



Structure of a Data Science Research Paper

Md. Alamgir Hossain

MSc in ICT, IICT, BUET, BSc in CSE, JUST

Research Instructor, Skill Morph

Lecturer, Dept. of CSE, State University of Bangladesh

Research Assistant, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University,
Saudi Arabia

Ex-Lecturer, Dept. of CSE, Prime University

Ex-Research Fellow, ICT Division, People's Republic of
Bangladesh

Ex-Research Assistant, IPLab, NAIST, Japan





Outline of a Research Paper

- ✓ Title
- ✓ Abstract
- ✓ Keywords
- ✓ 1. Introduction
- ✓ 2. Related Work / Literature Review
- ✓ 3. Materials and Methods / Methodology
- ✓ 4. Results and Discussion
- ✓ 5. Conclusion and Future Work
- ✓ References
- ✓ Appendix (if needed)



Title

✓ Clear, Specific, and Reflective of the Core Contribution

*** (Include keywords such as “A Novel...”, “An Efficient...”, “Explainable...”, “Federated...”, etc. as appropriate)*



Abstract (200–250 words)

- ✓ 1–2 sentences: **Problem and Motivation**
- ✓ 1–2 sentences: **Methodology**
- ✓ 1–2 sentences: **Results and Key Findings**
- ✓ 1 sentence: **Conclusion and Significance**

*** (Ensure technical clarity and avoid vague claims)*



Keywords (4–6)

- ✓ Include ***method-specific*** (e.g., “Federated Learning”), ***domain-specific*** (e.g., “Medical Diagnosis”), and ***innovation-specific*** (e.g., “Explainability”, “Adversarial Robustness”) terms.



1. Introduction

✓ 1.1 Background and Problem Definition

- ✓ Present the broader context of the field.
- ✓ Define the ***specific problem*** your research addresses.
- ✓ Clarify its technical or practical boundaries.

✓ 1.2 Motivation and Significance

- ✓ Explain ***why solving this problem is important***.
- ✓ Discuss its ***real-world applications*** or societal/industrial impact.
- ✓ Highlight what is at stake if the problem remains unsolved.

✓ 1.3 Challenges and Limitations of Existing Approaches

- ✓ Describe the ***technical or conceptual difficulties*** involved in solving the problem.
- ✓ Review ***existing methods/solutions*** briefly.
- ✓ Explain ***why they fall short*** or where they lack performance or generalizability.



1. Introduction

✓ 1.4 **Our Contribution and Innovation**

- ✓ Clearly state ***what your research proposes*** that is new or better.
- ✓ Present your main contributions as bullet points.

✓ A table for presenting ***notations and abbreviations*** used in the paper.

✓ 1.5 **Paper Organization**

- ✓ Conclude with a short paragraph describing the ***structure of the rest of the paper.***



2. Related Work / Literature Review

******(Recent and relevant to **problem domain** + **methods used**).

✓ Organized either **chronologically**, **thematically**, or by **methodology**.

✓ For each paper reviewed:

- ✓ Objective
- ✓ Methodology/Model
- ✓ Datasets
- ✓ Key Results
- ✓ **Limitations / Research Gaps**

******(Use Scopus, Web of Science, SCI/SCIE indexed papers (preferably Q1/Q2). Summarize in a comparative table if relevant).



3. Materials and Methods / Methodology

✓ 3.1 **Overview of Proposed Framework**

- ✓ System Diagram / Pipeline Figure
- ✓ High-level Explanation

✓ 3.2 **Dataset and Data Processing**

- ✓ Source, Size, and Description
- ✓ Preprocessing Steps (missing values, scaling, balancing)
- ✓ Feature Engineering or Feature Selection



3. Materials and Methods / Methodology

✓ 3.3 Proposed Model / Algorithm

- ✓ Model Architecture (with diagram if DL, transformer, etc.)
- ✓ Mathematical Formulation or Notation
- ✓ Algorithm Steps (pseudo-code or boxed algorithm)

✓ 3.4 Training Strategy

- ✓ Hyperparameter tuning (Grid, Random, BO)
- ✓ Loss Functions, Optimizers
- ✓ Early stopping, regularization, dropout
- ✓ Cross-validation method (K-fold, LOOCV, etc.)
- ✓ Computational Resources (e.g., Tesla V100, Colab, GPU specs)



4. Results and Discussion

✓ 4.1 **Experimental Setup**

- ✓ Hardware, Software, Environment
- ✓ Evaluation Protocol

✓ 4.2 **Performance Metrics**

- ✓ Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-score
- ✓ ROC-AUC, PR-AUC
- ✓ Cohen's Kappa, MCC, FPR, etc.
- ✓ Training/Test Time, Model Size, Memory Usage



4. Results and Discussion

✓ 4.3 Visualizations

- ✓ Confusion Matrix
- ✓ ROC & PR Curves
- ✓ Training-Validation Curves
- ✓ t-SNE / PCA Visualizations (if interpretability relevant)

✓ 4.4 Statistical Significance Testing

- ✓ e.g., t-test, Wilcoxon, ANOVA for metric comparisons



4. Results and Discussion

✓ 4.5 **Comparison with Baselines / Prior Studies**

- ✓ Include a comparison table with multiple models
- ✓ Highlight improvements over SOTA

✓ 4.6 **Error Analysis (optional but impactful)**

- ✓ Analysis of failure cases
- ✓ Possible improvements



5. Conclusion and Future Work

- ✓ Recap the goal, approach, and findings
- ✓ Highlight significance and impact
- ✓ Summarize limitations (honest + constructive)
- ✓ Mention possible extensions (e.g., scaling, deployment, real-time use)



References

- ✓ Use ***Zotero*** or ***Mendeley*** for managing
- ✓ Follow target journal/conference style (e.g., APA, IEEE, Elsevier)



Appendix (if needed)

- ✓ Additional figures, tables, or pseudocode
- ✓ Supplementary datasets / external links
- ✓ Ethics Approval Statement (for sensitive data)



Thank You