- Use relevant schema.org terms in describing variables whenever possible
- Determine what new extensions to <u>schema.org</u> may be necessary to effectively describe variables
- There are some well-established and maintained ontologies available to clarify the contents of a variable description, these need to be accessible online to use. Developing and adopting these is out of scope for schema.org.

TIER 1

The simplest thing is for **variableMeasured/PropertyValue** to have a **propertyID** that is a URL (http URI) that points to a dereferenceable term in an Ontology that represents the variable, e.g. http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ENVO_04000002.

That term might have a rich representation, accessible by dereferencing the URI, that further axiomatizes the phenomenon, e.g. as a "Feature of Interest: **sea surface"** and "Observed Property: **temperature**", etc., with links to ontologies like EnvO or SWEET.

This value in an instance documents will be a (potentially *opaque*) URL; it would be up to the client to extract useful information from the source semantic resource to better understand what the PropertyValue actually represents, via its **rdfs:label**, **skos:definition**, **skos:altLabel**, etc. Potential solution: conventions for how to represent such descriptions and/or definitions in schema.org instance documents.

TIER 2

The next "tier" might be to include some of the most useful pieces of information found in the **rdfs:label, rdfs:comment**, (or some SKOS field etc) associated with a **PropertyValue/propertyID/URL** in schema.org instances. For this, one could use the "name" and "description" properties of the "PropertyValue", with text extracted from the referent ontology. Thus, one would see that some "variableMeasured" was of a "sea surface temperature", ideally with some description or definition of the variable.

schema.org has some additional "pending" elements that use "**Defined**" in their labels in a fairly loose way semantically speaking:

DefinedTermSet can be used to identify an Ontology or controlled vocabulary using a **URL** and **name**, that might pertain to ALL the variableMeasured types in some Dataset, or for each PropertyValue.

DefinedTerm identifies a concept via a URL, and can also have a name, alternate name, identifier, comment, same as, etc. Unfortunately the proposed list of properties that can take DefinedTerm as a value do not include any properties that apply to **PropertyValue**, so we'd have to extend Schema.org.

termCode is a property of **DefinedTerm**, and only allows a **TEXT** value. It be an abbreviation or the hash-suffix that identifies the Term in the DefinedTermSet (note that deconstructing Identifiers in this way, only to have to reconstruct a URL that is dereferenceable, is problematic!)

TIER 3

Any "variableMeasured" is accompanied by properties that conform to a formal Observations/Measurements (OM) model. This could be done by extending the schema.org model for the **PropertyValue** object, or defining a new property value type object consistent with the OM

model used, and add that to the range of **variableMeasured**. Either approach is viable given the open-world nature of RDF, but for interoperability, a minimal amount of modification of existing schema.org elements is recommended.

This would involve extensions such as "Entity of Interest" and "Characteristic Measured", that may also need to have their **URLs**, **names**, and **descriptions**, etc. exposed via schema.org and JSON-LD

TIER4

Variables with object values. In all above there is an assumption that the "variableMeasured" pertains to some set of actual measurements within a Dataset, e.g. all the values found in a column of some Table. Some "datasets" will likely want to describe their "variableMeasured" at a more atomic level, which is possible given the above approaches if it is some "BLOB" (e.g. a SHAPEFILE) that has some well-defined metadata terms that might be construed as "variableMeasured".

A harder Use Case is when we have a more complex data type-- e.g. a multi-dimensional table, hierarchical data structure, etc. unless we have some "index-identifier" that can unambiguously point to individual values within some data object (e.g. in a Matrix, it could be [2,3] to indicate entry in second row, third column, but in a Table such row and column ordering is often not rigidly constrained.

What is needed for the more complex Property Value value types is a richer 'dataType' property that could reference standard (e.g. xsd:) datatypes, or a datatype registry (a la <u>RDA Data Type</u> Registries working group) entry via a URI.

Additional considerations:

measurementTechnique. Redux of documentation at https://schema.org/measurementTechnique

A technique or technology used ... for measuring the corresponding variable(s). Not intended as a full representation of measurement, but rather as a high level summary for dataset discovery.

For example, if variableMeasured is: molecule concentration, measurementTechnique could be: "mass spectrometry" or "nmr spectroscopy" or "colorimetry" or "immunofluorescence". If the variableMeasured is "depression rating", the measurementTechnique could be "Zung Scale" or "HAM-D" or "Beck Depression Inventory".

Value is Text or URL

Some way to specify units of measure as appropriate for PropertyValue specification. **unitCode**, and **unitText** are additional existing <u>schema.org</u> properties that we should recommend for clarifying the contents of a "**variableMeasured**". Again, use of **URL** and **name** will be invaluable in unambiguously identifying what these are, although "**unitText**" only admits of a TEXT descriptor so would often be the "name" associated with the **unitCode** (**URL**). [Sci on SDO should recommend a vocabulary for unitCode URIs]

Commented [SR1]: I interpret this to be about a variable whose value is an object of some sort, in this case a shape file. I think variables whose values are images, audio or video recordings, or maybe even big NetCDF objects with a model output.

Commented [SR2]: I'd lump this in as an extension of the above types, like a netCDF or shape file, these would be measured Variable values that are themselves data objects.

Precision is a term that appears to be missing from the <u>schema.org</u> vocabulary. We might want to recommend it for inclusion as a new property of a "**variableMeasured**"

Summary thoughts

Goal

Describe datasets for discovery, evaluation, and access

For discovery,

- basic dataset name, description and keywords are a good start.
- Goal is to add information about the variables that are specified for data items in the dataset to support deeper search, tier 1 is variable name and a URI referencing some authority.

For evaluation

Need to know something about

- Measurement technique
- Data quality (precision, accuracy, validation procedures...)
- Value range in data
- Units of measure
- Observation context -- many datasets can benefit from some environmental contextualization. Some relevant properties include:
 - o biome (arctic tundra) where the dataset was collected
 - o habitat (thermokarst) where the dataset was collected;
 - environmental feature that was sampled (thaw lake) [sampling feature and feature
 of interest?]
 - o **environmental material** that was sampled (talik).

These might be Properties that apply to the entire **Dataset**, or to a specific "variableMeasured" within a Dataset as environmental feature and environmental materials may vary across measurements within a dataset.

The properties specifying context should have values of (at least?) name and URL

 Value Types—data types including e.g. simple literals (integer, decimal, float, text), links, structured objects, binary objects (image, audio, video)