Solutions v Industries v Products v Services v Resource Center v Contact Us GDPR

THREATS - CATEGORIES - TAGS - STATISTICS ENCYCLOPEDIA DESCRIPTIONS

APT REPORTS

kaspersky

# **Operation Daybreak**

Flash zero-day exploit deployed by the ScarCruft APT Group

By Costin Raju, Anton Ivanov on June 17, 2016, 6:00 am

CONTENTS

Earlier this year, we deployed new technologies in Kaspersky Lab products to identify and block zero-day attacks. This technology already proved its effectiveness earlier this year, when it caught an Adobe Flash zero day exploit (CVE-2016-1010). Earlier this month, our technology caught another zero-day Adobe Flash Player exploit deployed in targeted attacks. We believe the attacks are launched by an APT Group we track under the codename "ScarCruft".

ScarCruft is a relatively new APT group; victims have been observed in Russia, Nepal, South Korea, China, India, Kuwait and Romania. The group has several ongoing operations, utilizing multiple exploits — two for Adobe Flash and one for Microsoft Internet Explorer.

Operation Daybreak appears to have been launched by ScarCruft in March 2016 and employs a previously unknown (0-day). Adobe Flash Player exploit. It is also possible that the group deployed another zero day exploit, CVE-2016-0147, which was patched in April.

This exploit caught by our technologies highlights a few very interesting evasion methods, some of which we haven't seen before. We describe them below.

## **Operation Daybreak general information**

Operation Daybreak appears to have been launched by unknown attackers to infect high profile targets through spearphishing e-mails. To date, we have observed more than two dozen victims for these attacks.

Although the exact attack vector remains unknown, the targets appear to receive a malicious link which points to a hacked website where the exploitation kit is hosted. The hacked web server hosting the exploit kit is associated with the ScarCruft APT and used in another line of attacks. Certain details, such as using the same infrastructure and targeting, make us believe that Operation Daybreak is being done by the ScarCruft APT group.

The ScarCruft APT group is a relatively new player and managed to stay under the radar for some time. In general, their work is very professional and focused. Their tools and techniques are well above the average. Prior to the discovery of Operation Daybreak, we observed the ScarCruft APT launching a series of attacks in Operation Erebus. Operation Erebus another Flash Player exploit (CVE-2016-4117) through the use of watering hole attacks.

In the case of Operation Daybreak, the hacked website hosting the exploit kit performs a couple of browser checks before redirecting the visitor to a server controlled by the attackers hosted in Poland.

The main exploit page script contains a BASE64 decoder, as well as rc4 decryption implemented in JS

cdfv in-dishnon/phosps/ddvv

script language\*/phosps/pic/ddvv

sain\_gia= new Ajae(

nul: ia\_nips,

nul: ia\_nips

The parameters sent to the "ap.php" script are randomly generated on each hit, so the second stage payload gets encrypted differently each time. This prevents easy detection by MD5 or signatures of the second stage payload.

The exploitation process consists of three Flash objects. The Flash object that triggers the vulnerability in Adobe Flash Player is located in second SWE delivered to the victim

At the end of the exploitation chain, the server sends a legitimate PDF file to user – "china.pdf". The "china.pdf" file shown to the victims in the last stage of the attack seems to be written in Korean:

# # 2001년 제공업에 지입 제공업에 전함 # 2001년 제공업에 전기 때문 어제되는 용비를 이어 기구 제공업에 전기 때문 어제되는 문제 대기로 전한 기계 대기로 기계 대기로 전한 기계

Decoy document shown to victims

 $The \ document \ text \ talks \ about \ disagreements \ between \ China \ and \ "The \ North" \ over \ nuclear \ programs \ and \ demilitarization.$ 

#### **Vulnerability technical details**

The vulnerability (CVE-2016-4171) is located in the code which parses the ExecPolicy metadata information.

This is what the structure looks like:

metadata\_info
{
 u30 name
 u30 item\_count
 item\_info items[item\_count]
}

This structure also contains an array of item\_info structures:

item\_info { u30 key u30 value

The documentation says the following about these structures:

"The item\_info entry consists of item\_count elements that are interpreted as key/value pairs of indices into the string table of the constant pool. If the value of key is zero, this is a keyless entry and only carries a value."

#### IN THE SAME CATEGOR



GreyEnergy's overlap with Zebrocy

CompanyAccount GET IN TOUCH



APT review of the year



Darkruisai PAG

# Kaspersky Security Bulletin 2019. Statistics

All the statistics were collected from November 2018 to October 2019.

Get the report

In the exploit used by the ScarCruft group, we have the following item\_info structu

```
metadataInfo.itemCount: 000003E8
itemInfo.key: 00000005
itemInfo.value: 000000869
itemInfo.key: 00000005
itemInfo.value: 000000860
itemInfo.value: 00000005
itemInfo.value: 000000871
itemInfo.value: 00000871
itemInfo.value: 00000875
```

Item\_info array in exploit object

The code that triggers the vulnerability parses this structure and, for every key and value members, tries to get the respective string object from string constant pool. The problem relies on the fact that the "key" and "value" members are used as indexes without any kind of boundary checks. It is easy to understand that if key or value members are larger than string constant pool array, a memory corruption problem appears. It is also important to mention that this member's (value, key) are directly read from SWF object, so an attacker can easily use them to implement arbitrary read/write operations.

Getting object by index from constant pool without any checks

Using this vulnerability, the exploit implements a series of writes at specified addresses to achieve full remote code

# **Bypassing security solutions through DDE**

The Operation Daybreak attack employs multiple stages, which are all outstanding in some way. One of them attracted our attention because it implements a bypass for security solutions we have never seen before.

In the first stage of the attack, the decrypted shellcode executed by the exploit downloads and executes a special DLL file. This is internally called "yay\_release.dll":

```
00 00 00-A2 0E 01 00 3K)W p.M0
00 00 00-98 0E 01 00 0 0 0 MM0
21 00 00-B2 0E 01 00 bM0 a.M0 AL M0
65 61 73-65 2E 64 6C yay_release.dl
74 69 76-65 4C 6F 61 1 _ReflectiveLoa
00 00 00-00 00 00 00 der@0
```

Second stage DLL internal name and export

The code of this module is loaded directly into the exploited application and has several methods of payload execution. One of method uses a very interesting technique of payload execution which is designed mostly to bypass modern antimalware products. This uses an interesting bug in the Windows DDE component. It is not a secret that anti-malware systems trigger on special system functions that are called in the context of potential vulnerable applications to make a deeper analysis of API calls such as CreateProcess, WinExec or ShellExecute.

For instance, such defense technologies trigger if a potentially vulnerable application such as Adobe Flash starts other untrusted applications, scripts interpreters or even the command console.

To make execution of payload invisible for these defense systems, the threat actors used the Windows DDE interface in a very clever way. First, they register a special window for it:

In the window procedure, they post WM\_DDE\_EXECUTE messages with commands:  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{$ 

```
GlobalUniock(hMen);

v5 = PackODEIParan(0x3E8u, 0, (UINI_PTB)hMen);

if ( !PostMessagen(hMnd, 0x3E8u, wParam, v5) )

GlobalFre(hMen);

result = 0;
```

Sending WM\_DDE\_EXECUTE message to window

The attackers used the following commands:

The main idea here is that if you create a LNK to an executable or command, then use the ShowGroup method, the program will be executed. This is an undocumented behavior in Microsoft Windows.

rogram will be executed. This is an undocumented behavior in Microsoft Windows.

```
Dim destPath
Dim destPath
Dim cmdLine
On Error Resume Next
set objshell = WScript.CreateObject("WScript.shell")
set objso = WScript.CreateObject("Scripting.FileSystemObject")
objfso.DeleteFile Wscript.ScriptFullName
objfso.DeleteFile Wscript.ScriptFullName
Wscript.Sleep 5000
destPath = objshell.ExpandEnvironmentStrings("%s")
objfso.DeleteFile destPath
objfso.Opyfile "%s", destPath, OverwriteExisting
objfso.DeleteFile "%s"
cmdLine = "wusa " + destPath + " /quiet /extract:%%SYSTEMROOTX%\System32\"
objshell.run cmdLine, 0, true
objfso.DeleteFile destPath
objfso.DeleteFile destPath
objfso.DeleteFile "%s"
set objshell = Nothing
```

#### Malicious VBS used in the attack

We have reported this "creative" abuse of DDE to Microsoft's security team

The final payload of the attack is a CAB file with the following MD5:

• 8844a537e7f533192ca8e81886e70fbc

The MS CAB file (md5: 8844a537e7f533192ca8e81886e70fbc) contains 4 malicious DLL files:

MD5	Filename
a6f14b547d9a7190a1f9f1c06f906063	cfgifut.dll
e51ce28c2e2d226365bc5315d3e5f83e	cldbct.dll
067681b79756156ba26c12bc36bf835c	cryptbase.dll
f8a2d4ddf9dc2de750c8b4b7ee45ba3f	msfte.dll

The file cldbct.dll (e51ce28c2e2d226365bc5315d3e5f83e) connects to the following C2:

hXXp://webconncheck.myfw[.]us:8080/8xrss.php

 $The \ modules \ are \ signed \ by \ an \ invalid \ digital \ certificates \ listed \ as \ "Tencent \ Technology \ (Shenzhen) \ Company \ Limited" \ with \ constant \ and \ certificates \ listed \ as \ "Tencent \ Technology \ (Shenzhen) \ Company \ Limited" \ with \ certificates \ listed \ as \ "Tencent \ Technology \ (Shenzhen) \ Company \ Limited" \ with \ certificates \ listed \ as \ "Tencent \ Technology \ (Shenzhen) \ Company \ Limited" \ with \ listed \ listed$ 

- 5d 06 88 f9 04 0a d5 22 87 fc 32 ad ec eb 85 b0
- 71 70 bd 93 cf 3f 18 9a e6 45 2b 51 4c 49 34 0e



invalid digital signature on malware samples

The malware deployed in this attack is extremely rare and apparently reserved only for high profile victims. Our products detect it as well as other malware from ScarCruft as HEUR:Trojan.Win32.ScarCruft.gen

# **Victims:**

Although our visibility is rather limited, some of the victims of these attacks include:

- A law enforcement agency in an Asian country
   One of the largest trading companies in Asia and in the world
- A mobile advertising and app monetization company in the USA
   Individuals related to the International Association of Athletics Federations
- . A restaurant located in one of the top malls in Dubai

Some of these were compromised over the last few days, indicating the attackers are still very active.

#### **Conclusions:**

Nowadays, in-the-wild Flash Player exploits are becoming rare. This is because in most cases they need to be coupled with a Sandbox bypass exploit, which makes them rather tricky.

Additionally, Adobe has been doing a great job at implementing new mitigations to make exploitation of Flash Player more

Nevertheless, resourceful threat actors such as ScarCruft will probably continue to deploy zero-day exploits against their high profile targets.

As usual, the best defense against targeted attacks is a multi-layered approach. Windows users should combine tra anti-malware technologies with patch management, host intrusion detection and, ideally, whitelisting and default-deny strategies. According to a study by the Australian DSD, 85% of the targeted attacks analysed could have been st nple defense strategies. While it's impossible to achieve 100% protection, in practice and most cases all you have to do is increase your defenses to the point where it becomes too expensive for the attacker – who will just give up and move on to other targets.

Kaspersky products detect flash exploit as HEUR:Exploit.SWF.Agent.gen also our AEP (Automatic Exploit Prevention) component can successfully detect this attack. Payloads are detected with HEUR:Trojan.Win32.ScarCruft.gen verdict.

\* More information about the ScarCruft APT group is available to customers of Kaspersky Intelligent Services.

#### **Indicators of compromise:**

#### **Malicious IPs and hostnames:**

- 212.7.217[.]10
- req.flnet[.]orq
- webconncheck.myfw[.]us

### MD5s:

3e5ac6bbf108feec97e1cc36560ab0b6 a6f14b547d9a7190a1f9f1c06f906063 e51ce28c2e2d226365bc5315d3e5f83e 067681b79756156ba26c12bc36bf835c f8a2d4ddf9dc2de750c8b4b7ee45ba3f 8844a537e7f533192ca8e81886e70fbc

ADOBE FLASH APT VULNERABILITIES AND EXPLOITS ZERO-DAY VULNERABILITIES





Mokes and Buerak distributed under the guise of security certificates	OilRig's Poison Frog – old samples, same trick	Kaspersky Security Bulletin 2019. Statistics		
	3			
LEAVE A REPLY  Your email address will not be published. Require	d fields are marked *			
Enter your comment here				
lame *				
mail *				
aspersky	r for the next time I comment.			
2020 AO Kaspersky Lab. All Rights Reserved.			Email	SUBSCRIBE
egistered trademarks and service marks are the SUBMIT  ontact us   Privacy Policy   License Agreement	property of their respective owners.		■ I agree to provide my email address to "AO Kaspersk Lab" to receive information about new posts on the	
I'm not a robot	тсна		site. I understand that I can withdraw this consent at any time via e-mail by clicking the 'unsubscribe' lin that I find at the bottom of any e-mail sent to me for the purposes mentioned above.	nk
	experience of our websites better. By using ookies on this website is available by clickin	and further navigating this website you acce	ot this. Detailed	SE