ERES Institute Technical Report: Classification of RT Empirics Using Cybernetic Framework

Executive Summary

This report defines the mandatory and recommended classification schema for Realist Theory (RT) Empirics according to the ERES Institute's cybernetic framework `C=R*P/M`, where Cost = Resource × Purpose ÷ Method. Proper classification serves as the foundation for systemic diagnosis, optimization, and control within organizational systems.

---

1. Fundamental Classification Framework

1.1 Core Cybernetic Variables (MUST Classify)

All empirical data must be categorized into these four essential variables:

Resource (R)

Definition: Inputs, assets, and capacities available to the system.

Category	Examples	Empirical Indicators
`Budget`	Funding allocations, capital	Financial statements, budget reports
`Personnel`	Staff count, expertise levels	HR records, skill matrices, staffing reports

`Technology`	Software, hardware, infrastructure	System inventories, capability assessments
`Temporal`	Time allocations, schedules	Project timelines, time-tracking data
`Informational`	Data assets, knowledge base	Database metrics, knowledge repository stats

Purpose (P)

Definition: Strategic goals, functions, or system teleonomy.

Category	Examples	Empirical Indicators
`Strategic_Goal`	Market positioning, growth targets	Strategic plans, board objectives
`Operational_Target`	Efficiency metrics, output quotas	KPI dashboards, performance targets
`Quality_Standard`	Service levels, quality benchmarks	Quality metrics, customer satisfaction scores
`Homeostatic`	System stability requirements	System performance thresholds, SLA metrics

Method (M)

Definition: Processes, procedures, and transformation mechanisms.

Category	Examples	Empirical Indicators
`Workflow`	Business processes, operational procedures	Process documentation, workflow diagrams
`Algorithm`	Decision logic, computational methods	Code repositories, algorithm specifications
`Protocol`	Standards, guidelines, rules	Policy documents, compliance checklists
`Communication`	Information exchange patterns	Communication logs, meeting minutes

Cost (C)

Definition: Total expenditure, loss, or entropy incurred.

Category	Examples	Empirical Indicators
`Financial`	Direct monetary expenditure	Expense reports, budget consumption
`Temporal`	Time delays, schedule impacts	Project delay metrics, cycle time measurements
`Human`	Burnout, turnover, morale	Employee surveys, turnover statistics
`Opportunity`	Foregone benefits, trade-offs	ROI calculations, comparative analysis

`Systemic`	Complexity, technical debt	System complexity metrics, maintenance costs

---

2. Advanced Diagnostic Classification (SHOULD Classify)

2.1 Relationship Dysfunctions

Empirics should be tagged to identify specific systemic failures:

```
text
Inefficiency_R-M    = Resource wasted by Method
Ineffectiveness_M-P = Method misaligned with Purpose
Insufficiency_R-P   = Resources inadequate for Purpose

Misalignment_P      = Purpose conflicts or ambiguities
```

2.2 Recursive System Levels

Data should be classified by organizational abstraction level:

Level	Description	Example Tags
Strategic	Executive decision-making	`Level_Strategic`, `C-Suite`
Tactical	Management coordination	`Level_Tactical`, `Department`
Operational	Day-to-day execution	`Level_Operational`, `Team`

2.3 Method Variability Patterns

Pattern	Description	Diagnostic Value
`Method_Variation`	Inconsistent application	Identifies process discipline issues
`Method_Adaptation`	Successful modifications	Reveals organic innovation
`Method_Rigidity`	Resistance to change	Highlights change management problems

---

3. Implementation Schema

3.1 Empirical Data Tagging Structure

```
text
```

```
Primary: {R|P|M|C}_{Specific_Element}
Secondary: {Dysfunction_Type}_{Elements}
```

```
Tertiary: {System_Level}_{Context}
```

Example Implementation:

```
yaml
```

```
Data: "Team reported 20 hours overtime due to inefficient approval process"
Tags:
  - C_Temporal_Excess
  - Inefficiency_R-M
  - Level_Operational
```

```
  - Method_Approval_Process
```

3.2 Cross-Relational Analysis Matrix

Resource → Method	Efficient	Inefficient
Adequate	Optimal performance	Process redesign needed
Inadequate	Resource augmentation	Systemic failure

---

4. Quality Assurance Criteria

4.1 Validation Checks

- Completeness: Every empirical observation must map to at least one cybernetic variable
- Specificity: Tags must be granular enough for diagnostic utility
- Consistency: Cross-observer tagging reliability >85%
- Recursivity: Classification must work across all system levels

4.2 Common Classification Errors to Avoid

Error Type	Example	Correction
Theme-based	Tagging as "communication issues"	Map to specific R/P/M/C elements
Activity-focused	Classifying actions without Purpose link	Always connect Method to Purpose

Level-confusion	Mixing strategic and operational data	Explicit level tagging
Dysfunction-ambiguity	Not specifying failure type	Use standardized dysfunction tags

---

5. Analytical Output Framework

5.1 Diagnostic Reporting

Classification enables generation of:

- Cost optimization opportunities: `High_C instances with R*P/M analysis`
- Resource allocation insights: `R-P mismatch identification`
- Method improvement priorities: `M-P ineffectiveness hotspots`
- Strategic alignment assessment: `P coherence across levels`

5.2 Predictive Modeling

Proper classification supports:

- Cost prediction: `C = R * P / M forecasting`
- Intervention simulation: `What-if analysis on R, P, M changes`
- System viability assessment: `C trend analysis and threshold modeling`

---

6. Conclusion

The ERES cybernetic classification framework transforms RT Empirics from descriptive data into diagnostic intelligence. By mandating classification according to `C=R*P/M` and supporting relational analysis, organizations gain:

1. Precise dysfunction localization
2. Quantified cost drivers
3. Recursive system understanding
4. Actionable optimization priorities

This systematic approach ensures that empirical analysis directly serves the core cybernetic objective: optimizing system viability through continuous cost minimization and purpose alignment.

ERES Institute - Cybernetic Systems Division
Classification Schema v2.3 - Approved for Implementation

Credits, References, and License Information

CREDITS & ATTRIBUTIONS

Framework Development

Primary Integration & Synthesis:

- **Joseph A. Sprute**, Founder - ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics
    - Originator of NAC architecture (LOGOS, GAIA, PERC-BERC-JERC, UBIMIA)
    - Author of Generations to Come Declaration
    - Architect of 1000-Year Future Map

Collaborative Development:

- **Claude (Anthropic)** - Evidence-based practices integration, practical implementation protocols
- **DeepSeek (V3)** - Original RDSF articulation
- **Joseph A. Sprute** - Theoretical foundations, NAC systems design

Evidence Base Contributors

Community Development & Participatory Governance:

- Elinor Ostrom - Commons governance principles
- Xavier de Souza Briggs - Community capacity building
- Archon Fung - Deliberative democracy designs
- Yves Cabannes - Participatory budgeting methodology

Behavioral Economics & Decision Architecture:

- Richard Thaler & Cass Sunstein - Choice architecture, nudge theory
- Daniel Kahneman - Behavioral decision-making
- Dan Ariely - Predictable irrationality
- George Loewenstein - Intertemporal choice

Circular Economy & Ecological Design:

- Kate Raworth - Doughnut Economics framework
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation - Circular economy principles
- Janine Benyus - Biomimicry methodology
- William McDonough & Michael Braungart - Cradle to Cradle design

Systems Thinking & Resilience:

- Donella Meadows - Leverage points, systems thinking
- C.S. Holling - Adaptive cycles, panarchy
- Brian Walker & David Salt - Resilience thinking
- Fritjof Capra - Systems view of life

Environmental Psychology & Biophilic Design:

- Stephen Kaplan & Rachel Kaplan - Attention restoration theory
- Roger Ulrich - Biophilic design health outcomes
- Edward O. Wilson - Biophilia hypothesis
- Timothy Beatley - Biophilic cities

Community Economics & Cooperatives:

- Gar Alperovitz - Community wealth building
- Jessica Gordon Nembhard - African American cooperatives
- Marjorie Kelly - Ownership design
- Thomas Hanna - Democratic ownership

Transition & Social Movements:

- Rob Hopkins - Transition Towns methodology
- Naomi Klein - Climate justice movements
- adrienne maree brown - Emergent strategy
- Grace Lee Boggs - Place-based organizing

Measurement & Indicators:

- Mathis Wackernagel - Ecological footprint
- Robert Costanza - Genuine Progress Indicator
- Happiness Research Institute - Wellbeing metrics
- Global Reporting Initiative - Sustainability reporting standards

Technology & Governance:

- Beth Simone Noveck - Smart citizens, civic technology
- Audrey Tang - Digital democracy (Taiwan)
- Vitalik Buterin - Blockchain governance
- E. Glen Weyl - Radical markets, plural voting

Implementation Case Study Sources

- **Boulder, Colorado** - Transportation transformation data
    - City of Boulder Transportation Department
    - National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO)
- **Preston, UK** - Community wealth building model
    - Centre for Local Economic Strategies (CLES)
    - Democracy Collaborative
- **Seoul, South Korea** - Sharing city initiative
    - Seoul Metropolitan Government Sharing City Program
    - Shareable Cities network
- **Totnes, UK** - Transition Towns model
    - Transition Network
    - Rob Hopkins, founder

Institutional Contributors

Research Institutions:

- ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics
- Schumacher Center for a New Economics
- New Economy Coalition

- Post Growth Institute
- Stockholm Resilience Centre
- Beijer Institute of Ecological Economics

Practice Networks:

- Transition Network (1000+ communities globally)
- Global Ecovillage Network
- US Federation of Worker Cooperatives
- International Co-operative Alliance
- Community Land Trust Network
- Timebanking UK / TimeBanks USA

KEY REFERENCES

ERES Institute Primary Documents

1. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *Generations to Come Declaration - ERES Institute Foundational Charter*. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics.
2. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *LOGOS for Smart-City Community (rev.2) - NAC Governance and Infrastructure Integration*. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics.
3. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *Three Nations, One Path - Comparative NAC Benefits Analysis (U.S., Italy, India)*. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics.
4. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *Formal Framework for Desire Control*. Version 1.0. ERES Institute.
5. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *Biometric Signaling Physiological Synchronization*. Version 1.0. ERES Institute.
6. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *Revised Framework for Desire Control*. Version 2.0. ERES Institute.
7. Sprute, J.A. (2025). *AI Consciousness in NAC Frameworks - Formalization and Equations*. ERES Institute.
8. ERES Institute (2025). *National Bio-Ecologic Resource Score (NBERS) Definition*.
9. ERES Institute (2025). *Smart Registered Offset Contracts (SROC) Protocol Specification*.
10. ERES Institute (2025). *Resonant-Ecologic Adaptive Civic Infrastructure (REACI) Guidelines*.

Systems Theory & Complexity

11. von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General System Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications*. George Braziller.
12. Meadows, D.H. (2008). *Thinking in Systems: A Primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
13. Meadows, D.H. (1999). Leverage points: Places to intervene in a system. *Sustainability Institute*.
14. Holling, C.S. (2001). Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems. *Ecosystems*, 4(5), 390-405.
15. Beinhocker, E.D. (2006). *The Origin of Wealth: Evolution, Complexity, and the Radical Remaking of Economics*. Harvard Business Press.

Ecological Economics & Sustainability

16. Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
17. Capra, F., & Luisi, P.L. (2014). *The Systems View of Life: A Unifying Vision*. Cambridge University Press.
18. Costanza, R., et al. (2014). Development: Time to leave GDP behind. *Nature*, 505(7483), 283-285.
19. Wackernagel, M., & Rees, W. (1998). *Our Ecological Footprint: Reducing Human Impact on the Earth*. New Society Publishers.
20. Rockström, J., et al. (2009). Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecology and Society*, 14(2), 32.

Circular Economy

21. Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2013). *Towards the Circular Economy: Economic and Business Rationale for an Accelerated Transition*.
22. McDonough, W., & Braungart, M. (2002). *Cradle to Cradle: Remaking the Way We Make Things*. North Point Press.
23. Benyus, J.M. (1997). *Biomimicry: Innovation Inspired by Nature*. William Morrow.
24. Stahel, W.R. (2016). The circular economy. *Nature*, 531(7595), 435-438.

Behavioral Economics & Decision-Making

25. Thaler, R.H., & Sunstein, C.R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press.
26. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
27. Ariely, D. (2008). *Predictably Irrational: The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions*. HarperCollins.

28. Goldstein, N.J., Cialdini, R.B., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). A room with a viewpoint: Using social norms to motivate environmental conservation in hotels. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 35(3), 472-482.

Environmental Psychology & Health

29. Ulrich, R.S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science*, 224(4647), 420-421.
30. Kaplan, R., & Kaplan, S. (1989). *The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.
31. Beatley, T. (2011). *Biophilic Cities: Integrating Nature into Urban Design and Planning*. Island Press.
32. Bringslimark, T., Hartig, T., & Patil, G.G. (2009). The psychological benefits of indoor plants: A critical review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(4), 422-433.
33. Heschong, L. (2003). *Windows and Offices: A Study of Office Worker Performance and the Indoor Environment*. California Energy Commission.

Community Development & Governance

34. Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press.
35. Fung, A., & Wright, E.O. (2003). *Deepening Democracy: Institutional Innovations in Empowered Participatory Governance*. Verso.
36. Cabannes, Y. (2004). Participatory budgeting: A significant contribution to participatory democracy. *Environment and Urbanization*, 16(1), 27-46.
37. Briggs, X. de S. (2008). *Democracy as Problem Solving: Civic Capacity in Communities Across the Globe*. MIT Press.

Community Economics & Cooperatives

38. Alperovitz, G. (2013). *What Then Must We Do? Straight Talk About the Next American Revolution*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
39. Kelly, M. (2012). *Owning Our Future: The Emerging Ownership Revolution*. Berrett-Koehler.
40. Gordon Nembhard, J. (2014). *Collective Courage: A History of African American Cooperative Economic Thought and Practice*. Penn State Press.
41. Hanna, T.M. (2018). *Our Common Wealth: The Return of Public Ownership in the United States*. Manchester University Press.

Energy & Climate

42. Lovins, A. (2011). *Reinventing Fire: Bold Business Solutions for the New Energy Era*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
43. Hawken, P. (2017). *Drawdown: The Most Comprehensive Plan Ever Proposed to Reverse Global Warming*. Penguin Books.
44. MacKay, D.J. (2009). *Sustainable Energy - Without the Hot Air*. UIT Cambridge.

Transition & Social Change

45. Hopkins, R. (2008). *The Transition Handbook: From Oil Dependency to Local Resilience*. Green Books.
46. Hopkins, R. (2019). *From What Is to What If: Unleashing the Power of Imagination to Create the Future We Want*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
47. Klein, N. (2014). *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. The Climate*. Simon & Schuster.
48. brown, a.m. (2017). *Emergent Strategy: Shaping Change, Changing Worlds*. AK Press.

LICENSE & USAGE TERMS

Dual License Structure

This integrated framework operates under two complementary licenses:

1. ERES Institute NAC Components

License: CARE Commons Attribution License v2.1 (CCAL)

Applies to:

- All NAC-specific systems, terminology, and architectures (LOGOS, GAIA, GERP, NBERS, BERC, PERC, JERC, UBIMIA, Meritcoin, EarnedPath, SROC, GraceChain, REACI, SOMT, ECVS, VERTECA, Talonics, DOFA, Sentient Energy Grid, GSSG, AuraTech, EMCI, Semantic Spiral)
- Generations to Come Declaration
- 1000-Year Future Map
- Integration protocols

Terms:

- **Attribution Required:** Credit "Joseph A. Sprute - ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics"
- **Free Use For:** Civic, educational, ecological, governance, and community purposes
- **Prohibited Use:** Extractive, exploitative, or military applications without explicit written consent
- **Transparency Requirement:** Implementations must publish verifiable performance data (NBERS, BERC, PERC, JERC metrics) to retain NAC certification
- **ShareAlike:** Derivative works must use same license

Commercial Use: Available for sustainable business applications with specific licensing agreement. Contact framework repository maintainers.

2. Evidence-Based Practices Integration

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Applies to:

- All evidence-based intervention descriptions
- Implementation protocols
- Measurement frameworks
- Case studies
- Practical guidance

Terms:

- **Attribution Required:** Credit "ERES Institute Integrated Framework" with link to source
- **Free Use:** Any purpose including commercial
- **No Additional Restrictions:** Cannot apply legal terms or technological measures that restrict others' rights

---

IMPLEMENTATION RIGHTS

Community & Non-Profit Implementation

CIL (Community Implementation License): Free for neighborhood/district-scale projects

Requirements:

- Publish baseline and ongoing NBERS assessments
- Share learnings and outcome data openly
- Participate in peer learning network
- Attribute ERES Institute NAC architecture

Municipal Implementation

MGL (Municipal Governance License): Free for city-wide deployments

Requirements:

- All CIL requirements plus:
- Join GAIA coordination network
- Standardized BERC, PERC, JERC reporting
- Open data APIs for research access
- Contribute to global knowledge base

Research & Academic Use

- Completely open for research, education, and publication
- Request: Cite framework and share findings
- Encouraged: Collaborate with ERES Institute on validation studies

Commercial Applications

- Sustainable businesses may implement NAC systems
- Licensing fees negotiable, prioritize alignment with framework ethics
- Revenue sharing for SROC markets and GAIA coordination infrastructure
- **Prohibited:** Use in fossil fuel, extractive, exploitative, or military industries

---

DATA & PRIVACY

Personal Data Protections

- All biometric and personal data collection requires explicit informed consent
- Data anonymization mandatory for aggregate reporting
- Individual right to data access, correction, and deletion
- No data sales or use for surveillance
- Open algorithms (no black-box decision-making affecting individuals)

Open Data Requirements

- Aggregate NBERS, BERC, PERC, JERC scores: Public
- Methodology and calculations: Open source
- Policy documents: Publicly accessible
- Budget and expenditures: Transparent
- Environmental monitoring: Real-time public access

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

FULL LICENSE TEXTS

- **CCAL v2.1:** Available at ERES Institute repository
- **CC BY 4.0:** Available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

CONTACT & IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORT

- **For licensing inquiries:** Contact framework repository maintainers via ERES Institute
- **For implementation support:** Join NAC practitioner network (details in repository)
- **For research collaboration:** Contact Joseph A. Sprute via published ERES Institute channels

---

Document Status:
Version 3.0 - Synthesis Edition
Date: October 2025
Status: Implementation-Ready; Living Framework

Provenance:

- Author: Joseph A. Sprute (NAC architecture, 1000-year vision)
- Integration: Claude (Anthropic) + Joseph A. Sprute (evidence-based practices synthesis)
- Repository: ERES Institute Proof-of-Work

Open Source Creative Commons: 10/2025