Computer Lab Session 2: Simple Regression

EF 3450

February 5, 2018

Example: Capital Asset Pricing Model

We will use CAPM in this exercise as it is an application of simple linear regression.

Just to brush up on the theory side,

$$(r_{security,t} - r_{f,t}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 * (r_{mkt,t} - r_{f,t}) + e_t$$

where

- 1. r_{security,t}: return of security at period t
- 2. $r_{mkt,t}$: market return at period t
- 3. $r_{f,t}$: risk free rate at period t
- 4. e_t : error term at period t
- 5. β_2 estimate the sensitivity of a security in comparison of the market, and
- 6. β_1 (Intercept) captures abnormal return if it is statistically significant from 0.

Know your data

Now we are given a csv file **CAPM_dataset_3.csv**. This file consists the average monthly price of the following assets ranging from Nov 2000 to May 2017

- closing price of IBM (risky security),
- closing price of SP500 index (market), and
- 3 month T-bill (risk free asset).

Display the data

Now let's load the data and have a look on it's structure:

setwd('C:\\Users\\dmtwong\\Desktop')

Data processing

As you can see, this dataset need to be further processed before starting the analysis:

- Date is read as 'character' type (result of stringsAsFactors = F): Convert it to Date-Time class such as 'Date' and 'POSIXIt' type
- CAPM model use asset return: Transform from price series to returns

Convert to 'Date' Class

From csv, 'Date' is stored as: MM/DD/YYYY The corresponding conversion specification is %m/%d/%Y (capital sensitive).

Note: If date/time stored in different format, read the R Documentation of strptime (run ?strptime)

```
## 'data.frame': 199 obs. of 4 variables:
## $ Date : Date, format: "2000-11-01" "2000-12-01" ...
## $ p_IBM: num 93.5 85 112 99.9 96.2 ...
## $ p_SPX: num 1315 1320 1366 1240 1160 ...
## $ r f : num 0.511 0.479 0.405 0.4 0.351 ...
```

Create a empty data frame

Then insert first column using 'Date' from 'data_ex2' and set column names

```
ret_df[,1] <- data_ex2[-1,1]
colnames(ret_df) <- c('Date', ret_name)
head(ret_df,1); tail(ret_df,1)</pre>
```

```
## Date r_IBM r_SPX
## 1 2000-12-01 NA NA

## Date r_IBM r_SPX
## 198 2017-05-01 NA NA
```

2: Calculate return

```
ret_df[, -1] \leftarrow sapply(data_ex2[, -1],
                          function(x){
                             diff(x)/x[-length(x)]*100
str(ret df);head(ret df,1); tail(ret df,1)
## 'data.frame': 198 obs. of 3 variables:
##
   $ Date : Date, format: "2000-12-01" "2001-01-01" ...
##
   $ r IBM: num -9.09 31.76 -10.8 -3.72 19.71 ...
   $ r SPX: num 0.405 3.464 -9.229 -6.42 7.681 ...
##
##
          Date r IBM r SPX
## 1 2000-12-01 -9.090909 0.4053386
```

Date r_IBM r_SPX ## 198 2017-05-01 -4.778838 1.157621

Check first return of IBM (optional)

[1] TRUE

```
head(data ex2, 2)
##
          Date p_IBM _p_SPX    r_f
## 1 2000-11-01 93.5 1314.95 0.5110175
## 2 2000-12-01 85.0 1320.28 0.4789658
head(ret df, 1)
##
          Date r_{IBM} r_{SPX}
## 1 2000-12-01 -9.090909 0.4053386
ret_df[1, 2] == diff(data_ex2[1:2, 2])/data_ex2[1,2]*100 #
```

Check last return of IBM (optional)

```
tail(ret_df, 1)
##
            Date r IBM r SPX
## 198 2017-05-01 -4.778838 1.157621
tail(data ex2, 2)
##
            Date p_IBM p_SPX
                               {	t r}_{	t f}
## 198 2017-04-01 160.29 2384.2 0.06448000
## 199 2017-05-01 152.63 2411.8 0.07687833
ret df[nrow(ret df), 2] == diff(data ex2[198:199, 2])/
 data ex2[198,2]*100 # last return of IBM
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

Note: These test cases are far from comprehensive, and it does not guarantee what we did is correct

Now add the risk free rate to ret df as well

```
ret_df$r_f <- data_ex2[-1, 'r_f']</pre>
str(ret df)
## 'data.frame': 198 obs. of 4 variables:
## $ Date : Date, format: "2000-12-01" "2001-01-01" ...
## $ r_IBM: num -9.09 31.76 -10.8 -3.72 19.71 ...
## $ r_SPX: num 0.405 3.464 -9.229 -6.42 7.681 ...
## $ r f : num 0.479 0.405 0.4 0.351 0.318 ...
head(ret df, 1)
##
          Date r IBM r SPX r f
## 1 2000-12-01 -9.090909 0.4053386 0.4789658
tail(ret df, 1)
```

Date $r_{IBM} r_{SPX} r_{f}$ ## 198 2017-05-01 -4.778838 1.157621 0.07687833

##

(Optional) Generate excess return

To be specific, CAPM regress 'excess returns of asset against excess returns on market We could perform arithmetic operation with I() for formula of 'lm' function, but we could also generate the excess return in the data frame explicitly

```
ret_df$ex_r_IBM <- ret_df$r_IBM - ret_df$r_f
ret_df$ex_r_SPX <- ret_df$r_SPX - ret_df$r_f
str(ret_df)</pre>
```

```
'data.frame': 198 obs. of 6 variables:
##
##
   $ Date : Date, format: "2000-12-01" "2001-01-01" ...
##
   $ r IBM : num
                    -9.09 31.76 -10.8 -3.72 19.71 ...
   $ r SPX : num
                    0.405 3.464 -9.229 -6.42 7.681 ...
##
##
   $rf : num
                    0.479 0.405 0.4 0.351 0.318 ...
   $ ex_r_IBM: num
##
                    -9.57 31.36 -11.2 -4.07 19.4 ...
                   -0.0736 3.0585 -9.6291 -6.7717 7.3639
##
   $ ex_r_SPX: num
```

Regression

```
reg_CAPM <- lm(I(r_IBM-r_f) ~ I(r_SPX-r_f), data = ret_df)
reg_CAPM2 <- lm(ex_r_IBM ~ ex_r_SPX, data = ret_df) #Alter</pre>
```

summary(reg CAPM)

##

##

Call: ## $lm(formula = I(r_IBM - r_f) \sim I(r_SPX - r_f)$, data = re-## ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q ## Max

-15.8293 -3.0462 -0.4632 2.3378 28.1807

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## ## (Intercept) 0.08970 0.39952 0.225 0.823 ## I(r SPX - r f) 1.01004 0.09498 10.634 <2e-16 ***

---## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.3

F-statistic: 113.1 on 1 and 196 DF. p-value: < 2.2e-16

Residual standard error: 5.609 on 196 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.3659, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3620

summary(reg CAPM2) would be the same

```
## Call:
```

lm(formula = ex_r_IBM ~ ex_r_SPX, data = ret_df)

Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q ## Max ## -15.8293 -3.0462 -0.4632 2.3378 28.1807

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 0.08970 0.39952 0.225 0.823 ## ex r SPX 1.01004 0.09498 10.634 <2e-16 *** ## ---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.3 ## ## Residual standard error: 5.609 on 196 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.3659, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3620 ## F-statistic: 113.1 on 1 and 196 DF. p-value: < 2.2e-16

hypothesis testing using t-distribution (Small sample)

Hypothesis Testing 1: Can we describe IBM as an aggressive asset? Approach 1: Compare with critical value (using t distribution) Step 1: Compute the test statistic first

```
tmp 123 <- summary(reg CAPM)</pre>
beta 1 <- tmp 123$coefficients[2,1]
sd 1 <- tmp 123$coefficients[2,2]
t_stat_1 <- ( beta_1 - 1 ) / sd_1 # the test statistic
beta 1;sd 1;t stat 1
## [1] 1.010036
## [1] 0.09498343
## [1] 0.1056601
```

Can we describe IBM as an aggressive asset? (cont)

Step 2: Compare with critical value using t distribution

```
critical val t \leftarrow qt(1-0.05, 196)
critical val normal <- qnorm(1-0.05)
# As sample size grows, df is larger and t is closer to st
c(critical val normal, critical val t)
## [1] 1.644854 1.652665
t stat 1 > critical val t
## [1] FALSE
# Conclusion: Not an aggressive asset
```

Hypothesis Testing 2: Does IBM outperform the market?

Approach 2: Compare p-value with significance level (say 5%) Step 1: Again compute the test statistic first

```
beta_2 <- tmp_123$coefficients[1,1]
sd_2 <- tmp_123$coefficients[1,2]
t_stat_2 <- ( beta_2 - 0 ) / sd_2
beta_2;sd_2;t_stat_2 ## the test statistic</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.08969882
## [1] 0.3995231
## [1] 0.2245147
```

Does IBM outperform the market? (cont)

```
Step 2: Compute p(X \le t \text{ statistic}) following t distribution
pt(t_stat_2, df=196, lower.tail = T)
## [1] 0.5887047
pt(t_stat_2, df = 196, lower.tail = T) > 0.05
## [1] TRUE
# Conclusion: Does not outperform the market
```

Hypothesis Testing 3: Does performance of IBM really related to business cycle??

```
Step 1: t-stat
```

```
beta_3 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,1]
sd_3 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,2]
t_stat_3 <- ( beta_3 - 0 ) / sd_3
t_stat_3 ## the test statistic</pre>
```

```
## [1] 10.63381
```

Does IBM really related to business cycle?? (cont)

```
critical val 3 norm \leftarrow qnorm (1-0.05/2) #0.975 quantile
critical_val_3_t \leftarrow qt( 1-0.05/2 , 196) # 2 tail test, each
c(critical_val_3_norm, critical_val_3_t) # very similar as
## [1] 1.959964 1.972141
abs(t stat 3) > critical val 3 t
## [1] TRUE
```

```
2 * (1 - pt(t stat 3, df = 196))
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
# p-value is so small close to 0 reject the HO: beta = 0
# Conclusion: Does related to business cycle
```

hypothesis testing using normal-distribution (large sample)

As sample size grows, coefficient estimates are asymptotically normal distributed Let's revisit the hypothesis testing using normal distribution

Hypothesis Testing 1: Can we describe IBM as an aggressive asset? Approach 1: Compare with critical value (using t and normal distribution)

Step 1: Compute the test statistic first

```
tmp_123 <- summary(reg_CAPM)
beta_1 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,1]
sd_1 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,2]
t_stat_1 <- ( beta_1 - 1 ) / sd_1 # the test statistic
beta_1;sd_1;t_stat_1</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.09498343
```

[1] 1.010036

Can we describe IBM as an aggressive asset? (cont)

Step 2: Compare with critical value using normal distribution

```
critical val t \leftarrow qt(1-0.05, 196)
critical val normal <- qnorm(1-0.05)
# with large sample size, df is large and t is close to st
c(critical val normal, critical val t)
## [1] 1.644854 1.652665
t stat 1 > critical val normal
## [1] FALSE
# Conclusion: Not an aggressive asset
```

Hypothesis Testing 2: Does IBM outperform the market?

Approach 2: Compare p-value with significance level (say 5%) Step 1: Again compute the test statistic first

```
beta_2 <- tmp_123$coefficients[1,1]
sd_2 <- tmp_123$coefficients[1,2]
t_stat_2 <- ( beta_2 - 0 ) / sd_2
beta_2;sd_2;t_stat_2 ## the test statistic</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.08969882
## [1] 0.3995231
## [1] 0.2245147
```

Does IBM outperform the market? (cont)

```
Step 2: Compute p(X \le t \text{ statistic}) following normal distribution
```

```
pnorm(t_stat_2, lower.tail = T)

## [1] 0.5888216

pnorm(t_stat_2, lower.tail = T) > 0.05
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
# Conclusion: Does not outperform the market
```

Hypothesis Testing 3: Does performance of IBM really related to business cycle??

```
Step 1: t-stat
```

```
beta_3 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,1]
sd_3 <- tmp_123$coefficients[2,2]
t_stat_3 <- ( beta_3 - 0 ) / sd_3
t_stat_3 ## the test statistic</pre>
```

```
## [1] 10.63381
```

Does IBM really related to business cycle?? (cont)

```
critical val 3 norm \leftarrow qnorm (1-0.05/2) #0.975 quantile
critical_val_3_t <- qt( 1-0.05/2 , 196) # 2 tail test, each
c(critical_val_3_norm, critical_val_3_t) # very similar as
## [1] 1.959964 1.972141
abs(t stat 3) > critical val 3 norm
## [1] TRUE
```

```
2* (1- pnorm(t stat 3, lower.tail = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] 0
```

p-value is so small and close to 0 and reject the HO: be # Conclusion: Does related to business cycle

Optional: Rounding result

```
round(tmp_123$coefficients, 4)
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.0897 0.3995 0.2245 0.8226
## I(r_SPX - r_f) 1.0100 0.0950 10.6338 0.0000
```