Learning restricted regular expressions with interleaving from XML data

1 Inference Algorithm

For the set of given sample S, L(SOA(S)) is a minimal-inclusion generalization of S using 2T-INF [2]. Finding a maximum independent set (MIS) for a graph G is NP-hard proposed in [3]. Hence we use the method $clique_removal()$ [1] to find an approximate result. all_mis is the set contained all MISs iteratively obtained from G. sym(A) is the set of all symbols occurring in A. G.setln() is to assign each node a level number ln. G.isSL(i) returns true if i is a skip level and false otherwise. Combine(V, "|") (or Combine(V, "&")) is to combine all elements in V with union (|) (or interleaving (&)) operator. The input is S and the output is an ESIRE R. The main procedures and the pseudo-code of the algorithm GenESIRE are as follows.

- 1. Construct a graph G(V, E) = SOA(S) for S using 2T-INF [2].
- 2. For each node v with a self-loop, rename it with v^+ and remove the self-loop.
- 3. For each non-trival strongly connected component NTSCC, call function Repair(NTSCC, S). Replace the NTSCC with a new node and label it with the return value of Repair(NTSCC, S). All relations with any node in NTSCC of G rebuild the relations with the new node.
- 4. Assign the level numbers for the new graph and compute all the skip levels.
- 5. Nodes of each level are converted into one or more chain factors.

Algorithm Analysis For a graph G(V, E) = SOA(S), let n = |V| and m = |E|. It costs time O(n) to find all nodes with loops and O(m+n) to find all NTSCCs. The time complexity of $clique_removal()$ is $O(n^2 + m)$. For each NTSCC, computation of all_mis costs time $O(n^3 + m)$. For each mis, there is no NTSCCs at all. Hence Repair() only costs time $O(n^3 + m)$. The number of NTSCCs in a SOA is finite. Then computing all_mis for all NTSCCs also costs time $O(n^3 + m)$. Assigning level numbers and computing the skip numbers will be finished in time O(m+n). All nodes will be converted into specific chain factors of ESIRE in O(n). Therefore, the time complexity of GenESIRE is $O(n^3 + m)$.

Theorem 1. For a given graph G(V, E) = SOA(S), a ESIRE R will be inferred by the algorithm GenESIRE and $L(G) \subseteq L(R)$.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha = GenESIRE(SOA(S))$ where S is a set of given sample. If there exists another ESIRE β such that $S \subseteq L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$, $L(\beta) = L(\alpha)$ must hold.

Proof. We construct SOA for S, α , β as G_s , G_α , G_β respectively. Obviously, we have $sym(G_s)=sym(G_\alpha)=sym(G_\beta)$. Let $\alpha=\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n$, $\beta=\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m$. Now we first consider α_1 . α_1 contains all nodes with with ln=1. We use V_S and V_T to denote the sets of nodes with each node containing only one terminal symbol and multiple terminal symbols, respectively.

Algorithm 1 $GenESIR\overline{E(S)}$

```
Input: A set of strings S
Output: An ESIRE R
 1: Construct the G(V, E) = SOA(S) using 2T-INF [2];
 2: Rename each node v with loop v^+ and remove the loop; For each NTSCC, call algorithm Re-
pair(NCSCC,S). Then we get a new one G' = (V', E');

3: G.setln(); R \leftarrow \varepsilon; ln = 1;

4: while ln \leq (ln \text{ of } G'.sink) - 1 do

5: V_T \leftarrow all nodes with level number ln and length(sym(v)) \geq 2;
6:
7:
8:
9:
10:
11:
12:
13:
           V_S \leftarrow all nodes with level number ln and length(sym(v))=1;
           A \leftarrow Combine(V_S, "|"); B \leftarrow Combine(V_T, "|");
           if A \neq \emptyset and B = \emptyset then
               \mathbf{if}^{'} ! G. is SL(ln) \mathbf{then}
                     R \leftarrow R \cdot A;
                 _{
m else}
                    R \leftarrow R \cdot A^?;
                 end if
14:
            end if
15:
16:
17:
18:
19:
20:
21:
22:
            if A = \emptyset and B \neq \emptyset then
                if !G.isSL(ln) then R \leftarrow R \cdot B;
                 else
                    R \leftarrow R \cdot B^?;
                 end if
            end if
            if A \neq \emptyset and B \neq \emptyset then R \leftarrow R \cdot A^? \cdot B^?;
23:
\bar{24}:
            end if
25: end while
26: return R
```

Algorithm 2 Repair(V,S)

```
Input: A set of nodes V and a set of given sample S
Output: A regular expression newRE
1: pattern \leftarrow V; S' \leftarrow \bigcup_{s \in S} Filter(pattern, s); Compute sets CS(S'), NCS(S') using POR(S');
2: if CS(S') == \emptyset then
3:
       return (Graph(CS).combine(V))^+;
4: else
5:
        G = Graph(CS);
6:
7:
8:
9:
        while G.nodes() \neq \emptyset do
            v = clique\_removal(G); G = G \setminus v; all\_mis.append(v);
        end while
        for each mis \in all\_mis do
            sub\_ex = GenESIRE(\bigcup_{s \in S} Filter(mis, s)); \ RE_{mis}.append((\varepsilon \in S')? \ sub\_ex? : sub\_ex);
10:
11:
         end for
        newRE \leftarrow Combine(RE_{mis}, \text{``\&''});

return newRE
13:
14: end if
```

1. $V_S \neq \emptyset$, $V_T = \emptyset$ and ln=1 is not a skip level.

Let $V_S = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k\}$. $\alpha_1 = (v_1|v_2|\cdots|v_k)$. According to the algorithm, for each node v_i there is an edge connected with src and no edge between any two nodes v_i and v_j . Now we prove $sym(\alpha_1) = sym(\beta_1)$. If there is a symbol $a \in sym(\alpha_1)$ but $a \notin sym(\beta_1)$, there exists some string $s_0 \in S$ and $s_0 \in L(\alpha)$ started with a. However, $s_0 \notin L(\beta)$ which causes a contradiction with $S \subseteq L(\beta)$. If there is a symbol $a \in sym(\beta_1)$ but $a \notin sym(\alpha_1)$, with similar analysis, it will lead to a contradiction with $L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. Therefore, $sym(\alpha_1) = sym(\beta_1)$ which can also hold on for the following cases.

If there are concatenation (\cdot) or interleaving (&) operators in β_1 , there must be edges between two nodes v_i, v_j to illustrate the occurrence orders. However, this will generate strings not in $L(\alpha)$, which is a contradiction with $L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. For unary operators, each symbol in β_1 must be the same with α_1 , otherwise it will lead to a contradiction with $L(S) \subset L(\beta)$ or $L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. Therefore, we have $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $L(\alpha_1) = L(\beta_1)$.

- 2. $V_S \neq \emptyset$, $V_T = \emptyset$ and ln=1 is a skip level.
- Let $V_S = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k\}$. $\alpha_1 = (v_1|v_2|\cdots|v_k)^?$. According to the algorithm GenESIRE, there must be edges for src to some node $v \in V \setminus \{src\} \setminus V_S$. Similar with the proof in case 1, we know that β_1 must be added with optional operator ?, otherwise $L(\beta)$ can not cover the whole set S which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $L(\alpha_1) = L(\beta_1)$.
 - 3. $V_S = \emptyset$, $|V_T| \neq \emptyset$ and $|I_T| = 1$ is not a skip level.

Let $V_T = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ where A_i is the node consisting of multiple symbols. $\alpha_1 = (A_1 | A_2 | \dots | A_k)$. Similar with the proof in case 1, we know that there is an edge from src to node A_i and there is no edge between any node A_i and A_j where $i, j \in [1, k]$. Symbols of any two nodes $sym(A_i)$ and $sym(A_j)$ can not occur in one string. Therefore we know that β_1 must be in the same form of $\beta_1 = (B_1 | B_2 | \dots | B_k)$ in order to satisfy the condition $L(S) \subseteq L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. Suppose that B_i in β_1 is in some specific order, it is easy to conclude that $sym(A_i) = sym(B_i)$. Now we prove $A_i = B_i$.

According to the algorithm Repair(S'), A_i is a sequence of ESs connected with interleaving &. Suppose that $A_i = s_i^1 \& s_i^2 \& \cdots \& s_i^q$ where s_i^p is an ES and $p \in [1, q]$. Each s_i^p is a maximum independent set obtained by Graph(CS). Symbols within s_i^p are ordered determined by NCS while symbols between s_i^p and s_i^r are unordered. Both CS and NCS are computed from POR(S') ($S' = \bigcup_{s \in S} Filter(sym(A_i), s)$). Remember β is also an ESIRE. Suppose any symbols $a \in sym(s_i^p)$ and $b \in sym(s_i^r)$, if they were connected by concatenation operator instead of interleaving, only one partial order $(a \prec b \text{ or } b \prec a)$ would appear in strings generated by $L(\beta)$. This is a contradiction with condition $S \subseteq L(\beta)$. If symbols a and b within s_i^p were connected by interleaving operator instead of concatenation or union, then partial orders $a \prec b$ and $b \prec a$ would both appear in strings in $L(\beta)$ while can not in $L(\alpha)$. This causes another contradiction with $L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. Therefore we can conclude that β_1 is in the form of $g_i^1 \& g_i^2 \& \cdots \& g_i^q$ in which $sym(s_i^p) = sym(g_i^p)$ (g_i^p in β_1 is ordered in accordance with A_i) and

symbols within g_i^p only have two kinds of binary operators: concatenation and union.

Next, the specific form of s_i^p is determined by calling the algorithm GenE-SIRE in which $V_T = \emptyset$ and $V_S \neq \emptyset$. This is just the situation which has been proved in case 1 and case 2. We can easily concluded that $s_i^p = g_i^p$. Therefore, we have $A_i = B_i$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $L(\alpha_1) = L(\beta_1)$.

4. $V_S = \emptyset$, $|V_T| \neq \emptyset$ and ln = 1 is a skip level.

Let $V_T = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ where A_i is the node consisting of multiple symbols. $\alpha_1 = (A_1|A_2|\cdots|A_k)^?$. Similar with the proof in case 2, there exists an edge from src to node $v \in V \setminus \{src\} \setminus V_T$. In order to accept all strings in S, β_1 must have optional operator also. Therefore, we have $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $L(\alpha_1) = L(\beta_1)$.

5. $V_S \neq \emptyset$, $|V_T| \neq \emptyset$.

According to the analysis above, all factors have optional operator in α_1 . β_1 must be in the same form in order to satisfy the condition $S \subseteq L(\beta) \subset L(\alpha)$. Therefore, $L(\alpha_1) = L(\beta_1)$.

From the analysis above, we can conclude that $L(\alpha_1)=L(\beta_1)$ holds. Then we consider $\alpha'=\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n$ and $\beta'=\beta_2\cdots\beta_m$. We first construct a new set of strings S'. For each string $s\in S$, if $sym(s)\cap sym(\alpha_1)=\emptyset$, then $s\in S'$; otherwise, replace all alphabet in $sym(\alpha_1)$ as ε and add it to S'. We let $G_{S'}=SOA(S')$. Clearly, we can find if we merge α_1 together with src ($\alpha_1\cdot src$) and consider it as the new src, then the new graph is the same with $G_{S'}$ and $\alpha'=GenESIRE(G_{S'})$. We can use the same proof procedure as above until ln=n. We therefore can conclude that $L(\alpha')=L(\beta')$ and $L(\alpha)=L(\beta)$.

References

- Boppana, R., Halldrsson, M.M.: Approximating Maximum Independent Set by Excluding Subgraphs. Bit Numerical Mathematics 32(2), 180–196 (1992)
- 2. Garcia, P., Vidal, E.: Inference of k-Testable Languages in the Strict Sense and Application to Syntactic Pattern Recognition. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 12(9), 920–925 (2002)
- 3. Peng, F., Chen, H.: Discovering Restricted Regular Expressions with Interleaving 9313, 104–115 (2015)