

# Miscellaneous Notes on Regression

Based on SJS and KNN

© Tingfeng Xia

Fall 2019, modified on November 8, 2019

## Preface

Notes for STA302H1F fall offering, 2019 with Prof. Shivon Sue-Chee. These notes are based on the KNN and SJS text, in an aim for better understanding of the course material.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International” license.



## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Weighted Least Square Regression</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Motivation and Set-Up . . . . .	2
1.2	Deriving ML Estimators . . . . .	2

# 1 Weighted Least Square Regression

## 1.1 Motivation and Set-Up

Consider the straight line (simple) linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + e_i \quad \text{where } e_i \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{w_i})$$

For the weight  $w_i$ , we should note the following

- $w_i \rightarrow \infty \implies \text{Var}(e_i) \rightarrow 0$ . In this case, the estimates of the regression parameters  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  should be such that the fitted line at  $x_i$  should be very close to  $y_i$ . (Small variance means more strict in terms of deviation from the regression line, corresponding to a larger emphasis on the  $i$ -th data point.)
- If  $w_i$  is some small value, then the variance of the  $i$ -th data point would be quite large. In this case, we have a loose restriction of the deviation of the  $i$ -th data point from the regression line meaning that little emphasis is taken for this data point.
- $w_i \rightarrow 0 \implies \text{Var}(e_i) \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we have the variance tending to infinity. Meaning that there is absolutely no restriction/emphasis on the  $i$ -th data point and it could be simply removed from the set.

We define the cost function, WRSS as

$$\text{WRSS} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i - \hat{y}_{W_i})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_i)^2$$

and the estimators  $\mathbf{b} = [b_0, b_1]^T$  are derived using MLE.

**Intuition behind WRSS** This cost function may seem wierd at first glance, but it intuitively makes sense. Notice that when  $w_i$  is large, the  $i$ -th lost term  $w_i (y_i - \hat{y}_{W_i})^2$  is payed more emphasis on. On the contrary, when  $w_i \rightarrow 0$ , the term  $\rightarrow 0$ . (Indeed, when Variance of the term  $\rightarrow \infty$  we just neglect it.)

## 1.2 Deriving ML Estimators

**Derivatives**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \text{WRSS}}{\partial b_0} &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_i) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \text{WRSS}}{\partial b_1} &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_i) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

**Normal Equations**   Obtained from rearranging the above equations,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i = b_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i y_i = b_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2 \quad (3)$$