Discrete Mash Lecture 5

Logical Equivalence

two new worts

Contradiction: trush value is always F

tantology: truth rake is always T

must common examples: PATP

natural ex: "it is raining and it is not raining"

PV¬P

natural ex: "it is raining or it is not roiming"

Recall: two statements, P and Q, are

logically equivalent if P(=>Q is a tautology

when is PZ=>Q a tautology?

P	Q	P <=> Q
T	7	
٢	F	F
۴	Т	۴
F	F	T

be T, they
heed to have
the same
truth values

i.e. PIQ are logically equivalent when wheir trush rubbes are identical!

ex)
$$P$$
, $\neg(\neg(\neg P))$

$$\neg(\neg(\neg P)) = \neg P$$

$$\log \cdot eq.iv.$$

P	7P	つしつタン	つしつ りい
T	F	1	F
۴	+	F	T
		1	

Example 5.2. Several abstract statements are provided below, and each one is labelled as a contradiction, a tautology or as neither. Make sure you understand why each label is accurate! (Using truth tables should help.)

$$(18) (P \lor Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q) \ tautology$$

(18)
$$(P \lor Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q) \ tautology$$
 (19)
$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \land (P \land \neg Q) \ contradiction$$

$$(20) \qquad (P \land Q \land R) \lor (\neg R \Rightarrow (P \lor Q)) \text{ neither}$$

$$(21) \qquad \left(P \iff Q\right) \Rightarrow \left(\left(R \lor P\right) \iff \left(R \lor Q\right)\right) \ tautology$$

$$(22) (P \wedge Q) \wedge \neg P contradiction$$

$$(23) \qquad \qquad \neg (\neg P) \iff P \ tautology$$

Р	Ö	Pv Q	77 ~ 70	(PV R) V (7P17Q)
T	T	٢	۴	T
T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	٦	T	I T

P	77	フ(フP)	コイフトンとかり
T	ド	7	T
F	T	F	T

Example 5.4. The statements $\neg P \lor Q$ and $\neg (P \land \neg Q)$ are logically equivalent. Check that this is, indeed, the case by completing their truth tables. (We have included some additional columns in these tables to help you sort out the truth values for these somewhat-complicated statements.)

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \lor Q$
T	T	A	T
T	F	E.	H
F	F	T	T
\overline{F}	F	T	T

P	Q	$\neg Q$	$P \wedge \neg Q$	$\neg (P \land \neg Q)$
T	T	\overline{F}	F	T
T	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	۲
\overline{F}	F	T	F	T

-> -PVQ = - (P, -1Q)

Example 5.5

Is
$$P \vee Q = ((P \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge \neg R)) \vee ((Q \wedge R) \vee (Q \wedge \neg R))$$
 7.

first problem these have diff. sized truth tables!

P	Q	$P \lor Q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
\overline{F}	T	T
\overline{F}	F	F

P	Q	R	$((P \land R) \lor (P \land \neg R)) \lor ((Q \land R) \lor (Q \land \neg R))$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	\overline{F}

this is easily fixed by adding rows to "the smaller" tuble

P	Q	R	$P \vee Q$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
\overline{F}	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F

this can be composed!

P	0	R	$P \vee O$	$((P \land R) \lor (P \land \neg R)) \lor ((Q \land R) \lor (Q \land \neg R))$
T	T	T	T	((1 //10) v (1 // 10)) v ((@ // 10) v (@ // 10))
T	T	1	T	T.
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	\overline{F}	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F

Important fact. all contradictions one logically equivalent. $F = P \wedge \neg P$

all tautologies are logically equivalent!

Note there is a kind of "algebra" used in establishing logical equivalences.

$$ex$$
 $\neg(\neg(\neg P)) = \neg P$

$$\neg(\neg(\neg P)) = \neg(P) = \neg P$$

Famous ex. of some "algebra-like" laws are
De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg (P \vee Q) = \neg P \wedge \neg Q$$

a trush table shows these are accurate!

"it's not the case that Pis T or Q is T"