

# PRINTABLE VERSION

## Quiz 5

You scored 88.89 out of 100

### Question 1

Your answer is CORRECT.

An outline for a proof of an implication  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is provided below:

**Proposition.**  $P \Rightarrow Q$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\neg P$ .

*Missing steps involving  $\neg P$  and  $\neg Q$  and any previously established facts*

Therefore  $\neg Q$ .  $\square$

What type of proof was described in the outline?

- a) ☐ A proof by contradiction is described in this outline.
- b) ☐ A direct proof is described in this outline.
- c) ☐ A proof by contrapositive is described in this outline.
- d) ☐ A proof by introspection is described in this outline.
- e) ☒ Wait a minute... The proof described in this outline isn't a valid proof technique!

### Question 2

Your answer is CORRECT.

Suppose a mathematician wants to prove a statement of the form  $P \vee Q$ . However, they wish to do so using a proof by contradiction. Of the following options which could be used as a first step in this proof?

- a) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P \vee \neg Q$ .
- b) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P$ .
- c) ☐ Suppose  $\neg P \wedge Q$ .
- d) ☐ Suppose  $\neg Q$ .
- e) ☒ Suppose  $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$ .

### Question 3

Your answer is CORRECT.

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$  one can prove  $B \subseteq A$  by...

- a) ☐ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .
- b) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .
- c) ☐ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .
- d) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .

- e) ☒ First supposing  $x \in B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .

#### Question 4

Your answer is CORRECT.

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$  one can prove  $B \subseteq A$  by...

- a) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \in B$ .
- b) ☒ First supposing  $x \notin A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .
- c) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \in A$ .
- d) ☐ First supposing  $x \notin B$ , and then showing  $x \notin A$ .
- e) ☐ First supposing  $x \in A$ , and then showing  $x \notin B$ .

#### Question 5

Your answer is CORRECT.

A lovely little proof is presented below:

**Proposition.** If  $2 + x$  is odd, then  $x$  is odd.

**Proof.** Suppose  $2 + x$  is even. (We will show  $x$  is even.)

By definition of even this means  $2 + x = 2m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By subtracting 2 from both sides it follows that  $x = 2m - 2 = 2(m - 1)$ .

Because this expression is even the proof is complete.  $\square$

Determine the type of proof used.

- a) ☐ A proof by indoctrination was used.
- b) ☐ A proof by contradiction was used.
- c) ☐ A direct proof was used.
- d) ☐ A proof by contrapositive was used.
- e) ☒ Wait a minute... This so-called "proof" did not actually show the Proposition was true. Whoever wrote this deserves 0 points.

#### Question 6

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

**Proposition.** The sum of an odd integer and an even integer is odd.

**Proof. (Direct)**

- (1) Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  are integers.
- (2) We can assume  $x$  is odd and that  $y$  is even.
- (3) Since  $x$  is odd, it follows that  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}, x = 2y + 1$ .
- (4) Since  $y$  is even, it follows that  $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, y = 2m$ .
- (5) We now have  $x + y = (2y + 1) + y = 3y + 1 = 3(2m) + 1 = 2(3m) + 1$ .
- (6) Because  $x + y$  has the form of an odd number it is odd.  $\square$

Identify the mistake, if any, in this proof.

- a) ☒ There is an error in Line (3) where the variable name "y" is used when this name already refers to a different variable.
- b) ☐ There is an error in Line (4) since where the definition of "even" is misapplied.
- c) ☐ There is an error in Line (1) since we cannot simply assume  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- d) ☐ There is an algebraic mistake in Line (5).
- e) ☐ There is an error in Line (2) since we do not know which integer is odd or even.

#### Question 7

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

**Proposition.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n(n+1)$  is even.

**Proof. (Direct)**

(1) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will use cases to prove the proposition.

Case 1:  $n$  is even.

(2) In this case we have  $n = 2m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(3) Because  $n$  is even, it follows that when  $n$  is divided by 2, there is no remainder.

(4)  $n$  being even implies  $n+1$  is odd.

(5) It now follows that  $n(n+1) = (2m)(2m+1) = 2 \cdot (m(2m+1))$  which has the form of an even number.

(6) Therefore  $n(n+1)$  is even, proving the proposition in this case.

Case 2:  $n$  is odd.

(7) In this case we have  $n = 2\ell + 1$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(8) If  $n$  is not odd then it is even and Case 1 applies.

(9) It follows that  $n(n+1) = (2\ell+1)(2\ell+1+1) = (2\ell+1)(2\ell+2) = 2(2\ell+1)(\ell+1)$

(10) Because the expression above has the form of an even number,  $n(n+1)$  is even.

(11) If  $n(n+1)$  is odd, then there is a contradiction.

(12) This completes the proof.  $\square$

One or more lines in this proof are not needed -- the proof works perfectly well without them (in fact, it works better without them). Which lines are not needed?

- a) ☐ Only lines (3) and (11) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- b) ☐ Only lines (4) and (11) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- c) ☐ Only line (8) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- d) ☒ Only lines (3),(4),(8), and (11) are not needed. All other lines are needed.
- e) ☐ All lines are needed.

#### Question 8

Your answer is CORRECT.

Suppose we want to write a direct proof of the proposition below:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, (a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2).$$

Which of the following statements or properties do we need to use when composing this proof?

- a) ☒  $2a^2 + 2b^2 - (a + b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2 = (a - b)^2 \geq 0.$
- b) ☐  $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$
- c) ☐ Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}.$
- d) ☐  $a \cdot (a^2 + b^2) = a^3 + ab^2.$

#### Question 9

Your answer is **INCORRECT**.

A proposition is stated below. Take a few moments to carefully read it, and make sure you understand what, exactly, it claims to be true.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}.$$

Of the following options, which one best describes what needs to be done in order to prove this claim?

- a) ☒ We need only check that the claim is true for one, single natural number.
- b) ☐ Nothing can describe an accurate proof strategy since this proposition is false.
- c) ☐ We need to show the claim is true for an arbitrary natural, saying something like "Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}.$  "