


# Ecotoxicology is not normal.

Eduard Szöcs, Ralf B. Schäfer  
Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Koblenz-Landau



## Most eco(toxico)logical data is not normally distributed



- Usually analysed by using
  - transformations (e.g.  $\log(Ax + C)$ ,  $x^{0.5}$ ,  $\arcsine x^{0.25}$ ) for linear model [2]
  - non-parametric methods [3]
- Generalized Linear Models (GLM) can directly model such data
- Can GLMs enhance inference in ecotoxicology?

## Methods: Simulation study

- Simulated overdispersed counts
- One-factorial design, 50% effect
- Variates:
  - Number of replicates
  - Abundance
- Methods:
  - linear model on transformed data
  - GLM (Poisson, negative binomial, quasi-Poisson)
  - Kruskal-Wallis / pairwise Wilcoxon
- Endpoints:
  - Global Treatment effect (F-Test, LR-Test)
  - LOEC (Dunnett contrasts)




Figure 1 : A realised simulation. N = 3, mean = 32, effect = 50%

## Results: Claiming an effect when there is none (Type I error)




Figure 2 : Type I errors for testing a global treatment effect.

- ① Poisson GLM does not fit to the data (overdispersion) and overestimates significance
- ② Increased Type I errors for negative binomial GLM
- ③ Negative binomial GLM + bootstrap gives correct levels. Quasi-Poisson GLM and Linear model give appropriate error levels.
- ④ Kruskal-Wallis test shows low Type I error (loses power)

## Results: How often is a reduction of 50% detected? (Power)





Figure 3 : Power to detect LOEC. Only methods with appropriate Type I errors are displayed.

- ① Quasi-Poisson GLM has greater power than the linear model on transformed data
- ② Pairwise Wilcoxon has reduced power
- ③ Low power to detect LOEC at a reduction of 50% for common mesocosm designs

## Power estimation app

- For "a priori" power calculations
- web based, easy to use, for one factorial designs
- Currently hosted at <http://52.28.43.83/shinypower/>



## Conclusions

- Low power at common experimental designs (NOEC !?)
- Change your model, not your data!
- GLM increases Power for count and binomial data
- Negative binomial GLM not recommended (but see bootstrap).
- GLMs for multivariate data? See [1] for a comparison with PRC.

## References

- [1] Szöcs E. et al. Analysing chemical-induced changes in macroinvertebrate communities in aquatic mesocosm experiments: a comparison of methods. *Ecotoxicology*, 24(4):760–769, 2015.
- [2] Newman M. *Quantitative ecotoxicology*. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.
- [3] Wang M. and Riffel M. Making the right conclusions based on wrong results and small sample sizes: interpretation of statistical tests in ecotoxicology. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 74(4):684–92, 2011.

## Contact

✉ [szoecs@uni-landau.de](mailto:szoecs@uni-landau.de)

🌐 <http://edild.github.io/>

🐦 @EduardSzoecs

🐦 @LandscapeEcology

🐦 @EDiLD