send her message to the other part.Describe this system in the π -calculus and find a sequence of reductions that describes the above behaviour.

4. Here is a process.

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nu z)((\bar{x}\langle y \rangle + z(w).\bar{w}\langle y \rangle \mid x(u).\bar{u}\langle v \rangle \mid \bar{x}\langle z \rangle))$$

- a. Find $\text{fn}(P)$ and $\text{bn}(P)$
- b. Find all possible τ -transitions from P .
- c. Now do the same using the reduction semantics, that is, find all possible reductions from P .

A sanity check that is useful when checking your solutions is of course that we know that the reduction semantics and the labelled semantics agree.