

# PRINTABLE VERSION

## Quiz 5

You scored 100 out of 100

### Question 1

Your answer is CORRECT.

An outline for a proof of an implication  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is provided below:

**Proposition.**  $P \Rightarrow Q$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\neg Q$ .

*Missing steps involving  $\neg Q$  and  $\neg P$  and any previously established facts*

Therefore  $\neg P$ .  $\square$

What type of proof was described in the outline?

- a) ☒ A proof by contrapositive is described in this outline.
- b) ☐ Wait a minute... The proof described in this outline isn't a valid proof technique!
- c) ☐ A direct proof is described in this outline.
- d) ☐ A proof by contradiction is described in this outline.
- e) ☐ A proof by introspection is described in this outline.

### Question 2

Your answer is CORRECT.

Suppose a mathematician wants to prove a statement of the form  $P \wedge Q$ . However, they wish to do so using a proof by contradiction. Of the following options which could be used as a first step in this proof?

- a) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P \wedge Q$ .
- b) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P$ .
- c) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$ .
- d) ☐ Suppose  $\neg Q$ .
- e) ☒ Suppose  $\neg P \vee \neg Q$ .

### Question 3

Your answer is CORRECT.

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$  one can prove  $A \subseteq B$  by...

- a) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .
- b) ☒ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .
- c) ☐ First supposing  $x \in B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .
- d) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .
- e) ☐ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .

### Question 4

Your answer is CORRECT.

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$  one can prove  $B \subseteq A$  by...

- a) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .
- b) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .
- c) ☒ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .
- d) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \notin A$ .
- e) ☐ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .

#### Question 5

Your answer is CORRECT.

A lovely little proof is presented below:

**Proposition.** If  $x^2$  is an even integer, then  $x$  is even.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x^2$  is even.

A previous result tells us that if the product of two integers is even, then at least one of the factors is even.

When applied to  $x^2 = x \cdot x$ , this implies that  $x$  is even.  $\square$

Determine the type of proof used.

- a) ☐ A proof by contradiction was used.
- b) ☐ Wait a minute... This so-called "proof" did not actually show the Proposition was true. Whoever wrote this deserves 0 points.
- c) ☐ A proof by indoctrination was used.
- d) ☒ A direct proof was used.
- e) ☐ A proof by contrapositive was used.

#### Question 6

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

**Proposition.** If  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$  then  $A \times B \subseteq X \times Y$ .

**Proof (Direct).**

(1) Suppose  $A \subseteq X \wedge B \subseteq Y$ .

(2) In order to show  $A \times B \subseteq X \times Y$  we will let  $(a, b) \in A \times B$  and then conclude  $(a, b) \in X \times Y$ .

(3)  $(a, b) \in A \times B$  means  $a \in A \wedge b \in B$ .

(4) Since  $a \in A \subseteq X$  it follows that  $a \in X$ .

(5) Since  $b \in B \subseteq Y$  it follows that  $b \in Y$ .

(6) By Definition of Cartesian Product  $(a, b) \in X \times Y$ .  $\square$

Identify the mistake, if any, in this proof.

- a) ☐ There is an error in Line (4) where the definition of subset is misused.
- b) ☒ Hey, wait a second... this proof looks completely correct!

- c) ☐ There is an error in Line (6) where the definition of Cartesian Product is misused.
- d) ☐ There is an error in Line (3). The symbol  $\wedge$  should be  $\vee$ .
- e) ☐ There is an error in Line (2). One is not allowed to just "let"  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ .

#### Question 7

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

**Proposition.** The set  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^3 - x = 0\}$  has cardinality  $|S| = 3$ .

Proof. (Direct)

- (1) We can rewrite the equation  $x^3 - x = 0$  as  $x(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$ .
- (2) Because  $x$  is an integer, and because  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $x$  is a real number, too.
- (3) The equation  $x(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$  only has solutions  $x = 0$ ,  $x = 1$ , and  $x = -1$ .
- (4) The solutions  $x = 1$  and  $x = -1$  can be added to produce the other solution  $x = 0$ .
- (5) It follows that  $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .
- (6) Therefore  $|S| = 3$ .  $\square$

One or more lines in this proof are not needed -- the proof works perfectly well without them (in fact, it works better without them). Which lines are not needed?

- a) ☐ Only line (4) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- b) ☐ All lines are needed.
- c) ☐ Only line (1) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- d) ☒ Only lines (2) and (4) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- e) ☐ Only line (2) is not needed. All other lines are needed.

#### Question 8

Your answer is CORRECT.

Suppose we want to write a proof by contradiction of the proposition below:

$$\forall a, b, c \in [0, \infty), (ab = c) \Rightarrow (a \leq \sqrt{c} \vee b \leq \sqrt{c}).$$

Which of the following statements or properties do we need to use when composing this proof?

- a) ☐ Suppose  $ab = c$  and that either  $a > \sqrt{c}$  or  $b > \sqrt{c}$ .
- b) ☒ Suppose  $ab = c$  and that both  $a > \sqrt{c}$  and  $b > \sqrt{c}$ .
- c) ☐ The fact that for real numbers  $x, y$ , if  $x > y$  then  $\sqrt{xy} = \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$ .
- d) ☐ The fact that for real numbers  $x, y$ , if  $x > y$  then  $\sqrt{xy} = \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$ .

#### Question 9

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition is stated below. Take a few moments to carefully read it, and make sure you understand what, exactly, it claims to be true.

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Of the following options, which one best describes what needs to be done in order to prove this claim?

- a) ☐ We need to show the claim is true for an arbitrary natural, saying something like "Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ."

- b)** ☒ We need only check that the claim is true for one, single natural number.
- c)** ☐ The proposition is a famous, unsolved problem. No one knows if it is true or false, and so it is not clear how to describe a proof for this.