

3336

OH 4

3:05 pm



Unmute to ask questions!

8. Rewrite the following sentence using logical expressions.

If $\sin x \leq 0$, then it is not the case that $0 \leq x \leq \pi$.

read this as having hidden quantifiers

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \sin x \leq 0 \Rightarrow \neg (0 \leq x \leq \pi)$$

Similar ex

If $x > 0$ then $2x > 0$.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0 \Rightarrow 2x > 0$$

ex] if you are a dog, then you are not a cat.

$$D = \{\text{all dogs}\}, \quad C = \{\text{all cats}\}$$

$$U = \{\text{all animals}\}$$

$$\forall x \in U, x \in D \Rightarrow x \notin C$$

ex] Differentiability implies continuity

$$f \text{ being diff'able} \Rightarrow f \text{ is continuous}$$

$$\forall x \quad \exists x \quad \exists ! x$$

$$\exists x, P(x)$$

Consider the following statement:

$$\exists x, \forall y, xy = 0.$$

From the options provided below, which universal set U makes this statement true?

~~a)~~ ☐ $U = \{5\}$ ← $x=5$
 $y=5$
 $5 \cdot 5 \neq 0$

~~b)~~ ☐ $U = \{-1, 3\}$

☒ c) $U = \{1/2, 1, 2\}$

☒ d) $U = \{-9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9\}$

e) ☐ $U = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$

"such that"

$x = -1$
 $y = -1$ false
 $y = 3$ false

$x = 3$
 $y = -1$ false
 $y = 3$ false

$$\exists x, \forall y, x \cdot y = 0$$

there is an element x that
when multiplied against anything $= 0$

OH!! $x = 0$

Negating nested quantified statement

$$\neg (\forall x, \exists y, P(x, y))$$

$$\exists x, \neg (\exists y, P(x, y))$$

$$\exists x, \forall y, \boxed{\neg P(x, y)}$$

$$P(x, y) : x \cdot y > 0$$

$$\neg P(x, y) : x \cdot y \leq 0$$

3. A new logical operator, \blacksquare , is partially defined by the following truth table information:

P	Q	$P \blacksquare Q$	$\neg(P \blacksquare Q) \wedge P$	$\neg(P \blacksquare Q) \vee Q$
T	T	T		
T	F	\textcircled{T}	F	
F	T	F		
F	F			T

Complete this truth table (no work need be included with this question).

$\neg(P \blacksquare Q) \wedge P$ is False

\wedge is False when at least one 'part' is F

P is T so $\neg(P \blacksquare Q)$ is F

$P \blacksquare Q$ is \textcircled{T}

$\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$ = $P \oplus Q$

it is not true that both must have same truth value that

truth values must be different

For instance, if \mathbf{T} is a tautology, \mathbf{F} is a contradiction, and P, Q and R are abstract statements, then the following "equations" hold:

$$(24) \quad P \vee \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}$$

$$(25) \quad P \wedge \mathbf{T} = P$$

$$(26) \quad P \wedge \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}$$

$$(27) \quad \neg(\neg P \wedge Q) = P \vee \neg Q$$

$$(28) \quad \neg(\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q) = P \wedge Q$$

$$(29) \quad P \wedge (Q \vee R) = (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

De Morgans Laws

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$\neg(P \vee Q) = \neg P \wedge \neg Q$$

Quantified statements from Linear Algebra

Definition of "vector space"

$$1) \exists ! \vec{0} \in V, \quad \vec{0} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{0}$$

there is (only one) "zero vector"

$$2) \forall \vec{v} \in V, \exists -\vec{v}, \quad \vec{v} + -\vec{v} = \vec{0}$$

$$\exists ! I_2, \quad \forall M_2, \quad I_2 \cdot M_2 = M_2$$

i.e. there is a unique identity matrix

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

examples from before college

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x^2 - x = 0$$

"Solve $x^2 - x = 0$ "

