



Course Evaluation Results

ECON 102 - Microeconomic Principles

Spring, 2020

Section BDE, Discussion (Evangelos Constantinou) F, 3pm, 206 David Kinley Hall

Evaluations were completed by **7** out of **26** students (26.9%).

For the purpose of generating percentile rankings, this course is considered to have a class size of "Medium", a course type of "Mixed", and an instructor type of "TA".

Click a plus or minus symbol to expand or collapse an open-ended item.

Demographic Items

Class Status:

| Freshman | Sophomore | Junior Senior | | Graduate | Other | Omitted |
|----------|-----------|---------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|
| 14% (1) | 43% (3) | 29% (2) | 14% (1) | - | - | - |

This course was:

| Elective | Required, But a Choice | Specifically Required | Omitted |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 14% (1) | 71% (5) | 14% (1) | - |

This course was in my:

| Major | Minor | Other | Omitted | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| 43% (3) | 14% (1) | 43% (3) | _ | |

What was your pre-course opinion of the instructor?

| Negative | No Opinion | Positive | Omitted | |
|----------|------------|----------|---------|--|
| - | 86% (6) | 14% (1) | - | |

What was your pre-course opinion of the course?

| Negative | No Opinion | Positive | Omitted | |
|----------|------------|----------|---------|--|
| 14% (1) | 14% (1) | 71% (5) | - | |

Expected grade in the course:

| Α | В | С | D | F | Omitted |
|---------|---------|---|---|---|---------|
| 57% (4) | 43% (3) | - | - | - | - |

Global Items

Rate the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness. [Exceptionally Low ... Exceptionally High]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank | Campus % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 43% (3) | 43% (3) | - | 4.29 | 0.76 | n/a | 55 |

Rate the overall quality of this course. [Exceptionally Low ... Exceptionally High]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank | Campus % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 43% (3) | 43% (3) | - | 4.29 | 0.76 | n/a | 67 |

How much have you learned in this course? [Very Little ... A Great Deal]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank | Campus % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 43% (3) | 29% (2) | - | 4.00 | 0.82 | n/a | 36 |

Departmental Core Items

ECON - TA

The T.A. was conscientious about their instructional responsibilities. [Strongly Disagree ... Strongly Agree]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 29% (2) | 43% (3) | - | 4.14 | 0.90 | n/a |

The grading procedures for the course were: [Very Unfair ... Very Fair]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 29% (2) | 57% (4) | - | 4.43 | 0.79 | n/a |

How well did the examination questions reflect the content and emphasis of the course? [Poorly Related ... Well Related]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 43% (3) | 29% (2) | - | 4.00 | 0.82 | n/a |

Was the progression of the course logical and coherent from beginning to end? [No, Seldom ... Yes, Always]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 43% (3) | 43% (3) | - | 4.29 | 0.76 | n/a |

How would you characterize the T.A.'s ability to explain? [Poor ... Excellent]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 57% (4) | 29% (2) | - | 4.14 | 0.69 | n/a |

The T.A. motivated me to do my best work. [Almost Never ... Almost Always]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 43% (3) | 29% (2) | - | 4.00 | 0.82 | n/a |

Did this course increase your interest in the subject matter? [No, Not Much ... Yes, Greatly]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| 29% (2) | - | 29% (2) | 14% (1) | 29% (2) | - | 3.14 | 1.68 | n/a |

Did this course improve your understanding of concepts and principles in this field? [No, Not Much ... Yes, Significantly]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 57% (4) | 29% (2) | - | 4.14 | 0.69 | n/a |

The T.A.'s lack of facility with English hindered communication of ideas. [Strongly Agree ... Strongly Disagree]

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| ſ | - | - | 14% (1) | 29% (2) | 57% (4) | - | 4.43 | 0.79 | n/a |

The T.A. seemed well prepared for class. [No, Seldom ... Yes, Always]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 14% (1) | 43% (3) | 43% (3) | - | 4.29 | 0.76 | n/a |

What was the T.A.'s attitude; how did he deal with you? [Unfair, Disdainful ... Fair And Impartial]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 14% (1) | 57% (4) | - | 4.29 | 0.95 | n/a |

How accessible was the T.A. for student conferences about the course? [Never Available ... Available Regularly]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 43% (3) | - | 57% (4) | - | 4.14 | 1.07 | n/a |

Was the T.A.'s use of blackboard and other materials (handouts, etc.) effective? [Confusing, Inadequate ... Very Helpful]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Omitted | Mean | St. Dev | Dept. % Rank |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|--------------|
| - | - | 29% (2) | 14% (1) | 57% (4) | - | 4.29 | 0.95 | n/a |

Rating Scale Item Means

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|---|---|------|
| Rate the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness. | | | | | 4.29 |
| Rate the overall quality of this course. | | | | | 4.29 |
| How much have you learned in this course? | | | | | 4.00 |
| The T.A. was conscientious about their instructional responsibilities. | | | | | 4.14 |
| The grading procedures for the course were: | | | | | 4.43 |
| How well did the examination questions reflect the content and emphasis of the course? | | | | | 4.00 |
| Was the progression of the course logical and coherent from beginning to end? | | | | | 4.29 |
| How would you characterize the T.A.'s ability to explain? | | | | | 4.14 |
| The T.A. motivated me to do my best work. | | | | | 4.00 |
| Did this course increase your interest in the subject matter? | | | | | 3.14 |
| Did this course improve your understanding of concepts and principles in this field? | | | | | 4.14 |
| The T.A.'s lack of facility with English hindered communication of ideas. | | | | | 4.43 |
| The T.A. seemed well prepared for class. | | | | | 4.29 |
| What was the T.A.'s attitude; how did he deal with you? | | | | | 4.29 |
| How accessible was the T.A. for student conferences about the course? | | | | | 4.14 |
| Was the T.A.'s use of blackboard and other materials (handouts, etc.) effective? | | | | | 4.29 |

= below 3.0 / = 3.0 - 4.0 / = above 4.0

ICES Open-Ended Items

What are the major strengths of the instructor/course?

- Discussion is not stressful. I made some friends with my group T.A knows how to run discussion
- The instructor was very considerate of how COVID impacted all of our lives. I am truly grateful for the mindfulness. The course itself was only interesting because of his delivery. Awesome job.
- Sorry. Half of these TA sessions are cancelled, and I have nothing to say.
- Great understanding of the course material.

What do you suggest to improve the course?

- None
- N/A
- N/A

Please comment on the grading procedures in the course.

- Fair
- N/A
- Fair