

# Short description of the methods

This section shortly describes the main idea of each method implemented in Atomic Charge Calculator II. Methods here are ordered according to the publication date.

For clarity, we use unifying naming scheme (which may differ from the one used in the original publication); the symbols used throughout the chapter:  $q_i$  stands for charge on  $i$ th atom,  $Q$  is the total molecular charge,  $N$  is the number of atoms in a molecule and  $R_{i,j}$  represents the Euclidean distance between atoms  $i$  and  $j$ .

## DelRe

Methods of Del Re [1] starts with the definition of a linear system in the form:

$$\delta_i = \delta_i^0 + \sum_j \gamma_{i,j} \delta_j \quad (1)$$

where  $j$  iterates over atoms bonded to  $i$ .  $\delta_i^0$  is an atom parameter, whereas  $\gamma_{i,j}$  is a bond parameter. Solving for  $\delta_i$  allow us to derive bond charges:

$$q_{i,j} = \frac{\delta_i - \delta_j}{2\epsilon_{i,j}} \quad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon_{i,j}$  is another bond parameter. Finally, charge for each atom is computed as the sum of all involved bond charges.

## PEOE

Partial equalization of orbital electronegativity [2, 3] is an iterative scheme in which the charges are moved along the bonds from the more electropositive atom to the more electronegative one. The amount of charge shifted is proportional to the difference of the electronegativities of the bonding partners. As effective electronegativity is defined here as a function of charge:

$$\chi_i^\alpha = A_i + B_i q^\alpha + C_i (q_i^\alpha)^2 \quad (3)$$

its value for each atom must be recomputed as it enters the next iteration.

The main idea of a charge transfer is expressed through the following equation:

$$q_i^\alpha = \left( \sum_j \frac{\chi_j^\alpha - \chi_i^\alpha}{D_i} + \sum_k \frac{\chi_i^\alpha - \chi_k^\alpha}{D_k} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^\alpha \quad (4)$$

where  $j$  are atoms bonded to atom  $i$  with higher electronegativity and  $k$  are atoms bonded to atom  $i$  with lower electronegativity.

Since the generated charges produce an electrostatic field which further hinders the charge transfer, the dampening factor  $(1/2)^\alpha$  was introduced to account for that fact. Usually, six iterations of 3 followed by 4 are necessary for charges to converge.

Finally, total atomic charge  $q_i$  is the sum of charge transfers across all the iterations:

$$q_i = \sum_\alpha q_i^\alpha \quad (5)$$

## Charge2

Charge2 [4] is an iterative method in which charge increments from neighbor atoms are added to a central one.

$$q_i = q_i(\alpha) + q_i(\beta) + q_i(\gamma) \quad (6)$$

$$q_i(\alpha) = \sum_j \frac{\chi_j - \chi_i}{a} \quad (7)$$

$$q_i(\beta) = \sum_k \frac{(\chi_k - \chi_H)P_i}{b} \quad (8)$$

$$q_i(\gamma) = \sum_l \frac{(\chi_l - \chi_H)P_i}{bc} \quad (9)$$

$$(10)$$

where

$$P_i = P_i^0 (1 + \alpha(q_i^0 - q_i)) \quad (11)$$

and j, k and l represents atoms one, two or three bonds apart from atom i, a, b, c and  $P^0$  are atom parameters,  $q^0$  is a formal charge,  $\chi_H$  is an electronegativity of hydrogen, and  $\alpha$  is a common parameter.

## EEM

Contrary to the partial electronegativity equalization methods like PEOE or MPEOE, full electronegativity equalization is fundamental to the Mortier's Electronegativity Equalization Method [5].

According to the Sanderson's principle, the electronegativity of each atom gets equalized when atoms bond to form a molecule:

$$\bar{\chi} = \chi_1 = \dots = \chi_N \quad (12)$$

The electronegativity of an atom in a molecule is expressed as:

$$\chi_i = A_i + B_i q_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{q_j}{R_{i,j}} \quad (13)$$

where

$$A_i = \chi_i^0 + \Delta\chi_i \quad (14)$$

$$B_i = 2(\eta_i^0 + \Delta\eta_i) \quad (15)$$

$\chi^0$  is an electronegativity of an isolated atom,  $\eta^0$  is a hardness of an isolated atom.  $\Delta$  symbols represent corrections for the molecular environment.

Finally, charge conservation principle holds:

$$Q = \sum_i q_i \quad (16)$$

Rewriting 13 for every atom in molecule subject to 12 and 16 yields a system of  $N + 1$  linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & R_{1,2}^{-1} & \cdots & R_{1,N}^{-1} & 1 \\ R_{2,1}^{-1} & B_2 & \cdots & R_{2,N}^{-1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{N,1}^{-1} & R_{N,2}^{-1} & \cdots & B_N & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \vdots \\ q_N \\ -\bar{\chi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A_1 \\ -A_2 \\ \vdots \\ -A_N \\ Q \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

## MPEOE

Modified Partial Equalization of Orbital Electronegativity [6] differs from original PEOE by expressing electronegativity as a linear function of charge, so that 3 is modified to:

$$\chi_i^\alpha = A_i + B_i q_i^\alpha \quad (18)$$

Other than that, the dampening factor  $(1/2)^\alpha$  is now considered as a bond type dependent parameter  $f_{x,y}$  changing 4 to:

$$q_i^\alpha = \sum_j \frac{\chi_j^\alpha - \chi_i^\alpha}{D_i} f_{i,j}^\alpha + \sum_k \frac{\chi_i^\alpha - \chi_k^\alpha}{D_k} f_{i,k}^\alpha \quad (19)$$

## QEq

Charge Equilibration (QEq) [7] is similar to EEM. However, originally, it was meant as an iterative scheme as parameter  $J_{i,i}$  for hydrogen was defined to be charge-dependent.

$$\chi_i = \chi_i^0 + J_{i,i}^0 q_i + \sum_{i \neq j} J_{i,j} q_j \quad (20)$$

In the original publication values for the Coulomb repulsion term  $J_{i,j}$  were obtained using *ab-initio* calculations. To simplify the process, several empirical terms were developed to substitute  $J_{i,j}$  with some simple expression.

The system of linear equations is constructed and solved for  $q$  similarly to the one in EEM.

## ABEEM

Atom-bond Electronegativity Equalization Method [8] extends original EEM to also include bond electronegativities into the equalization scheme. Electronegativity of atom  $i$  is expressed as:

$$\chi_i = A_i + B_i q_i + C_i \sum_{i-j} q_{i-j} + k \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{q_j}{R_{i,j}} + k \sum_{k-l \neq i-j} \frac{q_{k-l}}{R_{i,k-l}} \quad (21)$$

where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are atom parameters,  $k$  is a common parameter,  $q_{i-j}$  denotes the charge on the bond  $i-j$ . Distance to a bond is computed to its center proportional to the covalent radius of the constituent atoms. Electronegativity of a bond  $i-j$  has the following form:

$$\chi_{i-j} = A_{i-j} + B_{i-j} q_{i-j} + C_{i-j,i} q_i + D_{i-j,j} q_j + k \sum_{k \neq i,j} \frac{q_k}{R_{i-j,k}} + k \sum_{k-l \neq i-j} \frac{q_{k-l}}{R_{i-j,k-l}} \quad (22)$$

where  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are bond parameters and  $k$  is a common parameter.

Solving the system of linear equations provides us with the atomic and bond charges. The bond charges are then added onto the constituent atoms proportionally to their covalent radii yielding the final atomic charges.

## GDAC

Further modification of **MPEOE** method is coined Geometry-dependent Atomic Charges [9]. GDAC modifies the dampening term  $f_{x,y}$  to be geometry dependent:

$$f_{x,y} = 1 - \frac{R_{x,y}}{R_x^{vdw} + R_y^{vdw}} \quad (23)$$

where  $R_x^{vdw}$  stands for the van der Waals radius of atom  $x$ .

## MGC

Molecular Graph Charge model (MGC) [10, 11] uses molecular graph representation of the molecule as it is inspired by electrical circuits and Kirchhoff's current laws. Therefore, no atomic coordinates are employed.

MGC constructs auxiliary matrix  $S$  in the following way:

$$S = -A + D + I \quad (24)$$

where  $A$  is a connectivity matrix,  $D$  represents diagonal degree matrix and  $I$  is a standard identity matrix.

Equalized electronegativities are obtained from those of isolated atoms as a solution to the system of linear equations.

$$S\chi = \chi^0 \quad (25)$$

Finally, partial atomic charges are computed as a difference between equalized and standard electronegativities of respective atoms, divided by the average electronegativity  $\chi_M$  (geometric average).

$$q = \frac{\chi - \chi^0}{\chi_M} \quad (26)$$

## SFKEEM

Selfconsistent Functional Kernel Equalized Electronegativity Method [12] develops on **EEM**'s main idea. However, it incorporates different hardness matrix. The electronegativity equalization principle in SFKEEM is expressed as:

$$\chi_i = A + 2B_i q_i + \sum_{i \neq j} 2\sqrt{B_i B_j} \text{sech}(\sigma R_{i,j}) \quad (27)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\sigma$  are empirical parameters and  $\text{sech}$  is a hyperbolic secant function.

## KCM

Kirchhoff Charge Model [13] builds a Laplacian matrix  $L$  as:

$$L = B^T W B \quad (28)$$

where  $B$  is an incidence matrix and  $W$  is a diagonal "softness" matrix with elements  $w_{i,i} = 1/(\eta_i + \eta_j)$ , where  $\eta$  stands for hardness of an atom.

Atomic charges are derived using the following expression:

$$q = (L^{-1} - I)\chi^0 \quad (29)$$

where  $L^{-1}$  is an inverse of  $L$  and  $\chi^0$  represents a vector of electronegativities of isolated atoms.

## DENR

Dynamic electronegativity relaxation [14] is an iterative 2D scheme in which charges are derived using a Laplacian matrix:

$$\mathbf{q}^{(n+1)} = (\mathbf{I} + c\Delta t \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{q}^{(n)} - c\Delta t \cdot \mathbf{a}_0) \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\eta}_0$  and  $\mathbf{a}_0 = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\chi}_0$ . Note that  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_0$  is a diagonal matrix of atomic hardnesses.

## TSEF

Topologically Symmetrical Energy Function [14] has electronegativity equalization principle as its base but changes off-diagonal term to include bond distance rather than Euclidean distance making TSEF conformationally independent.

$$\phi_{i,j} = \alpha \cdot K(\text{MDP}_{i,j}) \cdot \frac{1}{0.84 \cdot \text{MDP}_{i,j} + 0.46} \quad (31)$$

where MDP stands for *minimal distance path*, i.e., minimal number of bonds between two atoms, K is parameter and  $\alpha$  is a unit conversion factor.

## SMP/QEq

Self-Consistent Charge Equilibration Method [15] builds upon the idea of the original QEq, electronegativity of an atom is formalized as a function of charge, thus the whole scheme is an iterative one. The main equation follows:

$$\chi_i(q_i) = A_i + 2\lambda(q_i)q_i + \sum_{i \neq j} J_{i,j}q_j \quad (32)$$

where

$$\lambda(q_i) = B_i + C_i q_i + D_i (q_i)^2 \quad (33)$$

and

$$J_{i,j} = \left( \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{B_i B_j})^3} + R_{i,j}^3 \right)^{-1/3} \quad (34)$$

where A, B, C and D are atom parameters.

## VEEM

Valence electrons equilibration method [16] calculates atomic charges based on the number of valence electrons of individual atoms and atomic groups.

First, equalized electronegativity is calculated for the whole molecule:

$$\chi_{ve} = \frac{\sum_i \chi_i N_{ve,i}}{\sum_i N_{ve,i}} \quad (35)$$

where  $\chi_i$  is an electronegativity of isolated atom  $i$ ;  $N_{ve,i}$  stands for the number of valence electrons of atom  $i$ .

Finally, the partial atomic charge of atom  $i$  is computed as:

$$q_i = N_{ve,i} \frac{\chi_{ve} - \chi_i}{\chi_{ve}} \quad (36)$$

## EQeq

Extended charge equilibration method [17] builds upon original QEq scheme, which is modified to take the following form (the simplest, non-periodic case without different charge centers):

$$\chi_i = \chi_i^0 + J_i^0 q_i + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \left( \frac{1}{R_{i,j}} + O_{i,j} \right) \quad (37)$$

where

$$\chi_i^0 = \frac{IP_i + EA_i}{2} \quad (38)$$

$$J_i^0 = IP_i - EA_i \quad (39)$$

K is a constant; IP and EA stand for ionization potential and electron affinity, respectively, and

$$O_{i,j} = \exp \left( -\frac{J_{i,j}^2 R_{i,j}^2}{K^2} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{J_{i,j}}{K} - \frac{J_{i,j}^2 R_{i,j}}{K^2} - \frac{1}{R_{i,j}} \right) \quad (40)$$

where  $J_{i,j}$  is a geometric mean of  $J_i^0$  and  $J_j^0$ .

## EQeq+C

Bond-order-corrected Extended Charge Equilibration Method [18] follows exactly the same procedure as EQeq, however, after the computation is done, some corrections are added to the original charges, i.e.:

$$q_i = q_i^0 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{i,j} B_{i,j} \quad (41)$$

where  $q_i^0$  is original charge from EQeq, and

$$T_{i,j} = D_i - D_j \quad (42)$$

$$B_{i,j} = \exp [-\alpha (R_{i,j} - r_i - r_j)] \quad (43)$$

where D is an atom parameter,  $\alpha$  is a common parameter and r stands for covalent radius.

# Complexity of implemented approaches

The following table summarizes information about computational costs of the implemented methods, both in terms of time and memory complexity (expressed using  $\mathcal{O}$  notation). The symbol  $N$  denotes the number of atoms,  $M$  is the number of bonds.

Method	Time	Memory
DelRe	$N^3$	$N^2$
PEOE	$N + M$	$N$
Charge2	$N + M$	1
EEM*	$N^3$	$N^2$
MPEOE	$N + M$	$N$
QEq*	$N^3$	$N^2$
ABEEM	$(N + M)^3$	$(N + M)^2$
GDAC	$N + M$	$N$
MGC	$N^3$	$N^2$
SFKEEM*	$N^3$	$N^2$
KCM	$N^3$	$N^2$
DENR	$N^3$	$N^2$
TSEF	$N^3$	$N^2$
SMP/QEq*	$N^3$	$N^2$
VEEM	$N$	1
EQeq*	$N^3$	$N^2$
EQeq+C*	$N^3$	$N^2$

Methods marked with \* can utilize *cutoff* and *cover* complexity reductions. These were introduced in the original AtomicChargeCalculator for EEM (*EEM Cutoff* and *EEM Cover*). Both represent *divide and conquer* algorithms.

Their idea is to divide the molecule into the overlapping fragments (spheres around individual atoms), solve the electronegativity equations for each fragment and then apply some correction to get a correct total charge. The time complexity is then reduced to  $\mathcal{O}(R^6N + RN \log N)$  and the space complexity to  $\mathcal{O}(R^4N + N \log N)$ , where  $R$  is the radius of the sphere. Further information is provided in the original publication [19].

# Notes on the implementation

Following section presents some notes and discusses differences in implementation of the some methods compared to the description used in original publication.

## GDAC

Only a subset of the parameters is used.

## QEq

The scheme is not iterative, expression for  $J_{i,j}$  is taken from [20] as in **SMP/QEq**:

$$J_{i,j} = \left( \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{B_i B_j})^3} + R_{i,j}^3 \right)^{-1/3} \quad (44)$$

## EQeq and EQeq+C

Only the non-periodic case without non-zero charge centers is supported.



# Applications of charges

Partial atomic charges, obtained by empirical charge calculation methods, can be applied for example in the following fields:

- descriptors for QSAR and QSPR modelling [[21](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#), [27](#), [28](#), [29](#)]
- pharmacophore design [[30](#), [31](#), [32](#)]
- virtual screening [[33](#), [34](#), [35](#)]
- molecular docking [[36](#), [37](#), [38](#)]
- similarity searches [[39](#), [40](#), [41](#)]
- conformers generation [[42](#)]
- molecular dynamics [[7](#), [43](#), [44](#), [45](#)]
- study of mechanisms of chemical actions [[46](#), [38](#), [47](#)]

# Bibliography

- [1] Giuseppe Del Re. "812. A simple MO-LCAO method for the calculation of charge distributions in saturated organic molecules". In: *Journal of the Chemical Society (Resumed)* (1958), pp. 4031–4040.
- [2] Johann Gasteiger and Mario Marsili. "A new model for calculating atomic charges in molecules". In: *Tetrahedron Letters* 19.34 (1978), pp. 3181–3184.
- [3] Johann Gasteiger and Mario Marsili. "Iterative partial equalization of orbital electronegativity—a rapid access to atomic charges". In: *Tetrahedron* 36.22 (1980), pp. 3219–3228.
- [4] Raymond J Abraham, Lee Griffiths, and Philip Loftus. "Approaches to charge calculations in molecular mechanics". In: *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 3.3 (1982), pp. 407–416.
- [5] Wilfried J Mortier, Swapan K Ghosh, and S Shankar. "Electronegativity-equalization method for the calculation of atomic charges in molecules". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 108.15 (1986), pp. 4315–4320.
- [6] Kyoung Tai No, J Andrew Grant, and Harold A Scheraga. "Determination of net atomic charges using a modified partial equalization of orbital electronegativity method. 1. Application to neutral molecules as models for polypeptides". In: *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 94.11 (1990), pp. 4732–4739.
- [7] Anthony K Rappe and William A Goddard III. "Charge equilibration for molecular dynamics simulations". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 95.8 (1991), pp. 3358–3363.
- [8] Zhong-Zhi Yang and Chang-Sheng Wang. "Atom- bond electronegativity equalization method. 1. Calculation of the charge distribution in large molecules". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 101.35 (1997), pp. 6315–6321.
- [9] Kwang-Hwi Cho et al. "A fast method for calculating geometry-dependent net atomic charges for polypeptides". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 105.17 (2001), pp. 3624–3634.
- [10] AA Oliferenko et al. "A new topological model for the calculation of partial atomic charges". In: *Doklady Chemistry*. Vol. 375. 4-6. Springer. 2000, pp. 281–284.
- [11] Alexander A Oliferenko et al. "Novel point charge models: reliable instruments for molecular electrostatics". In: *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry* 14.6 (2001), pp. 355–369.
- [12] J Chaves et al. "Toward an alternative hardness kernel matrix structure in the Electronegativity Equalization Method (EEM)". In: *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 46.4 (2006), pp. 1657–1665.
- [13] Olexander Yakovenko et al. "Kirchhoff atomic charges fitted to multipole moments: implementation for a virtual screening system". In: *Journal of computational chemistry* 29.8 (2008), pp. 1332–1343.
- [14] DA Shulga et al. "Fast tools for calculation of atomic charges well suited for drug design". In: *SAR and QSAR in Environmental Research* 19.1-2 (2008), pp. 153–165.
- [15] Min Zhang and René Fournier. "Self-Consistent Charge Equilibration Method and Its Application to Au<sub>13</sub>Na<sub>n</sub> (n= 1, 10) Clusters". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 113.13 (2009), pp. 3162–3170.
- [16] Ya-xin Wu, Chen-zhong Cao, and Hua Yuan. "Equalized electronegativity based on the valence electrons and its application". In: *Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics* 24.1 (2011), p. 31.
- [17] Christopher E Wilmer, Ki Chul Kim, and Randall Q Snurr. "An extended charge equilibration method". In: *The journal of physical chemistry letters* 3.17 (2012), pp. 2506–2511.
- [18] Geoffrey C Martin-Noble et al. "EQeq+ C: an empirical bond-order-corrected extended charge equilibration method". In: *Journal of chemical theory and computation* 11.7 (2015), pp. 3364–3374.

- [19] Crina-Maria Ionescu et al. "AtomicChargeCalculator: interactive web-based calculation of atomic charges in large biomolecular complexes and drug-like molecules". In: *Journal of cheminformatics* 7.1 (2015), p. 50.
- [20] Jaap N Louwen and Eelco TC Vogt. "Semi-empirical atomic charges for use in computational chemistry of molecular sieves". In: *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 134.1-3 (1998), pp. 63–77.
- [21] Radka Svobodová Vařeková et al. "Predicting pKa values of substituted phenols from atomic charges: comparison of different quantum mechanical methods and charge distribution schemes". In: *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 51.8 (2011), pp. 1795–1806.
- [22] Radka Svobodová Vařeková et al. "Predicting pK a values from EEM atomic charges". In: *Journal of cheminformatics* 5.1 (2013), p. 18.
- [23] Stanislav Geidl et al. "How does the methodology of 3D structure preparation influence the quality of p K a prediction?" In: *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 55.6 (2015), pp. 1088–1097.
- [24] Steven L Dixon and Peter C Jurs. "Estimation of pKa for organic oxyacids using calculated atomic charges". In: *Journal of computational chemistry* 14.12 (1993), pp. 1460–1467.
- [25] Jinhua Zhang, Thomas Kleinöder, and Johann Gasteiger. "Prediction of pKa values for aliphatic carboxylic acids and alcohols with empirical atomic charge descriptors". In: *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 46.6 (2006), pp. 2256–2266.
- [26] Kevin C Gross, Paul G Seybold, and Christopher M Hadad. "Comparison of different atomic charge schemes for predicting pKa variations in substituted anilines and phenols". In: *International journal of quantum chemistry* 90.1 (2002), pp. 445–458.
- [27] TARAVAT GHAFOURIAN and JOHN C DEARDEN. "The Use of Atomic Charges and Orbital Energies as Hydrogen-bonding-donor Parameters for QSAR Studies: Comparison of MNDO, AM1 and PM3 Methods". In: *Journal of pharmacy and pharmacology* 52.6 (2000), pp. 603–610.
- [28] Arkadiusz Z Dudek, Tomasz Arodz, and Jorge Gálvez. "Computational methods in developing quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR): a review". In: *Combinatorial chemistry & high throughput screening* 9.3 (2006), pp. 213–228.
- [29] Mati Karelson, Victor S Lobanov, and Alan R Katritzky. "Quantum-chemical descriptors in QSAR/QSPR studies". In: *Chemical reviews* 96.3 (1996), pp. 1027–1044.
- [30] Roberto Todeschini and Viviana Consonni. *Handbook of molecular descriptors*. Vol. 11. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [31] Jorge Galvez et al. "Charge indexes. New topological descriptors". In: *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences* 34.3 (1994), pp. 520–525.
- [32] Dietmar Stalke. "Meaningful structural descriptors from charge density". In: *Chemistry—A European Journal* 17.34 (2011), pp. 9264–9278.
- [33] Raimund Mannhold, Hugo Kubinyi, and Gerd Folkers. *Pharmacophores and pharmacophore searches*. Vol. 32. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- [34] Preston J MacDougall and Christopher E Henze. "Fleshing-out Pharmacophores with Volume Rendering of the Laplacian of the Charge Density and Hyperwall Visualization Technology". In: *The quantum theory of atoms in molecules: from solid state to DNA and drug design* (2007), pp. 499–514.
- [35] OO Clement and AT Mehl. "HipHop: pharmacophores based on multiple common-feature alignments". In: *Pharmacophore perception, development, and use in drug design* (2000), pp. 69–84.
- [36] Hwangseo Park, Jinuk Lee, and Sangyoub Lee. "Critical assessment of the automated AutoDock as a new docking tool for virtual screening". In: *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics* 65.3 (2006), pp. 549–554.
- [37] Benjamin Nebgen et al. "Transferable dynamic molecular charge assignment using deep neural networks". In: *Journal of chemical theory and computation* 14.9 (2018), pp. 4687–4698.

- [38] Hrvoje Rimac et al. "Warfarin and flavonoids do not share the same binding region in binding to the IIA subdomain of human serum albumin". In: *Molecules* 22.7 (2017), p. 1153.
- [39] Simon K Kearsley et al. "Chemical similarity using physiochemical property descriptors". In: *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences* 36.1 (1996), pp. 118–127.
- [40] Nina Nikolova and Joanna Jaworska. "Approaches to measure chemical similarity—a review". In: *QSAR & Combinatorial Science* 22.9-10 (2003), pp. 1006–1026.
- [41] John D Holliday et al. "Calculation of intersubstituent similarity using R-group descriptors". In: *Journal of chemical information and computer sciences* 43.2 (2003), pp. 406–411.
- [42] Mikko J Vainio and Mark S Johnson. "Generating conformer ensembles using a multiobjective genetic algorithm". In: *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 47.6 (2007), pp. 2462–2474.
- [43] Kimberly Chenoweth, Adri CT Van Duin, and William A Goddard. "ReaxFF reactive force field for molecular dynamics simulations of hydrocarbon oxidation". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 112.5 (2008), pp. 1040–1053.
- [44] Marjan A Nejad and Herbert M Urbassek. "Insulin adsorption on functionalized silica surfaces: an accelerated molecular dynamics study". In: *Journal of molecular modeling* 24.4 (2018), p. 89.
- [45] Jong Wha Lee et al. "Structural characterization of small molecular ions by ion mobility mass spectrometry in nitrogen drift gas: improving the accuracy of trajectory method calculations". In: *Analyst* 143.8 (2018), pp. 1786–1796.
- [46] Crina-Maria Ionescu et al. "Charge profile analysis reveals that activation of pro-apoptotic regulators Bax and Bak relies on charge transfer mediated allosteric regulation". In: *PLoS computational biology* 8.6 (2012).
- [47] Simon Wheeler, Ralf Schmid, and Dan J Sillence. "Lipid–protein interactions in Niemann–pick type C disease: insights from molecular modeling". In: *International journal of molecular sciences* 20.3 (2019), p. 717.