**KNN** Find k examples  $\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, t^{(i)}\}$  closest to the test instance  $\mathbf{x}$  and then output majority  $\arg\max_{t^z} \sum_{r=1}^k \delta(t^{(z)}, t^{(r)})$ . Define  $\delta(a, b) = 1$ if a = b, 0 otw. Choice of k: Rule is  $k < \sqrt{n}$ , small k may overfit, while large may underfit. Curse of Dim: In high dimensions, "most" points are approximately the same distance. Computation Cost: 0 (minimal) at trianing/ no learning involved. Query time find Ndistances in D dimension  $\mathcal{O}(ND)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(N\log N)$  sorting time.

Entropy  $H(X) = -\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p} \left[ \log_2 p(X) \right] = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$  Multi-class:  $H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x,y)$  Properties: H is non-negative,  $H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$ ,  $X \perp Y \implies H(Y|X) = H(Y)$ , H(Y|Y) = 0, and H(X,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = 0H(Y|X) + H(X)

Expected Conditional Entropy  $H(Y|X) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p(x)}[H(Y|X)] = \sum_{x \in X} p(x)H(Y|X = x) = -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} p(x,y)\log_2 p(y|x) = -\mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)}\left[\log_2 p(Y|X)\right]$  Information Gain IG(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)

Bias Variance Decomposition Using the square error loss  $L(y,t) = \frac{1}{2}(y-t)^2$ , Bias ( $\uparrow \Longrightarrow$  underfitting): How close is our classifier to true target. Variance ( $\uparrow \Longrightarrow$  overfitting): How widely dispersed are out predictions as we generate new datasets

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-t\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-t\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}+\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-t\right)^{2}+2\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-t\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-t\right)^{2}\right]$$
Parising the second of the properties of t

Bagging with Generating Distribution Suppose we could sample m independent trianing sets  $\{\mathcal{D}_i\}_{i=1}^m$  from  $p_{dataset}$ . Learn  $h_i := h_{\mathcal{D}_i}$  and out final predictor is  $h = 1/m \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$ . Bias Unchanged:  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m} \overset{iid}{\sim} p_{dataset}$   $[h(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_i \sim p_{dataset}} [h_i(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_i \sim p_{dataset}} [h_i(\mathbf{x})]$  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D} \sim p_{\text{dataset}}} [h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})] \text{ Variance Reduced: } \operatorname{Var}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m} [h(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Var} [h_i(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var} [h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})]$ 

**Bootstrap Aggregation** Take a single dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  with n sample and generate m new datasets, each by sampling n training examples from  $\mathcal{D}$ , with replacement. We then the average the predictions. We have the reduction in variance to be  $\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}h_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right)=$  $\frac{1}{m}(1-\rho)\sigma^2 + \rho\sigma^2$ 

Random Forest Upon bootstrap aggregation, for each bag we choose a random set of features to make the trees grow on (decorrelates predictions, lower  $\rho$ ).

Bayes Optimality 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D},t|\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-t\right)^{2}\right] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-y_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-y_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bayes}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-y_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bayes}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-y_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Dayes}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]-y_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right]}_{\text{Dayes}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right]\right)\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}\left$$

**Feature Mapping** Some time we want fit a polynomial curve, we can do this using a feature map  $y = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \psi(x)$  where  $\psi(x) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \psi(x)$  $[1, x, x^2, \ldots]^{\top}$ . In general the feature map could be anything.

Ridge Regression  $\mathbf{w}_{\lambda}^{Ridge} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{J}_{reg}(\mathbf{w}) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{2}^{2} = \left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{t}$  When  $\lambda = 0$  this is just OLS.

**Gradient Descent** Consider the some cost function  $\mathcal{J}$  and we want to optimize it.

- GD:  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ ; GD  $\mathbf{w}/$  Reg  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} + \lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \right) = (1 \alpha \lambda) \mathbf{w} \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$  mSGD: Choose mini batch  $\mathcal{M} \subset \{1, ..., N\}$  and update  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \frac{\alpha}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{M}|} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$  Reasonable size would be  $|\mathcal{M}| \approx 100$
- SGD: Choose i at uniform;  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ ; Pro//Cons: Progress w/o seeing all data//High Variance & Not efficiently vectorized

Cross Entropy Loss  $\mathcal{L}_{CE} = -t \log y - (1-t) \log(1-y)$  Logistic CE  $\mathcal{L}_{LCE}(z,t) = \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(z),t) = t \log(1+e^{-z}) + (1-t) \log(1+e^{z})$ 

## **Multiclass Classification**

- Softmax Function Natural generalization of logistic func:  $y_k = \operatorname{softmax}(z_1, \dots, z_K)_k = \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{k'} e^{z_{k'}}}$ ; iuputs  $z_k$  are called logits.
- CE Loss, Vectorized  $\mathcal{L}_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} t_k \log y_k = -\mathbf{t}^{\top}(\log \mathbf{y})$  where the log is applied elementwise.
- Softmax Regression  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{z})$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{CE} = -\mathbf{t}^{\top}(\log \mathbf{y})$ ; GD Updates is  $\mathbf{w}_k \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_k \alpha \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(y_k^{(i)} t_k^{(i)}\right) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ where  $\mathbf{w}_k$  means the k-th row of **W**

Activation Functions Identity y = z ReLU  $y = \max(0, z)$  Soft ReLU  $y = \log(1 + e^z)$  Thresholding y = 1 if z > 0 else 0. Logistic  $y = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \tanh y = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}}$ 

## Multilayer Perceptron

- Modularity of Layers  $\mathbf{h}^{(1)} = f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)}), \ \mathbf{h}^{(2)} = f^{(2)}(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}), \dots, \ \mathbf{y} = f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}) = f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)})$  $f^{(L)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$
- Choice of Last Layer Activation Func Regression:  $\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)} \left( \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} \right) = \left( \mathbf{w}^{(L)} \right)^T \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} + b^{(L)}$ ; Binary Classification:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h}^{(L)} \left( \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} \right) = \mathbf{h}^{(L)} \left( \mathbf{h}^{(L)} \right) = \mathbf{$  $f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}) = \sigma((\mathbf{w}^{(L)})^T \mathbf{h}^{(L-1)} + b^{(L)})$
- Back Propagation Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  what I want to optimize, then for some variable  $\mathbf{w}$  that we want to optimize w.r.t.,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} =: \overline{\mathbf{w}}$
- Back Prop Cost Forward: one add-multiplicity operation per weight; Backward: two add-multiplicity operations per weight  $\Longrightarrow$ the Backward pass is about as expensive as two Forward passes. (cost is linear in # of layers, quadratic in # of units per layer)