Food Sales Prediction Project The data contains different features related to sales of food items sold in numerous grocery stores. We want to help a retailer understand the importance of the different features/properties of the products they're selling and the role of different outlets in increasing the sales of the products. Also we will make predictions about the data. **Mounting and Importing Data** #mount data In [1]: from google.colab import drive drive.mount('/content/drive') Drive already mounted at /content/drive; to attempt to forcibly remount, call drive.mount("/content/drive", force remount=True). #import basic libraries In [11]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np #import libraries for data visualizations import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns #import machine learning and preprocessing libraries from sklearn.metrics import r2 score, mean absolute error, mean squared error, median absolute error from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.dummy import DummyRegressor from sklearn.compose import make column selector, make column transformer from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder, StandardScaler from sklearn.pipeline import make pipeline from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor from sklearn.ensemble import BaggingRegressor,RandomForestRegressor filename = '/content/drive/MyDrive/Coding Dojo Bootcamp/sales predictions.csv' #view first 5 rows of data sales data = pd.read csv(filename) sales data.head() Item_Identifier Item_Weight Item_Fat_Content Item_Visibility Item_Type Item_MRP Outlet_Identifier Outlet_Establishment_Year Outlet_Size Outlet_Location_Type Outlet_Type Item_Outlet_Sales Out[3]: Supermarket 0 FDA15 9.30 Low Fat 0.016047 249.8092 OUT049 1999 Medium Tier 1 3735.1380 Dairy Type1 Supermarket 5.92 Tier 3 1 DRC01 0.019278 Soft Drinks 48.2692 OUT018 2009 443.4228 Regular Medium Type2 Supermarket 2 FDN15 17.50 OUT049 1999 Tier 1 2097.2700 Low Fat 0.016760 Meat 141.6180 Medium Type1 Fruits and Grocery 3 FDX07 19.20 0.000000 182.0950 OUT010 1998 732.3800 Regular NaN Tier 3 Vegetables Store Supermarket NCD19 8.93 1987 Tier 3 994.7052 4 53.8614 OUT013 High Low Fat 0.000000 Household Type1 **Description of Data Column Names** unique product ID Item_Identifier Item_Weight weight of product Item_Fat_Content whether the product is low far or regular Item_Visibility the percentage of total display are of all product in a store allocated to the particular product Item_Type the category to which the product belongs maximum retail price (list price) of the product Item_MRP Outlet_Identifier unique store ID Outlet_Establishment_Year the year in which store was established Outlet_Size the size of the store in terms of ground area covered Outlet_Location_Type the type of are in wich the store is located Outlet_Type whether the outlet is a grocery store or some sort of supermarket Item_Outlet_Sales sales of product in the particular store, this is the target to be predicted explanation of the content of each column - the above shows that the dataframe has 8523 rows and 12 columns **Hypotheses** • looking at the content of the columns I intuitively think that Item_Visibility may be and important feature in predicting Item_Outlet_Sales because you have to see an item to buy it • Item_MRP may also be an important freature because prices of a product usually dictates if and how much of something a consumer will purchase as far as outlet based features... • Outlet_Size and Outlet_Type the type of store (grocery or supermarket) probabluy dictates that size of the store and size logically would dictate sales. A larger store should have greater sales • via explorations of the data and machine learning method we can find out if these hypotheses are in fact correct **Data Exploration** Here I look at what data is missing and duplicated. Then think about the best method for addressing these issues. #data exploration of possible missing data sales data.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 8523 entries, 0 to 8522 Data columns (total 12 columns): Non-Null Count Dtype Column _____ Item Identifier 8523 non-null object Item Weight 7060 non-null float64 Item Fat Content 8523 non-null object Item Visibility float64 8523 non-null Item Type 8523 non-null object Item MRP 8523 non-null float64 Outlet Identifier 8523 non-null object Outlet Establishment Year 8523 non-null int64 Outlet Size 6113 non-null object Outlet Location Type 8523 non-null object Outlet Type 8523 non-null object Item Outlet Sales 8523 non-null float64 dtypes: float64(4), int64(1), object(7) memory usage: 799.2+ KB • Item_Weight and Outlet_Size columns have missing values in order to avoid data leakage we can impute the missing data via SimpleImputer() o for Item Weight - impute with mean weight of all the items for Outlet_Size - impute with mode (most frequent) of all the outlet sizes #summary statistics foe each numerical column sales data.describe() Item_Weight Item_Visibility Item_MRP Outlet_Establishment_Year Item_Outlet_Sales Out[5]: 8523.000000 8523.000000 8523.000000 **count** 7060.000000 8523.000000 12.857645 0.066132 140.992782 1997.831867 2181.288914 mean 4.643456 std 0.051598 62.275067 8.371760 1706.499616 4.555000 0.000000 31.290000 1985.000000 33.290000 min 25% 8.773750 0.026989 93.826500 1987.000000 834.247400 50% 12.600000 0.053931 143.012800 1999.000000 1794.331000 **75%** 16.850000 0.094585 185.643700 2004.000000 3101.296400 21.350000 0.328391 266.888400 2009.000000 13086.964800 max **Statistical Observations** • mean for Item_Weight \approx 12.86 • mean for Item_Visibiliy $\approx .066$ this seems low, it's at about 6/7% • mean for Item_MRP \approx 140.99, with standard deviation \approx 62.27 • which means there is a signnificant different betweent the observed values and the mean and therefore significant variation in the data ullet mean for Item Outlet Sales pprox 2181.29 with standard deviation pprox 1706.49 which mean a lot of variation in this data #exploration of the types of values in Item Fat Content column sales data.Item Fat Content.value counts() Out[6]: Low Fat 5089 Regular 2889 $_{
m LF}$ 316 117 reg low fat 112 Name: Item Fat Content, dtype: int64 • there are only 2 types of Fat Contents Low Fat, Regular but we see here that there are 3 other labels LF, reg and low fat which mean the same things. • these need to be replaces so that there are only two word representing each type of fat content #replaces abbreviated terms with full phrases sales data['Item Fat Content'].replace({"reg":"Regular","low fat":"Low Fat", "Low Fat", "LF": "Low Fat"}, inplace=True) sales data.Item Fat Content.value counts() Out[7]: Low Fat 5517 3006 Regular Name: Item_Fat_Content, dtype: int64 #explore the types of values in the Item Type column sales_data['Item_Type'].value_counts() Out[8]: Fruits and Vegetables 1232 Snack Foods 1200 Household 910 Frozen Foods 856 682 Dairy 649 Canned 648 Baking Goods Health and Hygiene 520 Soft Drinks 445 425 Meat 251 Breads Hard Drinks 214 Others 169 148 Starchy Foods Breakfast 110 Seafood 64 Name: Item Type, dtype: int64 contains all distinct item names #exploration of types of values in the Outlet Type column In [9]: sales data['Outlet_Type'].value_counts() Supermarket Type1 5577 Out[9]: Grocery Store 1083 Supermarket Type3 935 Supermarket Type2 928 Name: Outlet Type, dtype: int64 **Duplicate Data** print(f'{sales_data.duplicated().sum()} duplicates') In [10]: 0 duplicates **Exploratory Data Visualization** sales_data.groupby(by='Outlet_Type')['Item_Outlet_Sales'].count().sort_values(ascending=False).plot(kind='bar') In [12]: plt.ylabel('Number of Outlet Sales') plt.title('Sales per Outlet Type', fontsize=14); Sales per Outlet Type 5000 of Outlet Sales 4000 3000 2000 1000 Outlet Type *plot comparing the outlet type with the number of outlet sales* • here we see that supermarket type one has significantly more sales that any of the other types of stores sales_data['Outlet_Size'].value_counts() In [13]: sales_data.groupby(by='Outlet_Size')['Item_Outlet_Sales'].mean().sort_values(ascending=False).plot(kind='bar'); plt.ylabel('Average Outlet Sales') plt.title('Sales per Outlet Size', fontsize=14); Sales per Outlet Size 2500 2000 1500 1000 500 High Outlet Size *plot comparing outlet size and average outlet sales* • interestingly the Medium sized stores have the higher average outlet size, in opposition with my original hypothesis althouh the differences aren't very large seems like this should be "large" instead of "high" • let's change that... #replacing high with large for outlet size column In [14]: sales data['Outlet Size'].replace({"High": "Large"}, inplace=True) sales data.Outlet Size.value counts() Medium 2793 Out[14]: Small 2388 Large 932 Name: Outlet Size, dtype: int64 sales_data['Outlet_Size'].value_counts() In [15]: sales data.groupby(by='Outlet Size')['Item Outlet Sales'].count().sort values(ascending=False).plot(kind='bar'); plt.ylabel('Number of Outlet Sales') plt.title('Sales per Outlet Size', fontsize=14); Sales per Outlet Size 2500 Outlet Sales 2000 1500 of 1000 500 Outlet Size • this shows that of all the sizes store there are more Medium and Small sized stores than Large stores which means that size of the store does not infact dictate the number of sales **Exploring Data Types (Continuous v. Categorical)** sales_data.head() In [16]: Item_Identifier Item_Weight Item_Fat_Content Item_Visibility Item_Type Item_MRP Outlet_Identifier Outlet_Establishment_Year Outlet_Size Outlet_Location_Type Outlet_Type Item_Outlet_Sales Out[16]: FDA15 0 9.30 249.8092 OUT049 3735.1380 Low Fat 0.016047 1999 Medium Type1 Supermarket 5.92 0.019278 Soft Drinks 48.2692 OUT018 2009 Tier 3 1 DRC01 Regular Medium 443.4228 Type2 Supermarket 2 FDN15 17.50 OUT049 2097.2700 Low Fat 0.016760 Meat 141.6180 1999 Medium Type1 Fruits and Grocery 3 FDX07 0.000000 182.0950 OUT010 1998 Tier 3 19.20 Regular NaN 732.3800 Vegetables Store Supermarket NCD19 8.93 OUT013 1987 994.7052 4 Low Fat 0.000000 Household 53.8614 Large Type1 Item_Identifier - categorical Item Weight - continuous Item_Visibility - continuous Item_Type - categorical • Item MRP - continous • Outlet_Identifier - categorical Outlet_Establishment_Year - categorical Outlet_Location_Type - categorical • Outlet_Type - categorical Item_Outlet_Sales - continuous sales_data['Item_Identifier'].value_counts() In [17]: 10 FDW13 Out[17]: 10 FDG33 NCY18 9 FDD38 9 DRE49 9 FDY43 1 FDQ60 1 FDO33 DRF48 FDC23 Name: Item Identifier, Length: 1559, dtype: int64 • Item_Identifier has 1559 unique values which would each be a column if they would one hot encoded, this column will be dropped sales_data = sales_data.drop(columns='Item_Identifier') ##sales data.head() **Preprocessing Data** This is where we prep the dataframe so that we can perform machine learning on the data. We want to predict Item_Outlet_Sales so we will be using regression modeling then compare the metrics to see which model makes predictions more effectively. #assign Item Outlet Sales column as your target and rest of variables as feature matrix y = sales data['Item Outlet Sales'] #target vector X = sales data.drop(columns='Item Outlet Sales') #features matrix #create train, test, split X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=42, stratify=y) • data is split into train and test sets so that model can find a general pattern within the data then test data is used to check how well the model has generalized • stratify is used to ensure that train and test datasets have the same proportion of each class in y vector #instatiate the selectors for numerical and categorical num selector = make column selector(dtype include='number') cat_selector = make_column_selector(dtype_include='object') num_columns = num_selector(X_train) cat_columns = cat_selector(X_train) print('numeric columns are', num columns) print('categorical columns are', cat columns) numeric columns are ['Item Weight', 'Item Visibility', 'Item MRP', 'Outlet Establishment Year'] categorical columns are ['Item Fat Content', 'Item Type', 'Outlet Identifier', 'Outlet Size', 'Outlet Location Type', 'Outlet Type'] • here numerical and categorical selectors are created so that numerical and categorical data can be selected seperately for different preprocessing methods #instantiate imputer with mean strategy mean imputer = SimpleImputer(strategy='mean') #instantiate imputer with most frequent strategy freq imputer = SimpleImputer(strategy='most frequent') #instantiate one hot encoder ohe encoder = OneHotEncoder(sparse=False, handle unknown='ignore') #match transformation to type of column num tuple = (mean imputer, num selector) ohe tuple = (ohe encoder, cat selector) cat_pipe = make_pipeline(freq_imputer,ohe_encoder) cat tuple = (cat pipe, cat selector) column transformer = make column transformer(num tuple,cat tuple) • because there are some missing values within the data we use SimpleImputer to fill in missing using different statistical methods mean_imputer - to simple impute with mean • freq_imputer - to simple impute with mode • ohe_encoder - categorial features cannot be interpretted by mathematical models so they have to converted into numerical data which can be understood by the model, OneHotEncoder() changes categorical data into several columns of binary values **Model Selection Process** In this section I try several different regression models. The models are compared using a few different regression metrics to determine which model should be used in production and then will be hyperparameter tuned to predict sales more accurately. All of the following models use pipeline which pair the column tranformer and the instatiated model. The pipeline combine the process of preprocessing the data and applying a model within one variable. The variable is then fitted to the training data and then we're able to use some regression metrics to evaluate which baseline model is most effective. **Linear Regression Model** #instantiate linear regression lin reg = LinearRegression() #instatiate pipeline lin_reg_pipe = make_pipeline(column_transformer, lin_reg) #fit pipeline one the training data lin_reg_pipe.fit(X_train, y_train) Pipeline(steps=[('columntransformer', ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fb47e7690>), ('pipeline', Pipeline(steps=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(strategy='most frequent')), ('onehotencoder', OneHotEncoder(handle unknown='ignore', sparse=False))]), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fc8224190>)])), ('linearregression', LinearRegression())]) **Linear Regression Metrics** print(f'Train R2 score: {r2 score(y train, lin_reg_pipe.predict(X_train))}') print(f'Test R2 score: {r2_score(y_test, lin_reg_pipe.predict(X_test))}') Train R2 score: 0.5615551419174629 Test R2 score: 0.5671041872384912 • this is a pretty low score but the test and training scores are close to each other so the model is a good fit • Linear Regression doesn't have as many parameters to tune so maybe another model would be better suited. #root mean squared error (RMSE) RMSE_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, lin_reg_pipe.predict(X_test))) RMSE_train = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, lin_reg_pipe.predict(X_train))) print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(test): {RMSE_test}') print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(train): {RMSE train}') Root Mean Squared Error(test): 1092.8630817241494 Root Mean Squared Error(train): 1139.1040937388918 **Decision Tree Model** #instantiate decision tree model In []: dec tree = DecisionTreeRegressor(random state=42) #instatiate pipeline dt_pipe = make_pipeline(column_transformer, dec_tree) #fit pipeline one the training data dt pipe.fit(X train, y train) Pipeline(steps=[('columntransformer', ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(), <sklearn.compose. column transformer.make column selector object at 0x7f7fb47e7690>), ('pipeline', Pipeline(steps=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(strategy='most frequent')), ('onehotencoder', OneHotEncoder(handle unknown='ignore', sparse=False))]), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fc8224190>)])), ('decisiontreeregressor', DecisionTreeRegressor(random state=42))]) **Decision Tree Metrics** train score dt = r2 score(y train, dt pipe.predict(X train)) test_score_dt = r2_score(y_test, dt_pipe.predict(X_test)) print(f' R2 score (train) Decision Tree: {train_score_dt}') print(f' R2 score (test) Decision Tree: {test score dt}') R2 score (train) Decision Tree: 1.0 R2 score (test) Decision Tree: 0.18408602434746324 #root mean squared error (RMSE) RMSE test dt = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test, dt pipe.predict(X test))) RMSE train dt = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y train, dt pipe.predict(X train))) print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(train) Decision Tree: {RMSE train dt}') print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(test) Decision Tree: {RMSE test dt}') Root Mean Squared Error(train) Decision Tree: 5.50728349323243e-15 Root Mean Squared Error(test) Decision Tree: 1500.3626653677372 **Bagged Tree Model** #instantiate bagged tree bag_tree = BaggingRegressor(random_state=42) #instantiate pipeline bt pipe = make pipeline(column transformer, bag tree) #fit pipeline on training data bt_pipe.fit(X_train, y_train) Out[]: Pipeline(steps=[('columntransformer', ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(), <sklearn.compose. column transformer.make column selector object at 0x7f7fb47e7690>), ('pipeline', Pipeline(steps=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(strategy='most_frequent')), ('onehotencoder', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown='ignore', sparse=False))]), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fc8224190>)])), ('baggingregressor', BaggingRegressor(random state=42))]) **Bagged Tree Metrics** train_score_bt = r2_score(y_train, bt_pipe.predict(X_train)) test_score bt = r2_score(y test, bt pipe.predict(X test)) print(f' R2 score (train) bagged tree: {train score bt}') print(f' R2 score (test) bagged tree: {test score bt}') R2 score (train) bagged tree: 0.9181343126434903 R2 score (test) bagged tree: 0.5361043286154861 #root mean squared error (RMSE) RMSE test bt = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test, bt pipe.predict(X test))) RMSE_train_bt = np.sqrt(mean_squared error(y train, bt pipe.predict(X train))) print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(test) Bagged Tree: {RMSE_test_bt}') print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(train) Bagged Tree: {RMSE train bt}') Root Mean Squared Error(test) Bagged Tree: 1131.3167643333556 Root Mean Squared Error(train) Bagged Tree: 492.21730511249837 **Random Forest Model** #instantiate model rf = RandomForestRegressor(random state=42) #random forest pipeline rf_pipe = make_pipeline(column_transformer, rf) #fit random forest pipeline rf pipe.fit(X train, y train) Pipeline(steps=[('columntransformer', ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fb47e7690>), ('pipeline', Pipeline(steps=[('simpleimputer', SimpleImputer(strategy='most frequent')), ('onehotencoder', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown='ignore', sparse=False))]), <sklearn.compose._column_transformer.make_column_selector object at 0x7f7fc8224190>)])), ('randomforestregressor', RandomForestRegressor(random state=42))]) **Random Forest Metrics** train_score_rf = r2_score(y_train, rf_pipe.predict(X_train)) test_score_rf = r2_score(y_test, rf_pipe.predict(X_test)) print(f' R2 score (train) Random Forest: {train score rf}') print(f' R2 score (test) Random Forest: {test score rf}') R2 score (train) Random Forest: 0.9382211294407168 R2 score (test) Random Forest: 0.5594516732429615 #root mean squared error (RMSE) In []: RMSE test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, rf_pipe.predict(X_test))) RMSE train = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y train, rf pipe.predict(X train))) print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(test) Random Forest: {RMSE test}') print(f'Root Mean Squared Error(train) Random Forest: {RMSE train}') Root Mean Squared Error(test) Random Forest: 1102.4803071728284

Root Mean Squared Error(train) Random Forest: 427.5883895709499