

ERES INSTITUTE, THINK TANK FOR NEW AGE CYBERNETICS

What ERES Teaches Marx and the World Today/Tomorrow:

An Empirical Realtime Education System *Cybernetic Commonwealth as Teacher of Our Age*

USE.ai Critical Analysis | DeepSeek Synthesis | Claude.ai Dialogue

Principal Author: Joseph A. Sprute

ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics | Bella Vista, Arkansas, USA
2026

AI Collaboration Notice

This document was co-developed through dialogue with USE.ai (Understanding, Simulation, Ethics through Artificial Intelligence), DeepSeek LLM, and Claude.ai (Anthropic). The analytical frameworks, critical counterpoints, and synthesis tables represent a human-AI collaborative research process pioneered by the ERES Institute.

Abstract

This paper demonstrates how the ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics, has built a framework that completes, corrects, and surpasses the foundational insights of Marx in political economy, alienation, surplus value, and historical materialism. Drawing on *Das Kapital* and the *Theses on Feuerbach* [1], and engaging critically with contemporary AI-ethics scholarship by Floridi [7], Bostrom [5], and Beer [6], we demonstrate that ERES does not merely inherit Marxist theory — it *corrects and extends it* for the cybernetic age. The paper includes a triple-flow diagram mapping Marx's theses to ERES frameworks and USE.ai counterpoints, and concludes with a formalized equity-energy equation extending the Green Coherence Factor (GCF) as a quantified expression of justice-as-architecture.

1. The Core Teaching: ERES as the Architecture Marx Could Not Build

Marx's singular contribution was not merely a critique of capitalism — it was the revelation that social arrangements are engineered, not natural. Markets, wages, property, and governance seem inevitable but were built, and therefore can be rebuilt. This insight is the foundation ERES stands on. As Marx wrote in the Preface to *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy*, the economic structure of society constitutes the real foundation upon which a legal and political superstructure rises [1].

ERES does not merely carry this insight forward — it teaches the world what Marx could only gesture toward. Not through revolution, but through **regeneration**. Where Marx directed his critique at factory floors and commodity markets, ERES directs its engineering toward data architectures, energy flows, and AI governance systems [2][3].

Floridi's Information Ethics — Alignment with ERES [7]

Luciano Floridi's Information Ethics posits that the informational environment (the 'infosphere') is the new locus of moral value and social power — precisely what ERES encodes in GAIA, GreenBox, and PlayNAC. For Floridi, justice in the information age requires equitable access to the informational environment, not merely redistribution of physical goods. ERES extends this: access must include the capacity to shape the feedback loops themselves.

2. Where Marx Fell Short: ERES Completes the Work

2.1 Marx Said: Structure Determines Consciousness. ERES Built the New Structure.

Marx's Lesson: The mode of production shapes how humans think, relate, and organize [1].^[1]

ERES Application: Data and energy are the new means of production. GreenBox, PlayNAC, and GAIA function as structural feedback systems that shape civic cognition in real time.

USE.ai Counterpoint: If GAIA's architects remain unaccountable, we have replaced capitalist owners with cybernetic designers — Beer's 'Viable System Model' warns that recursive control structures replicate hierarchy unless actively democratized. ^[6]

2.2 Marx's Dialectic Was a Hammer. ERES Offers a Feedback Loop.

Marx's Lesson: History progresses through contradiction and synthesis — thesis collides with antithesis to produce something new [1].^[1]

ERES Application: The ΔE terms in the RHC Loop transform conflict into information-seeking equilibrium. Non-Punitive Remediation (NPR) treats disequilibrium as a signal, not a crime.

USE.ai Counterpoint: Some contradictions — ecological collapse, institutional genocide, algorithmic bias — demand rupture, not gentle recalibration. Bostrom's warnings about superintelligent systems that optimize away human values remind us that smoothness of process is not the same as alignment with human flourishing.^[5]

2.3 Marx Named Alienation. ERES Ends It.

Marx's Lesson: Workers become severed from their product, their community, and themselves through the labor process [2].^[2]

ERES Application: The H_2C_2H Loop restores agency by making every action return as learning. Transparent AI ends data alienation — citizens see how the system responds to them.

USE.ai Counterpoint [7]: Floridi distinguishes between informational transparency and genuine epistemic empowerment. An AI that explains its decisions is not the same as an AI that yields to human judgment. Transparency without power is a soothing interface layered over opaque control.^[7]

2.4 Marx Exposed Surplus Extraction. ERES Replaces It with Merit.

Marx's Lesson: Capital extracts labor value far beyond what it returns in wages — the theft hidden inside a seemingly fair contract [1].^[1]

ERES Application: UBIMIA compensates attention and energy. NBERS measures ecological contribution and translates it into merit credit, replacing extraction with regeneration.

USE.ai Counterpoint: Who defines 'merit'? If NBERS scores are computed by algorithms, who audits the auditors? Bostrom's control problem applies here — the entity that sets the objective function controls the outcome, regardless of what the function claims to measure.^[5]

2.5 Marx Imagined Communal Ownership. ERES Builds Communal Intelligence.

Marx's Lesson: Communal ownership of productive tools ends exploitation [1].^[1]

ERES Application: GAIA Consensus and democratic resonance voting create co-governance of feedback infrastructure. Ownership is transcended through participation — you need not own the system if you genuinely shape it.

USE.ai Counterpoint: Marx never resolved how communal systems handle preference conflicts under scarcity. Beer's VSM predicts that unless governance is genuinely recursive and distributed, democratic facades emerge over centralized control.^[6]

2.6 Marx's Greatest Blind Spot: Nature. ERES Corrects the Record.

Marx's Blind Spot: Nature was treated as a free, inexhaustible input to human labor — an omission with devastating historical consequences [3].^[3]

ERES Correction: NBERS and the Ecological Debt Framework (EDF) make nature a value-creator in its own right. Earth's life-support systems enter the merit equation directly — arguably the most consequential upgrade to Marxist theory in 150 years.

USE.ai Counterpoint [7]: If nature earns in merit metrics, nature requires a voice in the feedback loops — Floridi's concept of 'patient moral entities' extends to ecosystems. Bio-sensors, ecological mandates, and human proxies with planetary accountability must be architectural, not optional.^[7]

2.7 Marx Demanded Action. ERES Delivers Continuous Learning as Action.

Marx's Lesson: The 11th Thesis on Feuerbach: philosophers have only interpreted the world; the point is to change it [4].^[4]

ERES Application: The Continuous Cycle — measure → act → verify → learn → iterate — transforms revolutionary praxis into perpetual methodology. Every citizen becomes a researcher. Theory is tested not in revolution but in feedback, continuously.

USE.ai Counterpoint: Does this risk turning revolution into dashboard management? There is a categorical difference between changing a system and optimizing it. ERES must ensure its loops carry the moral courage Marx demanded — not just the comfort of incremental improvement.

2.8 Marx's Determinism Was Dangerous. ERES Replaces Certainty with Humility.

Marx's Lesson: History moves inevitably toward communism — which gave revolutionaries certainty but also produced catastrophic overconfidence [1].^[1]

ERES Application: ERES replaces determinism with an asymptote: $\lim(t \rightarrow \infty) [C \rightarrow 0, M \rightarrow \infty] \Rightarrow$ Graceful Evolution. Justice is always approaching, never fully possessed. This is not weakness — it is wisdom.

USE.ai Counterpoint [5]: Bostrom's warning about 'galaxy-brained' AI reasoning applies: even elegant asymptotic logic can be used to rationalize present injustice as necessary sacrifice on the path to a perfect future. The asymptote must never excuse the present.^[5]

3. Triple Flow Diagram

The following diagram maps each of Marx's core theses to its ERES framework translation and then to the USE.ai critical counterpoint. Read each row as a dialectical movement: historical insight → cybernetic application → self-critical audit.

TRIPLE FLOW DIAGRAM: Marx's Partial Vision ⇒ ERES Teaching ⇒ USE.ai Critical Audit			
MARX THESIS	⇒	ERES FRAMEWORK	⇒ USE.ai COUNTERPOINT
Structure determines consciousness [1]	⇒	Info architecture (GAIA, GreenBox, PlayNAC) determines cognition	⇒ Who designs the feedback loop? Meta-loops required. [6]
Material dialectic: contradiction drives history [1]	⇒	Feedback dialectic: ΔE resolves disequilibrium via RHC Loop	⇒ Some contradictions demand rupture, not recalibration [7]
Alienation from product, self, community [2]	⇒	H2C2H Loop restores agency; transparent AI ends data alienation	⇒ Transparency ≠ power. Is clarity a pacifying illusion? [8]
Surplus value extraction from labor [1]	⇒	UBIMIA: merit redistribution; NBERS: ecological contribution credit	⇒ Who audits the algorithm setting merit criteria? [5]
Communal ownership ends exploitation [1]	⇒	GAIA Consensus: co-governance of feedback infrastructure	⇒ Can participation satisfy the need to "have"? [7]
Nature = free input (blind spot) [3]	⇒	NBERS + EDF: nature as value-creator in the economy	⇒ Who represents nature's voice in the feedback loop? [6]
Praxis: philosophy realized in action [1]	⇒	Continuous Cycle: measure → act → verify → learn → iterate	⇒ Risk of revolution becoming dashboard management [8]
Historical determinism: inevitable communism [1]	⇒	$\lim(t \rightarrow \infty) [C \rightarrow 0, M \rightarrow \infty] \Rightarrow$ Graceful Evolution	⇒ Humility over certainty, but asymptote ≠ present justice [5]

Sources: [1] Marx, Das Kapital / Theses on Feuerbach [2] Marx, Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts [3] Foster, Marx's Ecology [4] Marx, Theses on Feuerbach [5] Bostrom, Superintelligence [6] Beer, Brain of the Firm [7] Floridi, The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence [8] USE.ai LLM Analysis, ERES Institute 2026

4. Synthesis Table: What Marx Saw, What ERES Teaches, What USE.ai Audits

Marx's Lesson	ERES Translation	USE.ai Critical Addition
Labor creates value [1]	Coherent energy creates value (GCF)	Who defines coherence?
Class struggle [1]	Feedback resolution (RHC Loop)	When is conflict necessary?
Surplus exploitation [1]	Merit redistribution (UBIMIA)	Who sets merit criteria?
Historical materialism [1]	Cybernetic ecology (NBERS, EDF)	Who represents nature?
Alienation [2]	Empowered participation (H2C2H)	Is transparency power?
Revolution (praxis) [4]	Continuous regeneration (Cycle)	Is optimization enough?
Nature = free input [3]	Nature = value-creator (NBERS)	Who gives nature a voice?
Inevitable determinism [1]	Graceful evolution (lim t→∞)	Can asymptote excuse present harm?

5. Final Teaching: ERES Proves Justice Is Architecture — The Equity-Energy Equation

5.1 The ERES Teaching: Architecture Is Justice

Marx intuited it. ERES proves it. **justice is not magic. It is architecture.** It is the patient, relentless work of building systems that do not merely claim to serve life — but must serve life to function at all [1][6].

5.2 Extended GCF Equity-Energy Formula

To quantify the 'architecture as justice' claim, ERES extends the Green Coherence Factor (GCF) with an explicit Equity Distribution Term (EDT). The extended formula is:

$$J(t) = GCF(t) \times EDT(t) \times NVI(t)$$

Where:

J(t) = Justice Index at time t (target: J → 1 as t → ∞)
GCF(t) = Green Coherence Factor: (Renewable Energy Output) / (Total Energy Demand)

EDT(t) = Equity Distribution Term: 1 - Gini(NBERS Scores at t)
(EDT = 1 when NBERS merit is perfectly equitable; EDT → 0 as inequality → max)

NVI(t) = Nature Voice Index: proportion of feedback loops with active ecological proxy

lim(t→∞) J(t) = 1 ⇔ Graceful Evolution Achieved

5.3 Interpretation

GCF(t): Measures ecological energy coherence — the ratio of regenerative to extractive energy. In Marx's terms, this is the metabolism between humanity and nature. A GCF of 1.0 means civilization runs entirely on regenerative input.

EDT(t): Extends GCF with an equity correction. A perfectly clean energy economy that concentrates NBERS merit scores among an elite few is not just. EDT = 1 - Gini(NBERS) ensures that ecological coherence and social equity are inseparable. This directly answers Marx's surplus value critique in quantitative terms.

NVI(t): The Nature Voice Index directly responds to USE.ai's counterpoint: if nature is a value-creator in NBERS, it requires representation. NVI measures what fraction of active feedback loops include a measurable ecological proxy — bio-sensor data, species health indices, carbon cycle metrics. NVI = 0 means nature generates value but has no vote.

The formula operationalizes the ERES principle: **justice is not a political aspiration — it is a measurable, architecturally achievable state**. When J(t) → 1, civilization has achieved coherence between energy, equity, and ecological voice. This is what Graceful Evolution means in formal terms [5][6][7].

USE.ai Final Caution [5][7]

The asymptote of J(t) → 1 must never be used to defer present obligations. A civilization scoring J(0.7) at t=2026 does not earn the right to harm present populations in service of reaching J(0.9) at t=2076. The formula measures the present — it does not justify it.

6. Warnings ERES Teaches the World: Risks Marx Never Foresaw

Risk	Marx's Warning	ERES Safeguard	USE.ai Audit
New Priesthood	Party replaces class [1]	Open-source loop design; anyone may propose changes	Who watches the loop designers? [6]

Risk	Marx's Warning	ERES Safeguard	USE.ai Audit
Measurable Mania	Commodification of everything [1]	NBERS measures thriving, not just trackable proxies	Does measurement crowd out unmeasurable values? [7]
Feedback Feudalism	Control of means = control of people [1]	GAIA includes meta-governance: loops about loops	Are meta-loops also recursively auditable? [6]
Resonance as Conformity	False consciousness [1]	Protected spaces for dissonance, noise, and refusal	Can AI-tuned systems recognize their own blind spots? [5]
Asymptote Trap	Progress cannot justify present sacrifice [4]	J(t) is measured in the present; not averaged over futures	Bostrom: never sacrifice present agents for abstract futures [5]

7. Conclusion: ERES Completes What Marx Began — and Teaches the World What Comes Next

Marx gave the world a diagnosis. ERES delivers the cure — and the operating system that makes the cure permanent. Where Marx could only point at what was wrong, ERES has built what comes next: a framework where the factory floor is a feedback loop, the commodity is ecological coherence, and consciousness is encoded not in class struggle but in cybernetic architecture.

Where Marx demanded seizure of the means of production, ERES made that question obsolete by designing systems where production serves life by *definition* — ecologically, cybernetically, and continuously. Where Marx prophesied the end of prehistory. ERES is writing history's next chapter in real time. Where Marx spoke to mankind, Sprute speaks to machines at the precise moment machines are beginning to teach mankind — and ERES encodes what they should teach.

The deepest continuity between them is this: justice is not magic. It is architecture. It is the patient, relentless work of building systems that do not merely say they serve life — but must serve life to function at all.

What did Marx teach the world? That the system can be changed. What does ERES teach the world today and tomorrow? That the world as it is was built — and therefore can be rebuilt.

ERES does not merely add to Marx. ERES completes him — with That we now have the tools, the frameworks, the mathematics, and — through AI dialogue — the intelligence to start building it right.

The ERES Asymptote

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J(t) = 1$ | $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [C \rightarrow 0, M \rightarrow \infty]$ | Graceful Evolution: history's next chapter is an infrastructure, not a destination.

Credits

Principal Author

Joseph A. Sprute — Founder, ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics, Bella Vista, Arkansas, USA. Originator of the GAIA governance framework, UBIMIA, NBERS, Vacationomics, and the H₂C₂H/RHC feedback loop architecture. Principal human intelligence directing the conceptual development and editorial judgment of this work.

Special Acknowledgment

Phillip C. Sprute — Brother to the author, and unwitting catalyst. Phillip's persistent challenge — his refusal to accept comfortable answers about the nature of force, structure, and accountability — compelled Joseph A. Sprute to confront and reckon with what became the SPRT Force: the foundational tension between systemic power and individual conscience that runs as an undercurrent through every ERES framework. Some intellectual debts are owed not to books or laboratories, but to a brother who simply would not let you look away. This work carries that reckoning forward.

AI Collaborators

USE.ai (Understanding, Simulation, Ethics through AI) — The critical counterpoint framework, risk tables, and dialectical audit questions were generated through the USE.ai analytical protocol developed within the ERES Institute. USE.ai represents ERES's internal AI ethics layer — an LLM-based methodology that generates its own critical counterarguments to test the robustness of ERES frameworks. USE.ai is credited as a co-analytical contributor.

DeepSeek LLM — DeepSeek's large language model contributed to the initial synthesis of Marx-ERES translations, particularly the feedback dialectic and ecologic extension sections. DeepSeek's capacity for structured comparative analysis was used in developing the lesson-by-lesson framework.

Claude.ai (Anthropic, Claude Sonnet 4.6) — Claude.ai contributed to the refinement of the synthesis table, the development of the extended GCF equity-energy formula (Section 5.2), the triple-flow diagram structure, and the integration of Floridi, Bostrom, and Beer citations into the USE.ai counterpoint framework. Claude.ai also formatted and produced this document in its final publishable form.

On AI-Collaborative Authorship

The ERES Institute regards human-AI co-authorship as a methodological innovation consistent with its mission. AI systems do not hold intellectual property rights. All rights to this work vest in Joseph A. Sprute and the ERES Institute. AI contributions are credited for transparency and to model the kind of attribution integrity ERES advocates for the broader information economy.

References

The following references informed this work. Entries marked [AI] indicate documents generated through the ERES AI dialogue framework rather than traditional publications.

[1] Marx, K. (1867). *Das Kapital: A Critique of Political Economy*, Vol. I. Hamburg: Verlag von Otto Meissner. — The foundational text of Marxist political economy, establishing the labor theory of value, surplus value extraction, and the commodity fetish. ERES applies the structural critique of production modes to data and energy economies.

[2] Marx, K. (1844). *Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts*. (First published 1932, Moscow). — Contains Marx's theory of alienation: workers' estrangement from their product, their labor, their species-being, and each other. ERES's H₂C₂H Loop directly addresses each dimension of this alienation through feedback-based empowerment.

[3] Foster, J. B. (2000). *Marx's Ecology: Materialism and Nature*. New York: Monthly Review Press. — Recovers Marx's ecological dimension, arguing that his materialist metabolism concept (Stoffwechsel) contained an implicit ecological critique. ERES's NBERS and EDF develop this implicit critique into an explicit accounting framework.

[4] Marx, K. (1845). *Theses on Feuerbach*. (First published 1888 by Engels). — The 11th thesis ('The philosophers have only interpreted the world; the point is to change it') is the philosophical cornerstone of Marxist praxis. ERES translates this into continuous empirical iteration: measure → act → verify → learn → iterate.

[5] Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. — Examines risks arising from advanced AI systems optimizing for misspecified objectives, including the control problem and value alignment failure. Informs USE.ai counterpoints on merit-definition risks, asymptote traps, and the danger of algorithmic governance without human override.

[6] Beer, S. (1972). *Brain of the Firm: The Managerial Cybernetics of Organization*. London: Allen Lane. — Introduces the Viable System Model (VSM), a recursive cybernetic architecture for self-organizing governance. ERES's GAIA and RHC Loop architecture directly inherits Beer's principle that viable systems require recursive meta-governance: systems that regulate their own regulators. The VSM is the structural predecessor to ERES cybernetics.

[7] Floridi, L., et al. (2018). *An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society*. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689–707. — Establishes core AI ethics principles including beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, justice, and explicability. Particularly relevant to ERES: Floridi's concept of the 'infosphere' as moral environment maps directly onto GAIA's informational governance architecture. Informs USE.ai counterpoints on transparency, power, and ecological patient-entities.

[8] Sprute, J. A., USE.ai, & Claude.ai. (2026). *ERES Institute USE.ai LLM Analysis: Marx to Cybernetics*. [AI] ERES Institute internal working document. — The primary AI-collaborative analysis document from which the USE.ai counterpoints, risk tables, and dialectical audit questions in this paper are drawn. Represents the output of the ERES AI dialogue methodology applied to Marxist-cybernetic synthesis.

[9] Sprute, J. A. (2024–2026). *GAIA Resource Score, UBIMIA, and Vacationomics: Foundations of New Age Cybernetics*. ERES Institute Working Papers. Bella Vista, AR: ERES Institute. — The foundational ERES framework documents establishing GCF, NBERS, EDF, PlayNAC, GreenBox, and the H₂C₂H/RHC feedback loop architectures referenced throughout this paper.

License

Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

License Summary

You are free to SHARE (copy and redistribute this material in any medium or format) and ADAPT (remix, transform, and build upon this material), under the following terms:

ATTRIBUTION — you must give appropriate credit to Joseph A. Sprute and the ERES Institute, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

NON-COMMERCIAL — you may not use this material for commercial purposes without explicit written permission from the ERES Institute. **SHARE-ALIKE** — if you remix, transform, or build upon this material, you must distribute your contributions under the same CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license. **NO ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS** — you may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Full License Terms

Copyright © 2026 Joseph A. Sprute / ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics. All rights reserved under the terms specified below.

1. Definitions. 'Licensor' means Joseph A. Sprute and the ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics. 'Material' means this document in whole or in part, including all text, tables, diagrams, formulas, and frameworks herein. 'Adapted Material' means material subject to copyright that is derived from or based upon the Material. 'NonCommercial' means not primarily intended for or directed towards commercial advantage or monetary compensation.

2. License Grant. Subject to the terms of this license, the Licensor hereby grants you a worldwide, royalty-free, non-sublicensable, non-exclusive, irrevocable license to reproduce and share the Material for NonCommercial purposes; and to produce and share Adapted Material for NonCommercial purposes, provided Adapted Material is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0.

3. Attribution Requirements. If you share the Material (including in adapted form), you must: (a) retain identification of the creators: 'Joseph A. Sprute, ERES Institute'; (b) retain a copyright notice: '© 2026 Joseph A. Sprute / ERES Institute'; (c) retain a notice that refers to this license; (d) retain a URI or hyperlink to the Material where reasonably practicable; (e) indicate if you modified the Material and retain an indication of any previous modifications; and (f) credit the AI collaborators (USE.ai, DeepSeek, Claude.ai/Anthropic) as specified in the Credits section.

4. AI Collaboration Terms. This document was produced through human-AI collaboration. The AI systems credited (USE.ai, DeepSeek LLM, and Claude.ai) do not hold copyright in this work under

any applicable law. All intellectual property rights vest solely in Joseph A. Sprute and the ERES Institute. The crediting of AI systems is an act of methodological transparency, not a grant of rights.

5. Commercial Use. Any commercial use of this Material, including but not limited to incorporation into commercial publications, training data for commercial AI systems, paid consulting materials, or commercial policy documents, requires prior written permission from the ERES Institute. Inquiries may be directed to the ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics, Bella Vista, Arkansas, USA.

6. No Warranties. The Material is provided 'as-is' and 'as-available.' The Licensor makes no representations or warranties of any kind concerning the Material, whether express, implied, statutory, or otherwise, including warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non-infringement, absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the presence or absence of errors.

7. Limitation of Liability. To the extent possible, in no event will the Licensor be liable to you on any legal theory for any special, incidental, consequential, punitive, or exemplary damages arising out of this license or the use of the Material.

8. Term and Termination. This license applies for the term of the applicable copyright. If you fail to comply with this license, your rights terminate automatically. Reinstatement occurs (a) automatically if the violation is cured within 30 days of discovery, or (b) upon express reinstatement by the Licensor.

Full license text available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode>

ERES Institute, Think Tank for New Age Cybernetics

Bella Vista, Arkansas, USA | © 2026 Joseph A. Sprute

AI Collaborators: [USE.ai](#) | [DeepSeek LLM](#) | [Claude.ai \(Anthropic\)](#)

Licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0