

Project 2 on Machine Learning

Text classification

Team Yoor

Sergei Volodin¹, Baran Nama¹, and Omar Mehio¹

¹EPFL

{sergei.volodin,baran.nama,omar.mehio}@epfl.ch

Abstract—A classification dataset consisting of Tweets is being studied. First, the data is thoroughly explored using visual aids. Several basic Natural Language Processing methods are applied. Results are evaluated using cross-validation. Model overview is given and the best model is chosen.

I. INTRODUCTION

Claim: it is possible to find a model which fits the data better than the current state-of-the-art.

- 1) What is the data (preprocessed tweets [?])
- 2) What are we trying to do? Get the best classification score, compared to the state-of-the-art [?]
- 3) Overview of data, diagrams of features, feature selection, feature augmentation
- 4) Methods and their choice (glove, word2vec, cnn, rnn, cbow, skip-gram) because of the problem statement: classify variable-length arrays of sparse one-hot vectors with local structure (text)

II. MODELS AND METHODS

- 1) Dataset and loss
- 2) State of the art description
- 3) Baseline: glove.
- 4) Preprocessing: stemming, word variants, hashtag removing, ...
- 5) Word2Vec
- 6) CNN
- 7) RNN

III. RESULTS

The following models were considered: *aba*, *caba*, the best one is *aba*. This (does not) correspond to already conducted experiments [99, 98, 97]. Our contribution consists of running *method* with *xxx* modified with *yyy* and this does (not) give an improvement of 0.01231%

IV. DISCUSSION

Our experiments lack *zzz*, which can be improved by doing also *ttt*

V. SUMMARY

We have shown that it is possible to predict tweets using *aba* better than state-of-the-art.