

Discrete Math

Video 31

The Fundamental

Theorem of Arithmetic

&

Euclid's Lemma

Recall: a "lemma" is a theorem
that is used to prove
another, bigger theorem

Euclid's Lemma $\forall a, b, p \in \mathbb{Z}$

p is prime & $p \mid (ab) \Rightarrow p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$

ex] $p=5$ $35 = 5 \cdot 7$

$5 \mid 35$ ✓ EL \Rightarrow $(5 \mid 5)$ or $5 \mid 7$
✓

ex) $7 \mid 252$

E's L $\Rightarrow 7 \mid 14$ or $7 \mid 18$
✓

$252 = 14 \cdot 18$

non-ex) ^{composite}
non prime $p = 6$

$6 \mid 24$

$24 = 3 \cdot 8$

✓

$6 \nmid 3$ and $6 \nmid 8$

in fact, Euclid's Lemma has a true converse

Converse of E's Lemma $\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}$

if $\mid \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, p \mid (ab) \Rightarrow p \mid a \vee p \mid b$

then p is prime.

note one can use Bezout's Identity to write
a slick proof of Euclid's Lemma!

(see assigned reading)

The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Every integer greater than one can be
expressed as a (unique*) product of
primes.

$$\text{ex)} \quad \underline{24} = 6 \cdot 4 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 2^3 \cdot 3 =$$

*you can re-order
the primes

this lets us think of numbers as being
"built" by primes

primes = elements in periodic table of numbers

catch : finding a big number's prime factor is VERY difficult

light comments on a proof of TFTA

- Euclid's Lemma is useful for showing uniqueness
- another lemma is useful for setting up the existence of such primes
(see assigned reading)