# PRINTABLE VERSION

Quiz 5

## You scored 88.89 out of 100

Question 1		
Your answer is CORRECT.		
An outline for a proof of an implication $P \Rightarrow Q$ is provided below:		
Proposition	on. $P\Rightarrow Q$	
Droof Su	$\text{ppose } P \Rightarrow Q \text{ is false}.$	
	prose $P\Rightarrow Q$ is false. as $\neg(P\Rightarrow Q)=P\wedge\neg Q$ is true.	
	teps involving $P$ , $\neg Q$ , and any previously established facts	
	0=1 (or some similarly weird conclusion) $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ .	
What type of proof was described in the outline?		
a) Wait a minute The proof described in this outline isn't a valid proof technique!		
b) A proof by contrapositive is described in this outline.		
c) A direct proof is described in this outline.		
d) A proof by introspection is described in this outline.		
e)   A proof by contradiction is described in this outline.		
Question 2		
Your answer is CORRECT.		
Suppose a mathematician wants to prove a statement of the form $P \land Q$ . However, they wish to do so using a proof by contradiction. Of the following options which could be used as a first step in this proof?		
a) ○ Suppose ¬Q		
b) $©$ Suppose $\neg P \lor \neg Q$ .		
c) $\bigcirc$ Suppose $\neg P \land \neg Q$ .		
d) $\bigcirc$ Suppose $\neg P$ .		
e) $\bigcirc$ Suppose $\neg P \land Q$ .		
Question 3		
Your answer is CORRECT.		
Given two sets $A$ and $B$ one can prove $B \subseteq A$ by		
a) $\bigcirc$ First supposing $x \in A$ , and then showing $x \in A$	x ∉ B.	
<b>b)</b> $\bigcirc$ First supposing $x \notin B$ , and then showing $x \in A$ .		
c) $\bigcirc$ First supposing $x \in A$ , and then showing $x \in B$ .		
d) $\bigcirc$ First supposing $x \notin A$ , and then showing $x \in B$ .		
e) $\odot$ First supposing $x \in B$ , and then showing $x \in A$ .		
Question 4		
Your answer is CORRECT.		
Given two sets $A$ and $B$ one can prove $B \subseteq A$	oy	

a)  $\bigcirc$  First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ . **b)**  $\bigcirc$  First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ . c)  $\bigcirc$  First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ . **d)**  $\bigcirc$  First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \notin A$ . e)  $\bigcirc$  First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ . **Question 5** Your answer is CORRECT. A lovely little proof is presented below: Proposition. If the product of two integers is even, then at least one of the integers is even. Proof. Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and neither x nor y is even. (We will show that xy is not even.) This means x and y are both odd so that x = 2n + 1 and y = 2m + 1 for integers n, m. It follows that xy = (2n+1)(2m+1) = 4nm+2n+2m+1 = 2(2nm+n+m)+1 which is odd since (2nm+n+m)Therefore xy is not even. Determine the type of proof used. a) A proof by indoctrination was used. b) Wait a minute... This so-called "proof" did not actually show the Proposition was true. Whoever wrote this deserves 0 points. c) A direct proof was used. d) A proof by contrapositive was used.

#### Question 6

#### Your answer is CORRECT.

e) A proof by contradiction was used.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

Proposition. There do not exist integers a and b that satisfy 27a + 9b = 1.

#### Proof. (By Contradiction)

- (1) The proposition can be rewritten as  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 27a + 9b \neq 1$ .
- (2) For the sake of a contradiction we will assume that the negation of this proposition is trure; that is, we will assume  $\forall a$
- (3) Dividing the equation above by 3 produces the equation  $9a + 3b = \frac{1}{3}$ .
- (4) Since  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $9a + 3b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (5) However,  $9a + 3b = \frac{1}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (6) Therefore 9a + 3b is an integer, and 9a + 3b is not an integer.  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Identify the mistake, if any, in this proof.

- a) There is an algebraic mistake in Line (3).
- **b)** There is a mistake in Line (2) since the negation of the proposition should use the quantifier  $\exists$ , not  $\forall$ .
- c) There is a mistake in Line (4) since Z is not closed under addition.
- d) There is a mistake in Line (1); this is not a correct way to rewrite the proposition.
- e)  $\bigcirc$  There is a mistake in Line (5) since  $1/3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Question 7

#### Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

Proposition. If  $A \cup B = B$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ .

### Proof. (Direct)

- (1) Suppose  $A \cup B = B$ . To prove  $A \subseteq B$  we also let  $x \in A$  and will end the proof by showing  $x \in B$ .
- (2) Because B is a set  $\emptyset \subseteq B$ .
- (3) Since  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $x \in A$  it follows that  $x \in A \cup B$ .
- (4) Since, by assumption  $A \cup B = B$  it also follows that  $x \in B$ .
- (5) Because  $A \cup B = B$  a Venn diagram shows that  $A \subseteq B$ .
- (6) If  $x \notin B$ , then there would be a contradiction.  $\square$

One or more lines in this proof are not needed -- the proof works perfectly well without them (in fact, it works better without them). Which lines are not needed?

- a) Only lines (2) and (5) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- b) Only; ines (1) and (5) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- c) Only lines (1) and (2) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- d) All lines are needed.
- e) Only lines (3) and (4) are not needed. All other lines are needed.

#### **Question 8**

#### Your answer is INCORRECT.

Suppose we want to write a direct proof of the proposition below:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x^3 - x \text{ is a multiple of 3.}$$

Which of the following statements or properties do we need to use when composing this proof?

- a)  $\odot$  The fact that if x is a multiple of 3 then 7x is a multiple of 21.
- **b)** Therefore  $x^3 x = 3m$
- c) The definition of prime.
- d)  $\bigcirc$  The fact that if x is a multiple of 3 then 5x is a multiple of 35.

#### **Question 9**

#### Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition is stated below. Take a few moments to carefully read it, and make sure you understand what, exactly, it claims to be true.

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, 1+2+\dots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Of the following options, which one best describes what needs to be done in order to prove this claim?

- a)  $\bigcirc$  We need to show the claim is true for an abitrary natural, saying something like "Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ."
- b) We need only check that the claim is true for one, single natural number.
- c) The proposition is a famous, unsolved problem. No one knows if it is true or false, and so it is not clear how to describe a proof for this.