

# PHL245 Modern Symbolic Logic

© Tingfeng Xia

Fall 2019, modified on September 24, 2019

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons  
“Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 In-  
ternational” license.



## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Arguments</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Validity . . . . .	2
1.2	Soundness . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Semantics in Sentential Logic</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Syntax . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Truth Tables</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Summary . . . . .	3
3.2	Sementic Properties in Truth Tables . . . . .	3
3.3	Full truth tables . . . . .	3

# 1 Arguments

## 1.1 Validity

We say a deductive argument is valid iff it is not invalid. This means that we can find out if a argument is valid or not by assessing the possibility of the case where the premise is true and conclusion is false.

## 1.2 Soundness

We say a deductive argument is sound iff it is

- It is valid
- All the premises are TRUE

# 2 Semantics in Sentential Logic

## 2.1 Syntax

**Sentential Logic(SL)** Complex (compound) statements are all built up by joining statements together using LOGICAL CONNECTIONS. we have  $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftarrow, \sim$ . Where  $\sim$  is the only unitary connector and others are binary.

**Atomic vs Molecular** A **statement** is Atomic if it has no logical connector and is molecular otherwise. We use **P-Z letters** to represent **atomic statements** Here is an example: You can have fries or salad.  $\equiv P \vee Q$ . Then,  $P$  is “You can have fries” and  $Q$  is “You can have salad”. Notice that we are following the definition that  $P$  and  $Q$  are **statements**.

**Informal Notation Hierachy** <sup>1</sup> We shall see this through some examples:

- In official notation, we need  $(P \vee Q)$  while it is safe to drop the parenthesis.

We can check if a statment is official or informal if it has the same number of brackets as binary connectors in the statement. If you see a bracket around a unitary connector, the sentence is not well-formed. **Right Most Rule** We say the rightmost connector in a sentence with connectors of the same level the main connector.

---

<sup>1</sup>We use this Informal notation because the formal one is cumbersome. But in order to use the informal one, we have some conventions to follow.

## 3 Truth Tables

### 3.1 Summary

First we remark that we are here talking about binary operators, so they will have and only have two operands. We have the following to consider:

- **Logical OR** ( $\vee$ ) is true when either one of the operands is true. It evaluates to false otherwise.
- **Logical AND** ( $\wedge$ ) is true when both of the operands are true and false otherwise.
- **Implication** ( $\implies$ ) is true in two cases. The first case is when the first operand is false and second case is when both operands are true.
- **Double implication, Iff** ( $\iff$ ) is true when either both operands are true or when both operands are false.
- **Negation** ( $\neg$ ) is true when the operand is false and false otherwise.

### 3.2 Semantic Properties in Truth Tables

- Tautology if always true
- Contradiction if always false
- Contingent if mixed
- Consistent if there exists a row where the conclusion is all true
- Inconsistent if all rows are not all true, negation of the consistent
- Logically equivalent if two sentences have the same truth table

### 3.3 Full truth tables

Example: <sup>3</sup> evaluate  $(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R. \neg R \vee Q. \therefore \neg P \implies Q$ . Notice that this is equivalent to evaluating

$$(((P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R) \wedge (\neg R \vee Q)) \implies \neg P \implies Q$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Or in this course, we may see  $\sim$

<sup>3</sup>This example was adapted from Scharer 4.4 EG3.