## Deriving the reflection coefficient for a (classical) single tone in a cavity experiment

We start from the general for the classical equation of motion for the light field amplitude inside the cavity, a(t), as well as an Ansatz for the motion of the oscillator position, x(t), which is taken to oscillate at an angular frequency  $\Omega_m$ , and an amplitude  $x_0$ .

$$\dot{a}(t) = -i(\omega_{res} + G \cdot x(t))a(t) - \kappa/2a(t) + \sqrt{\kappa_{ext}}a_{in}(t)$$
 (1)

$$x(t) = x_0(e^{-i\Omega_m t} + e^{i\Omega_m t})/2 \tag{2}$$

The meanings are the same as in [1]. A monochromatic field at a fixed frequency  $\omega_L$  is sent into the cavity, such that  $a_{in}(t) = \alpha_{\rm in} e^{-i\omega_L t}$ . Assuming that the mechanical oscillation can modulate the light field to generate sidebands at frequencies  $\pm \Omega_m$  around the cavity resonance, we make the following Ansatz for the intracavity lightfield:

$$a(t) = \alpha_0 e^{-i\omega_L t} + \alpha_1^- e^{-i(\omega_L - \Omega_m)t} + \alpha_1^+ e^{-i(\omega_L + \Omega_m)t}, \tag{3}$$

where the subscripts S and aS stand for Stokes and anti-Stokes, respectively. All terms oscillating at different frequencies are neglected in this study. Substituting for x(t) and a(t) into (1), we separate the equation into terms oscillating at  $\omega_L$ ,  $\omega_L - \Omega_m$ , and  $\omega_L + \Omega_m$ . Again, we neglect all terms oscillating at other frequencies. Let  $\Delta = \omega_L - \omega_{res}$ ; this leaves us then with the following three coupled equations:  $e^{-i\omega_L t}$ :

$$-i\Delta\alpha_0 = iGx_0(\alpha_1^+ + \alpha_1^-)/2 - \kappa/2\alpha_0 + \sqrt{\kappa_{ext}}\alpha_{in},\tag{4}$$

 $e^{-i\omega_L t - \Omega_m}$ :

$$-i(\Delta - \Omega_m)\alpha_1^+ = iGx_0\alpha_0/2 - \kappa\alpha_1^+/2,\tag{5}$$

 $e^{-i\omega_L t + \Omega_m}$ :

$$-i(\Delta - \Omega_m)\alpha_1^- = iGx_0\alpha_0/2 - \kappa\alpha_1^-/2. \tag{6}$$

We find then the general expression for the intracavity field:

$$\alpha_0 = \chi_{aa} \alpha_{\rm in} / \sqrt{\kappa_{\rm ext}},\tag{7}$$

where

$$\chi_{aa} = \kappa_{\text{ext}}(-i\Delta + \kappa/2 + \chi_{ab})^{-1}$$

is the optical susceptibility, modified by the response of the mirror, and

$$\chi_{ba} = -n_{th}(q_0/2)^2 \left[ (-i(\Delta + \Omega_m) + \kappa/2)^{-1} + (-i(\Delta - \Omega_m) + \kappa/2)^{-1} \right]$$

is the mechanical susceptibility of the mirror. It is in general more telling to replace G by the vacuum coupling rate  $g_0 = Gx_{\sf zpf}$ , where  $x_{\sf zpf}$  is the displacement of the mirror caused by its zero point fluctuations. If we assume that the mirror

is in thermal equilibrium with its environment, and attribute its oscillations to be due to thermal fluctuations, then we can express  $x_0 = x_{\sf zpf} \sqrt{n_{\sf th}}$ ,  $n_{\sf th}$  being the number of thermal phonons populating the mechanical oscillator.

[1] Kippenberg et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. (2014)