

PRINTABLE VERSION

Quiz 5

You scored 44.44 out of 100

Question 1

Your answer is CORRECT.

An outline for a proof of an implication $P \Rightarrow Q$ is provided below:

Proposition. $P \Rightarrow Q$

Proof. Suppose P .

Missing steps involving P and Q and any previously established facts

Therefore Q . \square

What type of proof was described in the outline?

- a) ☐ A proof by introspection is described in this outline.
- b) ☐ Wait a minute... The proof described in this outline isn't a valid proof technique!
- c) ☐ A proof by contrapositive is described in this outline.
- d) ☒ A direct proof is described in this outline.
- e) ☐ A proof by contradiction is described in this outline.

Question 2

Your answer is INCORRECT.

Suppose a mathematician wants to prove a statement of the form P . However, they wish to do so using a proof by contradiction. Of the following options which could be used as a first step in this proof?

- a) ☐ Suppose $\neg P \vee \neg Q$.
- b) ☒ Suppose $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$.
- c) ☐ Suppose $\neg Q$.
- d) ☐ Suppose $\neg P$.
- e) ☐ Suppose $\neg P \wedge Q$.

Question 3

Your answer is INCORRECT.

Given two sets A and B one can prove $B \subseteq A$ by...

- a) ☐ First supposing $x \in A$, and then showing $x \in B$.
- b) ☐ First supposing $x \in B$, and then showing $x \in A$.
- c) ☒ First supposing $x \notin A$, and then showing $x \in B$.
- d) ☐ First supposing $x \in A$, and then showing $x \notin B$.

- e) ☐ First supposing $x \notin B$, and then showing $x \in A$.

Question 4

Your answer is CORRECT.

Given two sets A and B one can prove $A \subseteq B$ by...

- a) ☐ First supposing $x \notin A$, and then showing $x \notin B$.
- b) ☐ First supposing $x \notin A$, and then showing $x \in B$.
- c) ☐ First supposing $x \in A$, and then showing $x \notin B$.
- d) ☒ First supposing $x \notin B$, and then showing $x \notin A$.
- e) ☐ First supposing $x \notin B$, and then showing $x \in A$.

Question 5

Your answer is INCORRECT.

A lovely little proof is presented below:

Proposition. If $2 + x$ is odd, then x is odd.

Proof. Suppose $2 + x$ is even. (We will show x is even.)

By definition of even this means $2 + x = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By subtracting 2 from both sides it follows that $x = 2m - 2 = 2(m - 1)$.

Because this expression is even the proof is complete. \square

Determine the type of proof used.

- a) ☐ A proof by indoctrination was used.
- b) ☐ A proof by contradiction was used.
- c) ☐ A direct proof was used.
- d) ☒ A proof by contrapositive was used.
- e) ☐ Wait a minute... This so-called "proof" did not actually show the Proposition was true. Whoever wrote this deserves 0 points.

Question 6

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

Proposition. The sum of an odd integer and an even integer is odd.

Proof. (Direct)

(1) Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers.

(2) We can assume x is odd and that y is even.

(3) Since x is odd, it follows that $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}, x = 2y + 1$.

(4) Since y is even, it follows that $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, y = 2m$.

(5) We now have $x + y = (2y + 1) + y = 3y + 1 = 3(2m) + 1 = 2(3m) + 1$.

(6) Because $x + y$ has the form of an odd number it is odd. \square

Identify the mistake, if any, in this proof.

- a) ☐ There is an error in Line (4) since where the definition of "even" is misapplied.
- b) ☒ There is an error in Line (3) where the variable name "y" is used when this name already refers to a different variable.
- c) ☐ There is an error in Line (2) since we do not know which integer is odd or even.
- d) ☐ There is an error in Line (1) since we cannot simply assume $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- e) ☐ There is an algebraic mistake in Line (5).

Question 7

Your answer is **INCORRECT**.

A proposition and an attempt at its proof are presented below.

Proposition. x is a multiple of 3 $\iff (x+1)(x+2)-2$ is also a multiple of 3.

Proof. There are two parts or cases to prove.

(\Rightarrow Direct)

(1) Suppose $x = 3m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) Since 3 is prime this means x is a multiple of a prime.

(3) It follows that $(x+1)(x+2)-2 = (x^2+3x+2)-2 = x^2+3x = (3m)^2+3 \cdot 3m = 9m^2+9m = 3(3m^2+3m)$, which is a multiple of 3.

(\Leftarrow By Contradiction)

(4) For a contradiction suppose $(x+1)(x+2)-2$ is multiple of 3, but that x is not a multiple of 3.

(5) Multiplying out this expression and combining like terms tells us $(x+1)(x+2)-2 = x^2+3x = 3b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(6) From this equation we find $x^2 = 3b - 3x = 3(b-x)$ and so x^2 is a multiple of 3.

(7) Since x is not a multiple of 3, it follows that x^2 is not a multiple of 3.

(8) Therefore x^2 is a multiple of 3 and x^2 is not a multiple of 3. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

One or more lines in this proof are not needed -- the proof works perfectly well without them (in fact, it works better without them). Which lines are not needed?

- a) ☐ Only line (4) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- b) ☐ Only line (2) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- c) ☐ Only line (5) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- d) ☒ Only line (3) is not needed. All other lines are needed.
- e) ☐ All lines are needed.

Question 8

Your answer is **INCORRECT**.

Suppose we want to write a proof by contradiction of the proposition below:

$$\forall a, b, c \in [0, \infty), (ab = c) \Rightarrow (a \leq \sqrt{c} \vee b \leq \sqrt{c}).$$

Which of the following statements or properties do we need to use when composing this proof?

- a) ☒ The fact that for real numbers x, y , if $x > y$ then $\sqrt{xy} = \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$.
- b) ☐ The fact that for real numbers x, y $\sqrt{x} < \sqrt{y}$.
- c) ☐ Suppose $ab = c$ and that both $a < \sqrt{c}$ and $b < \sqrt{c}$.
- d) ☐ Suppose $ab = c$ and that both $a > \sqrt{c}$ and $b > \sqrt{c}$.

Question 9

Your answer is CORRECT.

A proposition is stated below. Take a few moments to carefully read it, and make sure you understand what, exactly, it claims to be true.

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Of the following options, which one best describes what needs to be done in order to prove this claim?

- a) ☐ We need to show the claim is true for an arbitrary natural, saying something like "Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. "
- b) ☒ We need only check that the claim is true for one, single natural number.
- c) ☐ The proposition is a famous, unsolved problem. No one knows if it is true or false, and so it is not clear how to describe a proof for this.