ABTA Doctoral Researcher Awards EVALUATION FORM

Reviewer's Name	
Applicant's Name	
Applicant's Department	

1	Rep	Representative Publication		
1.1	Quali	ality of the Publication		
Unclass	sified	Falls below the standard of nationally recognised work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.	5%	
1* Recognised nationally in terms of originality, significance and rigour ////////////////////////////////////		‱‱40%		
2* Recognise		Recognised internationally in terms of originality, significance and rigour	15%	
3*		Internationally excellent in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which nonetheless falls short of the highest standards of excellence	20%	
4*		World-leading in terms of originality, significance and rigour	25%	

2	Curriculum Vitae (CV)		
2.1	Number & Quality of Publications (Including refereed journal and conference papers)		
	Low - 2%	Medium - 4%	High - 9%
2.2	Number & Quality of Conference Presentations		
	Low - 2%	Medium - 4%	High - 8%
2.3	Recognition of the research by awards and honours		
	Low - 2%	Medium - 4%	High - 8%

3	Research Statement			50%
3.1	Clarity of the research statement (ease of being understood by a non-expert)			
	Low - 4%	Medium - 8%	High - 18 %	
3.2	Clarity of the research methodology			
	Low - 4%	Medium - 8%	High - 16%	
3.3	Novelty of the research			
	Low - 4%	Medium - 8%	High - 16%	

Total	%	Out of 100%
-------	---	-------------