The Gram-Schnidt orth. via Orthogonal Projectors

To understand the behavior of the classical GS algorithm and to discuss the better version. let's view the classical GS alg. using ortho. projectors.

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m \ge n$, full rank i.e., rank(A) = n. $\begin{cases}
8_{1} = \frac{A_{1}}{r_{11}}, & \begin{cases}
8_{2} = \frac{A_{2} - r_{12} \cdot \xi_{1}}{r_{22}}, & \begin{cases}
8_{n} = \frac{A_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{in} \cdot \xi_{i}}{r_{nn}}
\end{cases}$ $\begin{cases}
1 - \frac{P_{1} \cdot A_{1}}{||P_{1} \cdot A_{1}||}, & \begin{cases}
8_{2} = \frac{P_{2} \cdot A_{2}}{||P_{2} \cdot A_{2}||}, & \begin{cases}
8_{n} = \frac{P_{n} \cdot A_{n}}{||P_{n} \cdot A_{n}||}
\end{cases}$ $\begin{cases}
8_{1} = \frac{P_{1} \cdot A_{1}}{||P_{1} \cdot A_{1}||}, & \begin{cases}
8_{2} = \frac{P_{2} \cdot A_{2}}{||P_{2} \cdot A_{2}||}, & \begin{cases}
8_{n} = \frac{P_{n} \cdot A_{n}}{||P_{n} \cdot A_{n}||}
\end{cases}$ where $P_i = Ortho. proj. onto$

j=1,2,...,n orthogonal complement

Note: Pi = I

IR"= <81,--, &j-1> @ <81,--, &j-1>

=
$$null(P_j) \oplus range(P_j)$$

 $dim = m-cj-1$

Note Title

Note:
$$\S_{j} \perp \langle \S_{1}, \dots, \S_{j-1} \rangle$$
, $\S_{j} \in \langle \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{j} \rangle$, and $\|\S_{j}\| = 1$, by construction.

Now let $\hat{Q}_{j-1} := [\S_{1} \dots \S_{j-1}] \in IR^{m \times (j-1)}$.

Then clearly, $P_{j} = I - \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1}$, $j > 1$.

 $P_{i} = I$.

* Modified Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

Recall the CGS algorithm:

for
$$j = 1: n$$

$$\begin{cases}
\forall j = A_j \\
\text{for } i = 1: j - 1 \\
\{r_{ij} = g_i^T A_j \\
\forall j = U_j - r_{ij} g_i
\end{cases}$$
Computes

$$r_{jj} = ||V_j||$$

$$g_j = ||V_j||$$
Store it as U_j

Since rank $(P_j) = m - (j-1)$, rank of P_j is as $j \uparrow$, which is not good. also, numerical error accumulates in the inner "for" loop.

The modified GS (MGS) algorithm "uses the fresh material immediately rather than waiting to avoid staleness."

what I mean above is:

to use $\begin{cases}
P_{j} = P_{1} \xi_{j-1} P_{1} \xi_{j-2} \cdots P_{1} \xi_{1}; j>1 \\
P_{1} = I
\end{cases}$

Note that each Pigi has rank m-1
Pigi = the complementary projection
to Pgi
= I-8i8i

or equivalent. But the sequence of arithmetic operations are different. The MGS computes and updates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{j}^{(1)} &= A_{j} & \rightarrow \S_{1} \\
\Psi_{j}^{(2)} &= P_{\perp \S_{1}} \Psi_{j}^{(1)} &= \Psi_{j}^{(1)} - \S_{1} \S_{1}^{T} \Psi_{j}^{(1)} \rightarrow \S_{2} \\
\Psi_{j}^{(3)} &= P_{\perp \S_{2}} \Psi_{j}^{(2)} &= \Psi_{j}^{(2)} - \S_{2} \S_{2}^{T} \Psi_{j}^{(2)} \rightarrow \S_{3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
y_{j}^{(j)} = P_{\perp} \xi_{j-1} \psi_{j}^{(j-1)} = \psi_{j}^{(j-1)} - \xi_{j-1} \xi_{j-1}^{(j-1)} \psi_{j}^{(j-1)} \\
\Rightarrow = \psi_{j}^{(j)} \Rightarrow \xi_{j}^{(j-1)} = \psi_{j}^{(j-1)} - \xi_{j-1} \xi_{j-1}^{(j-1)} \psi_{j}^{(j-1)}$$
This process is applied for $j=1, \dots, n$

Algorithm (Modified Gram-Schmidt) for i=1:n $\forall i = 0$ for i=1:n $\begin{cases} r_{ii} = ||\forall i|| \\ \$_{i} = \forall i/r_{ii} \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} r_{ij} = \$_{i}^{T} \forall j \\ \forall j = \forall j-r_{ij} \$_{i} \end{cases}$

Note the order of rij computation

i: 1 Yu	Y12	Y13	,	rin
2	۲۷۶	23		rzn
3		r _{e3}		ran
				;
n				rnn

Let's consider the previous example.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon : small}{s.t. \ \epsilon^2 \ can}$$
be ignored.

Now apply the MGS algorithm!

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{j}^{(1)} &= \beta_{j}, \quad j=1,2,3 \\ r_{11} &= ||\Psi_{1}^{(1)}|| = \sqrt{1+\epsilon^{2}} \approx 1. \\ g_{1} &= |\Psi_{1}^{(1)}/r_{11} = [1 \epsilon 00]^{T} \\ \text{Now immediately compute } r_{12}, r_{13}: \\ r_{12} &= g_{1}^{T} \Psi_{2}^{(1)} = [1 \epsilon 00] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \\ \Psi_{2}^{(2)} &= \Psi_{2}^{(1)} - r_{12}g_{1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ r_{13} &= g_{1}^{T} \Psi_{3}^{(1)} = [1 \epsilon 00] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$r_{13} = \begin{cases} r_{13} = r_{13} \\ r_{13} = r_{13} \\$$

 $Y_{22} = || \Psi_2^{(2)} || = \sqrt{2} \varepsilon$ $g_2 = \Psi_2^{(2)} / Y_{22} = [0 - 1/2] / \sqrt{2} 0]^T$ Now immediately compute Y_{23} :

$$\Psi_{3}^{(3)} = \Psi_{3}^{(2)} - \Upsilon_{23} \xi_{2} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\xi \\ 0 \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\
\Upsilon_{33} = \| \Psi_{3}^{(3)} \| = \varepsilon \cdot \sqrt{(-\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1^{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varepsilon \\
\xi_{3} = \Psi_{3}^{(3)} / \Upsilon_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence
$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon & -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{6} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\hat{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\epsilon & \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$

Notice that $A = \hat{Q} \hat{R}$ holds

Moreover,

$$\hat{Q}^{T}\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+\epsilon^{2} & -\xi/\sqrt{2} & -\xi/\sqrt{6} \\ -\xi/\sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\xi/\sqrt{6} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is
$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
than Compare this with the CGS result:
$$\hat{Q}\hat{Q} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{Q}^{\dagger}\hat{Q} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} \\ -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 1 & 1/2 \\ -\varepsilon/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

· Note: The best algorithm for QR factorization is the so-called "Householder Triangularization" which will be discussed in the next lecture.

* Application to the LS problem

Recall the solution X ∈ IR of the LS problem: || || b - A × ||² → min where A ∈ IR^{m×n}, mzn, ||b∈ IR^m, satisfies the normal egn.

ATA $X = A^{T}b$. (Suppose A is full rank) Now plug in the reduced affact. of $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ $\Rightarrow \hat{R}^{T}\hat{Q}^{T}\hat{Q}\hat{R} \times = \hat{R}^{T}\hat{Q}^{T}b$

 $\hat{R}^T \hat{R} \hat{X} = \hat{R}^T \hat{Q}^T \hat{b}$ Now notice that \hat{R}^T is the same on both sides and it's air monsingular. So we can remove it full to get $\hat{R} \hat{X} = \hat{Q}^T \hat{b}$ So, the LS solution via QR proceeds:

(1) Compute reduced QR of A. (2) Compute $y = \hat{Q}^T b$. (3) Solve $\hat{R} \times = y$

Note that R: upper triangular helps solve this system (3) -> back substitution

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & --- & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & --- & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & --- & 0 & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Start solving rnn xn = yn then go backward:

Yn-1n-1 Xn-1 + Yn-1n(Xn) = yn-1 $\Rightarrow \times_{n-1} = \frac{1}{r_{n-1}n-1} (y_{n-1} - r_{n-1}n \times n)$

Direct Next solve for x n-2 ---, up to X1//
consequences the GS procedure!

1 & Existence & Uniqueness of QR Thm Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (mzn) has a full QR factorization, hence also $\widehat{Q}\widehat{R}$.

Thm Each AEIR (mzn) of full rank matrix has a unique â k with rii >0.