

Problem Set 2

Econ 4676: Big Data and Machine Learning for Applied Economics

Daniel Gómez Salazar*, Lucas Gómez Tobón†, José Daniel Palacio Murillo ‡

1 Theory Exercises

1. Suppose you have the following spatial model $y = \rho W y + X\beta + W X\theta + \epsilon$ with $|\rho| < 1$ this is sometimes known as the Spatial Durbin Model
 - (a) First consider the following scenario $\beta = \theta = 0$.
 - i. **Write the Likelihood function. Can you find a closed form for the parameter estimators? Don't forget to be specific on the assumptions you make.**

$$y = \rho W y + \epsilon$$

We take into consideration the following assumptions:

- $|\rho| < 1$
- $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- w is exogenous

With this being said we can now define y :

$$\begin{aligned} y - \rho W y &= \epsilon \\ (I_n - \rho W)y &= \epsilon \\ y &= (I_n - \rho W)^{-1}\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the likelihood function can be defined as:

$$L(y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |det(v(y))|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - E(y))'v(y)^{-1}(y - E(y))\right)$$

*d.gomezs@uniandes.edu.co

†l.gomez@uniandes.edu.co

‡jd.palacio@uniandes.edu.co

To find the MLE we need $E[y]$ and $v(y)$:

$$E[y] = E[(I_n - \rho W)^{-1} E(\epsilon)]$$

we assume that ρ is given and $\epsilon \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$
 $\Rightarrow E[y] = 0$

Now we find $v(y)$

$$v(y) = E[yy'] - E[y]E[y]'$$

$$E[yy'] = E\left[\left((I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon\right) \cdot \left((I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon\right)'\right]$$

$$E[yy'] = E\left[(I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon \epsilon' (I_n - \rho W)^{-1}\right]$$

$$E[yy'] = E\left[(I_n - \rho W)^{-1} (I_n - \rho W)^{-1}' \sigma^2\right]$$

$$E[yy'] = \underbrace{[(I_n - \rho W)'(I_n - \rho W)]^{-1}}_{\Omega} \sigma^2$$

$$v(y) = \sigma^2 \Omega$$

Now, it is possible to define the likelihood function:

$$L(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\sigma^2 \Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - 0)'(\sigma^2 \Omega)^{-1}(y - 0)\right)$$

$$L(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\sigma^2 \Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}((I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon)' \Omega^{-1} ((I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon)\right)$$

$$L(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\sigma^2 \Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\epsilon' \underbrace{(I_n - \rho W)'}_I^{-1} \underbrace{(I_n - \rho W)'}_I (I_n - \rho W) \underbrace{(I_n - \rho W)^{-1}}_I \epsilon\right)\right)$$

$$L(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\sigma^2 \Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \epsilon' \epsilon\right)$$

We use the log function:

$$l(\sigma^2, \rho, y) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(|\sigma^2 \Omega|) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

note that $|\sigma^2\Omega| = \sigma^{2n}|\Omega|$, also $|\Omega| = |(I_n - \rho W)|^{-2}$

$$l(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}(n) \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{n}{2}(-2) \ln(|I - \rho W|) \\ - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

$$l(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) + n \ln(|I - \rho W|) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

Ord (1975) showed that

$$|I - \rho W| = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \rho W_i), \text{ where } W_i \text{ is the eigenvalue of } i.$$

$$l(\rho, \sigma^2, y) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) + n \sum \ln(1 - \rho W_i) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

$$l(\sigma^2, y|\rho) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) + n \sum \ln(1 - \rho W_i) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

$$\bullet \quad \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^4} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^4} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y = \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\boxed{\sigma^2(\rho) = \frac{1}{n} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y} = \frac{\epsilon' \epsilon}{n}$$

$$\bullet \quad \frac{\partial l}{\partial \rho} = n \sum \frac{-W_i}{1 - \rho W_i} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y' (I - \rho W)' W y) = 0$$

Since ρ cannot be derived analytically, ρ must be obtained from an explicit maximization of a concentrated log-likelihood function using numerical optimization:

$$l(\rho) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2(\rho)) + n \sum \ln(1 - \rho W_i) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\rho)} ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

$$\sigma_{ML}^2(\hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{n} ((I_n - \hat{\rho} W)y)' (I_n - \hat{\rho} W)y$$

ii. **Suppose instead you use MCO, would you obtain the same estimates?**

$$y = (I_n - \rho W)^{-1} \epsilon$$

We now minimize the squared error:

$$\min_{\rho} e' e = ((I_n - \rho W)y)' (I_n - \rho W)y$$

Is not possible to find a closed form for the estimates $\hat{\rho}_{OLS}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2$. Therefore:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2 \neq \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 \quad ; \quad \hat{\rho}_{OLS} \neq \hat{\rho}_{ML}$$

$$[\rho] : \quad -2y'(I - \hat{\rho}W)'Wy = 0$$

$$y'(I - \hat{\rho}W')Wy = 0$$

$$(y' - \hat{\rho}y'W')Wy = 0$$

$$y'Wy - \hat{\rho}y'W'Wy = 0$$

$$\hat{\rho}y'W'Wy = y'Wy$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{OLS} = y'Wy(y'W'Wy)^{-1}$$

We also have:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2 = \frac{e'e}{n-k} = \frac{((I_n - \rho W)y)'(I_n - \rho W)y}{n-k} \neq \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$$

(b) Now consider that $\rho = 0$, and let's proceed as before:

- i. **Write the Likelihood function. Can you find a closed form for the parameter estimators? Don't forget to be specific on the assumptions you make.**

$$y = X\beta + WX\theta + \epsilon; \quad \epsilon \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$y = z\gamma + \epsilon; \quad z = [X, WX] \text{ and } \gamma = [\beta, \theta]$$

Therefore:

$$L(y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |det(v(y))|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - E(y))'v(y)^{-1}(y - E(y))\right)$$

$$L(y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |det(v(y))|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - z\gamma)'v(y)^{-1}(y - z\gamma)\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow l(y) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - z\gamma)'(y - z\gamma)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^4}(y - z\gamma)'(y - z\gamma) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y - z\gamma)'(y - z\gamma)$$

Concentrated likelihood

$$l^c(y|\gamma, z) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{n}(y - z\gamma)'(y - z\gamma)\right) - \frac{n}{2}$$

$$[\gamma] : \frac{n}{(y - z\hat{\gamma})'(y - z\hat{\gamma})} \cdot z'(y - z\hat{\gamma}) = 0$$

$$z'y = z'z\hat{\gamma}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\gamma}_{ML} = (z'z)^{-1}(z'y)}$$

$$\boxed{\sigma_{\hat{ML}}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (y - z(z'z)^{-1}(z'y))' (y - z(z'z)^{-1}(z'y))} = \frac{\epsilon'\epsilon}{n}$$

ii. **Suppose instead you use MCO, would you obtain the same estimates?**

In that case we have:

$$\min_{\gamma} \quad e'e = (y - z\gamma)'(y - z\gamma)$$

$$[\gamma] : \quad -2z'(y - z\gamma) = 0$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\gamma}_{OLS} = (z'z)^{-1}(z'y)} \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\gamma}_{ML} = \hat{\gamma}_{OLS}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2 = \frac{\epsilon'\epsilon}{n - k - 1}} \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 \neq \hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2$$

And it can be proved as it follows:

$$y = z\gamma + \epsilon \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon = y - z\gamma$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} = y - z\hat{\gamma} \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\epsilon} = y - z(z'z)^{-1}z'y \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\epsilon} = (I - z(z'z)^{-1}z)y$$

$\hat{\epsilon} = My$, where M is an idempotent matrix

$$\text{var}(\epsilon) = E[(\epsilon - E[\epsilon])'(\epsilon - E[\epsilon])] = E[\epsilon'\epsilon], \text{ since } E(\epsilon) = 0$$

$$E[\epsilon'\epsilon|z] = E[y'M'My|z] = E[y'My|z]$$

The scalar $\epsilon'M\epsilon$ is a 1×1 matrix, so its equal to its trace. By using the result on cyclic perutations

$$E[\text{tr}(\epsilon'M\epsilon)|z] = E[\text{tr}(M\epsilon\epsilon')|z]$$

Since M is function of z :

$$\text{tr}(E[\epsilon\epsilon'|z]) = \text{tr}(M\sigma^2 I) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(M)$$

$$\text{tr}((I - z(z'z)^{-1}z)) = \text{tr}(I) - \text{tr}(z(z'z)^{-1}z) = n - k - 1$$

$$E[\epsilon'\epsilon|z] = (n - k - 1)\sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\epsilon'\epsilon}{n - k - 1}$$

2. Consider the regression model $y = X\beta + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ furthermore assume that β has a normal prior, i.e. $\beta \sim N(0, \tau^2 I)$.

(a) **Find the posterior distribution.**

The posterior distribution is built as it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta|y, X) &= \frac{f(y, X|\beta)p(\beta)}{m(y, X)} \\ \pi(\beta|y, X) &= \frac{f(y|X, \beta)f(X|\beta)p(\beta)}{m(y, X)}\end{aligned}$$

With the assumption that $f(X|\beta) = f(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta|y, X) &= f(y|X, \beta)p(\beta)\frac{f(X)}{m(y, X)} \\ \pi(\beta|y, X) &\propto \underbrace{f(y|X, \beta)}_{\text{likelihood}} \underbrace{p(\beta)}_{\text{prior}}\end{aligned}$$

We have the following distribution for y :

$$\begin{aligned}L(y|\beta, \sigma^2, X) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - x_i\beta) \right) \right) \\ L(y|\beta, \sigma^2, X) &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) \right)\end{aligned}$$

We also have the prior:

$$p(\beta) = (2\pi\tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2} (\beta'\beta) \right)$$

Which in turn gives the posterior distribution for β :

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta|y, X) &\propto (2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) \right) (2\pi\tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2} (\beta'\beta) \right) \\ \pi(\beta|y, X) &\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \beta'\beta \right) \right)\end{aligned}$$

With this given, we can find the following distribution of the posterior:

$$\Sigma = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X'X + \frac{1}{T} \right), \text{ where } T = \tau^2 I$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Sigma X' y \Rightarrow \mu = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X' X + \frac{1}{T} \right) X' y$$

Implying:

$$\beta|y, X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$$

(b) **Compare it with the ridge formula we saw in class.**

The following formula is the ridge formula for $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\hat{\beta}_R = (X'X + \lambda I)X'y$$

Which is similar to the estimation using a Bayesian estimation approach:

$$\hat{\beta}_{Bayesian} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X'X + \frac{1}{T} \right) X'y$$

(c) **What is the relationship between λ in the ridge model and σ^2 and τ^2 ?**

As we see in the previous point, the Bayesian estimation method allows us to see how the shrinkage of the estimation towards the prior or the data depends on the variance observed in the data σ^2 and the variance of the prior τ^2 .

$$\lambda \approx \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}$$

3. **Centered Ridge.** Suppose that $\bar{x} = 0$, i.e. the data has been centered. Show that the parameters that minimize $R(\beta, \beta_0) = (y - X\beta - \beta_0 \iota)'(y - X\beta - \beta_0 \iota) + \lambda \beta' \beta$ are $\beta_0 = \bar{y}$ and $\beta = (X'X + \lambda I)^{-1} X'y$
4. **Suppose that we have the following regression model $y = X\beta + \epsilon$, and decide to do the following: Augment the centered matrix X with p additional rows with $\sqrt{\lambda}$, and augment y with zeros. Show that this procedures renders the ridge regression estimates, is there a link to the leverage statistic?**
5. **Reducing elastic net to lasso.** Suppose that you have the following functions $EL(\beta) = (y - X\beta)^2 + \lambda_2 \beta^2 + \lambda_1 |\beta|$ and $L(\beta) = (\tilde{y} - \tilde{X}\beta)^2 + c \lambda_1 |\beta|$ where $c = (1 + \lambda_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ show that these two problems are equivalent when \tilde{y} and \tilde{X} are the augmented data versions of the previous exercise.