I mage Denoising: homework 3

Reclized by Eig Ghangii

Exercice 8.1.

We assume in this exercice that we howe Pand the noise are
in dependant and the noise is white stisfying:

P(PIP) = 1

P(PIP) = 1

P(PIP) = 1 (2TT 072) RZ (2TT 072) RZ

We have also: P = P+ N.

With N is a patch of a white noise.

This was se had a mean equal to zero and in un correlated elements with equal constant variance. Thus, we have:

> VWX (N) = 012 I

Also, P and Cp our the Characteristics of P.

= Cov (P) + Cov(N) (independent)

= Cp + 012 I

EP = E(P+N) = E(P) + E(N)

= P

=) Cp = Cp+ or I and EP = P.

Emercie 8.3:

The goal of this lieurcite is to compare the two denoising equations:
$$\begin{cases} \hat{P}_1 = \overline{P} + (G^{\mu} - \overline{U}^2 \mathbf{I})G^{\mu} & (\overline{P} - \overline{P}) \\ \hat{P}_2 = \overline{P}^1 + G^1 (G^1 + \overline{U}^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1}(\overline{P} - \overline{P}^1) \end{cases}$$

with their corresponding where filtering equations on an orthonormal basis.

1 - + {Gi} the set of eigenvectors of Go that correspond to the ligenvalue 1i.

* Go is invertible => Go is the its eigenvector that correpond to the eigenvalue 1/2; of Go -1.

$$\hat{P}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\nabla_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}} \langle \hat{P}_{i}, G_{i} \rangle G_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_{i}^{2} - \sigma_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}} \langle \hat{P}_{i}, G_{i} \rangle G_{i}$$

$$= 3 P_{i}^{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} \langle P_{i}G_{i} \rangle G_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha(i) \langle P_{i}G_{i} \rangle G_{i}^{2}$$
with $\alpha(i) = \frac{\lambda_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}$

Here, we found that the second part of the formula can be written as:

I ali) < P. Gi >6; whith correspond to wiener filtering. However, the only difference between the two expressions is the added term: I The < P. Gi > Gi . This term depends on the vector P which is the average of the patches.

* { Ji} is the set of the ligenvectors of Go that correspond to the eigenvalues i

* God is invertible and symmetric.

3) J: is the ith eigenvector that correspond to the eigenvalue 1, of the matrix (Cp)-1.

$$P_{2} = P' + G' (G' + \nabla^{2} I)^{-1} (P' - P')$$

(Fi) alkonomal) =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \vec{P}^{1} + \vec{Q}^{1} (\vec{q}^{2} + \vec{\sigma}^{12} \vec{I})^{-1} (\vec{P} - \vec{P}^{1}) i \vec{J} i \vec{J} i$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \vec{P}^{1} \vec{J} i \vec{J} \vec{I} + \langle \vec{Q}^{1} (\vec{q}^{2} + \vec{\sigma}^{12} \vec{I})^{-1} (\vec{P} - \vec{P}^{1}) i \vec{J} i \vec{J} \vec{J} i$

$$\hat{P_2} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sigma_i^2}{d_i + \sigma_i^2} \left\langle \hat{P}^1, \hat{J}_i \right\rangle \hat{J}_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{d_i}{d_i + \sigma_i^2} \left\langle \hat{P}^i, \hat{J}_i \right\rangle \hat{J}_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{P}_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\nabla^{2}}{\lambda_{i} + \nabla^{2}} \langle \bar{P}^{1} \bar{J} \rangle \bar{J}_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha(i) \langle \bar{P}^{i} \bar{J}_{i} \rangle \bar{J}_{i}$$

The second part of the formula can be written as:

which correspond to whener fiftering.

The only difference between the two equations is the added terms: $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\nabla^{i}}{di + \nabla^{i}} \left\langle P^{1} J_{i} \right\rangle J_{i}$.

This term depends on the vector P^1 which correspond to the average of the patches.

Emercice 8.4:

* We have bayes formula:

$$P(\tilde{P}|P) = \frac{P(P|\tilde{P}) \cdot P(\tilde{P})}{P(P)}$$

Thus,
$$\int P(\tilde{p}) P(P|\tilde{p}) IIP - \tilde{p}II^{\tilde{p}} dPd\tilde{p}$$

= $\int P(\tilde{p}) P(P|\tilde{p}) IIP - \tilde{p}II^{\tilde{p}} d\tilde{p}$

Now, we howe:

MSE
$$\frac{1}{P(P)} \int \frac{P(P|\hat{P}) P(\hat{P})}{P(P)} P(\hat{P}) P(\hat{P})$$

This is the optimal estimator for the bayesian (MMSE).

-) We can say that this formula points to prove that the

MMSE is the one that minimizes MSE.