

E/Ea Thompson

Representation Theory: A Complete Guide

– In Pursuit of Abstract Nonsense –

Wednesday 30th August, 2023

Springer Nature

Preface

This text consists of a collection of Representation Theory notes taken over a summer based on a course at the University of Calgary

Place(s),
month year

Firstname Surname
Firstname Surname

Contents

Part I Introduction to Representation Theory	1
1 Basic Notions of Representation Theory	3
1.1 What is Representation Theory?	3
Part II Representations of Finite Groups	7
2 Representations of Finite Groups Basics	9
2.1 Complete Reducibility	9
2.2 Abelian Groups and Examples	11
3 Character Theory	13
3.1 Characters	13
3.2 The First Projection Formula and Its Consequences	15
4 Induced Representations	19
Part III Lie Groups and their Representations	21
5 Lie Groups	23
6 Lie Algebras	25
7 Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups	27
Part IV Algebra and Quiver Representations	29
8 Quiver Representations	31
9 Group Algebras	33

Part I

Introduction to Representation Theory

Chapter 1

Basic Notions of Representation Theory

In this chapter we cover some of the basic notions and results related to representation theory, and try to motivate them to a limited degree.

1.1 What is Representation Theory?

Representation theory is, for our purposes, the study of representations of groups. A **representation** of such a group G , often called a **left G -module**, is a vector space $V \in \mathbf{Vect}_k$ equipped with an group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}V$. Most often we consider the special case of $k = \mathbb{C}$. We call the dimension of V the **degree** of the representation.

Note that a representation is really a functor from the one-element groupoid to the category \mathbf{Vect}_k . It follows naturally then that a map of representations is simply a natural transformation between the associated functors.

Definition 1.1 A map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ between two representations (V, ρ) and (W, σ) of G is a linear map such that for any $g \in G$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ W & \xrightarrow{\sigma(g)} & W \end{array}$$

commutes. Then $\ker \varphi$, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$, and $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$ are all G -modules as well in the natural way.

As with all algebraic objects we have a sensible notion of a subobject.

Definition 1.2 A **subrepresentation** of a representation (V, ρ) is a subspace $(U \subseteq V)$ which is invariant under all operators $\rho(g)$, for $g \in G$.

CHAPTER 1. BASIC NOTIONS OF REPRESENTATION THEORY

If we have two representations (V_1, ρ_1) and (V_2, ρ_2) , then we can form new representations in various ways.

Definition 1.3 Let (V_1, ρ_1) and (V_2, ρ_2) be two representations of A . Then the pair $(V_1 \oplus V_2, \rho)$ where $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ defined by $\rho(a) = (\rho_1(a), \rho_2(a))$ for all $a \in A$ is a representation of A .

Most often a representation by itself is far too complicated to study efficiently. Instead we look to decompose it into simpler chunks which we can analyze and then put back together to understand the whole.

Definition 1.4 A nonzero representation (V, ρ) of A is said to be **irreducible** if its only subrepresentations are 0 and V itself. It is said to be **indecomposable** if it cannot be written as a direct sum of two nonzero subrepresentations.

Evidently irreducible implies indecomposable, but not vice-versa in general. Another useful construction of a representation is done through the tensor product. We recall the tensor of two vector spaces briefly here.

Definition 1.5 If $V, W \in \mathbf{Vect}_k$, the tensor product $V \otimes_k W =: V \otimes W$ is formally the quotient space of the free vector space on $V \times W$ by the subspace spanned by elements of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &((v_1 + v_2), w) - (v_1, w) - (v_2, w) \\ &(v, (w_1 + w_2)) - (v, w_1) - (v, w_2) \\ &(av, w) - a(v, w) \\ &(v, aw) - a(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in V, w \in W, a \in k$.

Recall this explicit construction is not necessarily important, but rather the universal property that the resulting tensor space satisfies is what is relevant. Inductively we can define $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$, where brackets are excluded since the tensor operation is associative up to natural isomorphism. In particular we denote $V^{\otimes n} := V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ (n times) for a given $V \in \mathbf{Vect}_k$. More generally we define the space of tensors of type (m, n) on V by $V^{\otimes n} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes m}$.

If V is finite dimensional with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$, and $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_N^*\}$ is the dual basis, then a basis of the space of (m, n) tensors is the set of vectors of the form

$$e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \otimes e_{j_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_m}^*$$

The tensor product is in fact a bifunctor $\otimes : \mathbf{Vect}_k \times \mathbf{Vect}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_k$, and hence we obtain the natural tensor products of linear maps. In general we characterize tensors by their universal property.

Theorem 1.1 Let $V, W, U \in \mathbf{Vect}_k$. For any bilinear map $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow U$ there exists a unique linear map $\bar{\varphi} : V \otimes W \rightarrow U$ such that $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \iota$ where ι is the natural map $V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$.

As basic results obtained from the previous discussions we have that if $\{v_i\}$ is a basis of V and $\{w_j\}$ is a basis of W , $\{v_i \otimes w_j\}$ is a basis of $V \otimes W$. Additionally, we have a natural isomorphism $V^* \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$ in the case when V is finite dimensional.

Important quotients of the tensor product space $V^{\otimes n}$ are the spaces of n th **symmetric powers**, $\text{Sym}^n V$, and the spaces of n th **alternating powers** $\text{Alt}^n V = \wedge^n V$. $\text{Sym}^n V$ is given by the quotient of $V^{\otimes n}$ with the subspace generated by elements of the form $T - S(T)$ where $T \in V^{\otimes n}$ and s is a transposition of the arguments. $\wedge^n V$ is given by the quotient of $V^{\otimes n}$ with the subspace of all $T \in V^{\otimes n}$ such that $T = s(T)$ for some transposition s of the arguments. **To be continued**

Then if (V, ρ) and (W, σ) are representations of G , we have a representation $(V \otimes W, \rho \otimes \sigma)$, so $(\rho(g) \otimes \sigma(g))(v \otimes w) = \rho(g)v \otimes \sigma(g)w$. Similarly the n th tensor power $V^{\otimes n}$ is again a representation of G , as well as $\wedge^n(V)$ and $\text{Sym}^n(V)$, which over fields of characteristic 0 are subrepresentations of $V^{\otimes n}$.

We also have that the dual $V^* = \mathbf{Hom}(V, k)$ of V is also a representation. We require the two representations of G , V^* and V , to respect the natural pairing \langle, \rangle given by $\langle f, v \rangle = f(v)$. So if ρ^* denotes the dual representation, we should have

$$\langle \rho^*(g)(f), \rho(g)(v) \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle$$

for all $g \in G, v \in V$, and $f \in V^*$. A map which satisfies this is the operator adjoint of $\rho(g^{-1})$, $\rho(g^{-1})^\times : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ given by precomposition. Indeed

$$\langle \rho^*(g)(f), \rho(g)(v) \rangle = f \circ \rho(g^{-1})(\rho(g)(v)) = f(\rho(g^{-1}g)(v)) = f(v) = \langle f, v \rangle$$

Now if (V, ρ) and (W, σ) are representations of G , $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$ is a representation of G under the natural identification $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes W$. Let's unravel how this action would work. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$. Then under our identification we can write $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^* \otimes \varphi(v_i)$. Then $g \cdot \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho^*(g)(v_i^*) \otimes \sigma(g)(\varphi(v_i))$. Recall $\rho^*(g) = \rho(g^{-1})^\times$, so $\rho^*(g)(v_i^*) = v_i^* \circ \rho(g^{-1})$. Then for any $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} (g\varphi)(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^*(\rho(g)^{-1}(v)) \otimes \sigma(g)(\varphi(v_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \otimes \sigma(g)(\varphi(v_i^*(\rho(g)^{-1}(v))v_i)) \\ &= 1 \otimes \sigma(g) \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^*(\rho(g)^{-1}(v))v_i \right) \right) \\ &= 1 \otimes \sigma(g) \left(\varphi(\rho(g)^{-1}(v)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

so $(g\varphi) = g\varphi g^{-1}$. In other words, the definition is such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow g\varphi \\ W & \xrightarrow{\sigma(g)} & W \end{array}$$

We can also consider the dual representation to be a special case of this as $V^* \otimes k \cong V^*$.

Proposition 1.1 *The space of G -linear maps, $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G$, is a subspace of $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$ fixed under the action of G .*

Proof First, we indeed have $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G \subseteq \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$, since all G -linear maps are linear. Now, suppose $\varphi \in \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$ is fixed by G . Then $g\varphi = \varphi$, so the previous commuting diagram simplifies to the case of a G -linear map and $\varphi \in \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G$. Conversely, if $\psi \in \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G$, then the commuting diagram for G -linear maps combined with the commuting diagram for $g\psi$ implies $g\psi(gv) = \psi(gv)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $v \in V$. But the g act by automorphisms, so gv spans the whole space as v ranges over V . Thus $g\psi = \psi$, so ψ is fixed by the action of G . \square

We recall a number of important identities for tensor products, with the representation structure preserved over the isomorphism between the spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} V \otimes (U \oplus W) &= (V \otimes U) \oplus (V \otimes W) \\ \wedge^k(V \oplus W) &= \bigoplus_{a+b=k} \wedge^a V \otimes \wedge^b W \\ \wedge^k(V^*) &= (\wedge^k V)^* \end{aligned}$$

If X is a finite set and G acts on the left on X , there is an associated permutation representation; let V be the vector space with basis $\{e_x : x \in X\}$, and let G act on V by

$$g \cdot \sum a_x e_x = \sum a_x e_{gx}$$

The **regular representation**, denoted R_G , corresponds to the left action of G on itself. Alternatively, R_G is the space of complex valued function on G , where an element $g \in G$ acts on a function α by $(g\alpha)(h) = \alpha(g^{-1}h)$.

Part II

Representations of Finite Groups

Chapter 2

Representations of Finite Groups Basics

We continue off of our last chapter with introducing representation theory, but here in the context of finite groups.

2.1 Complete Reducibility

Before we try to classify the representations of a finite group G , we should try to simplify our study by restricting our search somewhat. In particular we have seen we can build up representations using linear algebraic operations, simplest being the direct sum. We look for representations that are “atomic” with respect to the direct sum. As mentioned previously these are **indecomposable** representations. For complex representations of finite groups these are equivalent to irreducible representations. Thus every representation is a direct sum of irreducibles. The key to this is the following result.

Proposition 2.1 *If W is a subrepresentation of a representation V of a finite group G , then there is a complementary invariant subspace W' of V so that $V = W \oplus W'$.*

Proof Note we can induce a Hermitian inner product H_0 on V through an isomorphism with the appropriate $\mathbb{C}^{\dim V}$. Then we can define a Hermitian inner product H which is preserved by each $g \in G$ by averaging over G since G is finite:

$$H(v, w) = \sum_{g \in G} H_0(gv, gw)$$

Then consider the perpendicular subspace W^\perp which is complementary to W in V . Since H is preserved under the action by G W^\perp is also G invariant and we have our result.

Alternatively, we can choose an arbitrary subspace U complementary to W , let $\pi_0 : V \rightarrow W$ be the projection given by the direct sum decomposition $V = W \oplus U$, and average the map π_0 over G : that is, take

$$\pi(v) = \sum_{g \in G} g(\pi_0(g^{-1}v))$$

This will then be a G -linear map from V onto W , which is multiplication by $|G|$ on W ; its kernel will, therefore, be a subspace of V invariant under G and complementary to W . \square

Corollary 2.1 *Any representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations.*

This property is known as **complete reducibility** or **semisimplicity**. We will see later that for continuous representations, the circle S^1 , or any compact group, has this property; integration over the group (with respect to an invariant measure on the group) plays the role of averaging in the above proof. Note this argument would fail if the vector space V was over a field of finite characteristic since it might then be the case that $\pi(v) = 0$ for $v \in W$. This yields the complexity we see in the theory of **modular representations**, or representations on vector spaces over finite fields.

We have a notion of uniqueness in our decomposition into irreducible representations through the following result.

Lemma 2.1 (Schur's Lemma) *If V and W are irreducible representations of G and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a G -module homomorphism, then*

- *Either φ is an isomorphism, or $\varphi = 0$*
- *If $V = W$, then $\varphi = \lambda \cdot I_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof The first claim follows from the fact that $\ker \varphi$ and $\text{Im } \varphi$ are invariant subspaces, and hence subrepresentations. For the second, since \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed, φ must have an eigenvalue λ , so for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi - \lambda I_V$ has a nonzero kernel. Then by the first point we must have that $\varphi - \lambda I_V = 0$, so $\varphi = \lambda I_V$. \square

In summary we have the following.

Proposition 2.2 *For any representation V of a finite group G , there is a decomposition*

$$V = V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k}$$

where the V_i are distinct irreducible representations. The decomposition of V into a direct sum of the k factors is unique, as are the V_i that occur and their multiplicities a_i .

Proof From Schur's lemma we have that if W is another representation of G , with a decomposition $W = \bigoplus_j W_j^{\oplus b_j}$, and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a map of representations, then φ must map the factor $V_i^{\oplus a_i}$ into that factor $W_j^{\oplus b_j}$ for which $W_j \cong V_i$; when applied to the identity map of V to V , the stated uniqueness follows. \square

The decomposition of the i th summand into a direct sum of a_i copies of V_i is not unique if $a_i > 1$, however.

Occasionally we write the decomposition as $V = a_1 V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_k V_k = a_1 V_1 + \cdots + a_k V_k$, especially if one is concerned only about the isomorphism classes and multiplicities of the V_i .

We will see soon that a finite group only admits a finite number of irreducible representations up to isomorphism. Once we have described the irreducible representations of G we can describe an arbitrary representation as a linear combination of these. Our first goal will be hence to describe all of G 's irreducible representations. Then our second goal will be to find techniques for giving the direct sum decomposition, and in particular determining the multiplicities a_i of an arbitrary representation V . We then need to find how these decompositions mingle with our linear algebraic operations.

2.2 Abelian Groups and Examples

We start by looking for examples in abelian groups. Surprisingly this is quite a simple case. We observe that in general if V is a representation of a finite group G , abelian or not, each $g \in G$ gives a map $\rho(g) : V \rightarrow V$; but this map is not generally a G -module homomorphism: for general $h \in G$ we will have $g(h(v)) \neq h(g(v))$. Indeed, $\rho(g) : V \rightarrow V$ will be G -linear for every ρ if and only if g is in the center $Z(G)$ of G . In particular, if G is abelian all such maps are G -linear. If V is an irreducible representation of G , then by Schur's lemma every element $g \in G$ acts on V by a scalar multiple of the identity. Hence every subspace of V is invariant, so for V to be irreducible it must be one dimensional. The irreducible representations of an abelian group G are thus simply elements of the dual group, that is, homomorphisms

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

Since this case is settled we now consider the simplest non-abelian group, the symmetric group on three letters $G = \mathfrak{S}_3$. As with any nontrivial symmetric group we have two one-dimensional representations: we have the trivial representation, which we will denote by U , and the alternating representation U' , defined by setting

$$gv = \text{sgn}(g)v$$

for $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. Since G comes to us as a permutation group, we have a natural permutation representation, in which G acts on \mathbb{C}^3 by permuting the coordinates. Explicitly, if $\{e_1, \dots, e_3\}$ is the standard basis, then $g \cdot e_i = e_{g(i)}$ or, equivalently,

$$g \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_{g^{-1}(1)}, z_{g^{-1}(2)}, z_{g^{-1}(3)})$$

This representation, like any permutation representation, is not irreducible: the line spanned by the sum $(1, 1, 1)$ of the basis vectors is invariant with complementary subspace

$$V = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 0\}$$

This two dimensional representation V can be seen to be irreducible; we call it the **standard representation** of \mathfrak{S}_3 .

CHAPTER 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS BASICS

We now seek to describe an arbitrary representation of \mathfrak{S}_3 . We shall see better methods and tools for this shortly. Since we have seen that the representation theory of a finite abelian group is virtually trivial, we start our analysis of an arbitrary representation W of \mathfrak{S}_3 by looking just at the action of the abelian subgroup $\mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathfrak{S}_3$ on W . If we take τ to be any generator of \mathfrak{A}_3 (that is, any other three-cycle), the space W is spanned by eigenvectors v_i for the action of τ , whose eigenvalues are of course all powers of a cube root of unity, $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Thus

$$W = \bigoplus V_i$$

where $V_i = \mathbb{C}v_i$ and $\tau v_i = \omega^{a_i} v_i$.

Next we see how the remaining elements of \mathfrak{S}_3 act on W in terms of this decomposition. Let σ be any transposition, so that τ and σ together generate \mathfrak{S}_3 , with the relation $\sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^2$. We need to know where σ sends an eigenvector v for the action of τ with eigenvalue ω^i ; to answer this we can look at how τ acts on $\sigma(v)$. Using the basic relation above we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\sigma(v)) &= \sigma(\tau^2(v)) \\ &= \sigma(\omega^{2i}v) \\ &= \omega^{2i}\sigma(v) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if v is an eigenvector for τ with eigenvalue ω^i , then $\sigma(v)$ is again an eigenvector for τ but with eigenvalue ω^{2i} .

Suppose now we start with such an eigenvector v for τ . If $\omega^i \neq 1$, then $\sigma(v)$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\omega^{2i} \neq \omega^i$, and so is independent of v ; and v and $\sigma(v)$ together span a two-dimensional subspace V' of W invariant under \mathfrak{S}_3 . In fact, V' is isomorphic to the standard representation. If, on the other hand, the eigenvalue of v is 1, then $\sigma(v)$ may or may not be independent of v . If it is not, then v spans a one-dimensional subrepresentation of W , isomorphic to the trivial representation if $\sigma(v) = v$ and to the alternating representation if $\sigma(v) = -v$. If $\sigma(v)$ and v are independent, then $v + \sigma(v)$ and $v - \sigma(v)$ span one-dimensional representations of W isomorphic to the trivial and alternating representations, respectively.

In summary, the only three irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_3 are the trivial, alternating, and standard representations U , U' , and V . Moreover, for an arbitrary representation W of \mathfrak{S}_3 we can write

$$W = U^{\oplus a} \oplus U'^{\oplus b} \oplus V^{\oplus c};$$

and we have a way to determine the multiplicities a, b , and c ; c , for example, is the number of independent eigenvectors for τ with eigenvalue ω , whereas $a + c$ is the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of σ , and $b + c$ is the multiplicity of -1 as an eigenvalue of σ .

We can also use this information to find the decompositions of symmetric, alternating, or tensor powers of a given representation W , because if we know the eigenvalues of τ on such a representation, we know the eigenvalues of τ on the various tensor powers of W . For example, let us decompose $V \otimes V$, where V is the standard two-dimensional representation. For $V \otimes V$ is spanned by the vectors $\alpha \otimes \alpha, \alpha \otimes \beta, \beta \otimes \alpha$, and $\beta \otimes \beta$; these are eigenvectors for τ with eigenvalues $\omega^2, 1, 1$, and ω , respectively, and σ interchanges $\alpha \otimes \alpha$ with $\beta \otimes \beta$ and $\alpha \otimes \beta$ with $\beta \otimes \alpha$. Thus $\alpha \otimes \alpha$ and $\beta \otimes \beta$ span a subrepresentation isomorphic to V , $\alpha \otimes \beta + \beta \otimes \alpha$ spans a trivial representation U , and $\alpha \otimes \beta - \beta \otimes \alpha$ spans U' , so $V \otimes V \cong U \oplus U' \oplus V$.

Chapter 3

Character Theory

3.1 Characters

We now move to the study of the tool of character theory for understanding and computing representations of finite groups, which we hinted at previously. As seen with our work in \mathfrak{S}_3 previously, knowing all the eigenvalues of each element of a finite group G should suffice to describe its representations. Of course this is quite an unwieldy task in general, but fortunately redundant as well. In particular, if we know the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ of an element $g \in G$, then we know the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^k\}$ of g^k for each k as well.

The key observation here is it is enough to give just the sum of the eigenvalues of each element of G , since knowing the sums $\sum \lambda_i^k$ of the k th powers of the eigenvalues of a given element $g \in G$ is equivalent to knowing the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ of g themselves. This then suggests the following.

Definition 3.1 If V is a representation of G , its **character** χ_V is the complex-valued function on the group defined by

$$\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(g|_V)$$

the trace of g on V .

In particular, we have

$$\chi_V(hgh^{-1}) = \chi_V(g)$$

Using the property that $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$, so χ_V is constant on the conjugacy classes of G ; such a function is called a **class function**. Note that since \mathbb{C} has characteristic zero, $\chi_V(1) = \dim V$.

Proposition 3.1 Let V and W be representations of G . Then

$$\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$$

$$\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \cdot \chi_W$$

$$\chi_{V^*} = \overline{\chi_V}$$

$$\chi_{\wedge^2 V}(g) = \frac{1}{2}[\chi_V(g)^2 - \chi_V(g^2)]$$

Proof We compute the values of these characters on a fixed element $g \in G$. For the action of g , V has eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ and W has eigenvalues $\{\mu_j\}$. Then $\{\lambda_i\} \cup \{\mu_j\}$ and $\{\lambda_i \cdot \mu_j\}$ are eigenvalues of

CHAPTER 3. CHARACTER THEORY

$V \oplus W$ and $V \otimes W$, from which the first two formulas follow. Similarly, $\{\lambda_i^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}_i\}$ are the eigenvalues for g on V^* , since all eigenvalues are n th roots of unity, with n the order of g . Finally, $\{\lambda_i \lambda_j | i < j\}$ are the eigenvalues for g on $\wedge^2 V$, and

$$\sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = \frac{(\sum \lambda_i)^2 - \sum \lambda_i^2}{2}$$

and since g^2 has eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^2\}$, the last formula follows. \square

As we have said, the character of a representation of a group G is really a function on the set of conjugacy classes of G . This suggests expressing the basic information about the irreducible representations of a group G in the form of a **character table**. This is a table with the conjugacy classes $[g]$ of G listed across the top, usually given by a representative g , with the number of elements in each conjugacy class over it; the irreducible representations V of G listed on the left; and, in the appropriate box, the value of the character χ_V on the conjugacy class $[g]$.

Example 3.1 We compute the character table of \mathfrak{S}_3 . The trivial takes the values $(1, 1, 1)$ on the three conjugacy classes $[1]$, $[(12)]$, and $[(123)]$, whereas the alternating representation has values $(1, -1, 1)$. To see the character of the standard representation note that the permutation representation decomposes $\mathbb{C}^3 = U \oplus V$. Since the character of the permutation representation has the values $(3, 1, 0)$, we have $\chi_V = \chi_{\mathbb{C}^3} - \chi_U = (3, 1, 0) - (1, 1, 1) = (2, 0, -1)$. In sum, then the character table of \mathfrak{S}_3 is

	1	3	2
\mathfrak{S}_3	(1)	(12)	(123)
trivial U	1	1	1
alternating U'	1	-1	1
standard V	2	0	-1

If W is any representation of \mathfrak{S}_3 and we decompose W into irreducible representations $W \cong U^{\oplus a} \oplus U'^{\oplus b} \oplus V^{\oplus c}$, then $\chi_W = a\chi_U + b\chi_{U'} + c\chi_V$. In particular, since the functions $\chi_U, \chi_{U'}$, and χ_V are independent, we see that W is determined up to isomorphism by its character χ_W .

Continuing the last example, consider $V \otimes V$. Its character is $(\chi_V)^2$, which has values 4, 0, and 1 on the three conjugacy classes. Since $V \oplus U \oplus U'$ has the same character, this implies that $V \otimes V$ decomposes into $V \oplus U \oplus U'$ as we have seen directly. Similarly, $V \otimes U'$ has values 2, 0, -1, so $V \otimes U' \cong V$.

3.2 The First Projection Formula and Its Consequences

In this section we start by giving an explicit formula for the projection of a representation onto the direct sum of the trivial factors in this decomposition. We shall also see a number of consequences of this formula.

To start, for any representation V of a group G we set

$$V^G := \{v \in V : gv = v \ \forall g \in G\}$$

or in other words the set of fixed points under the action. We ask for a way of finding V^G explicitly. Recall that for any representation V of G and any $g \in G$, the endomorphism $g : V \rightarrow V$ is, in general, not a G -module homomorphism. On the other hand, if we take the average of all these endomorphisms, that is, we set

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \in \text{End}(V)$$

then the endomorphism φ will be G -linear since $\sum g = \sum hgh^{-1}$ for any h since conjugation is an automorphism of the group. In fact we have the following.

Proposition 3.2 *The map φ is a projection of V onto V^G .*

Proof First, suppose $v = \varphi(w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum gw$. Then for any $h \in G$,

$$hv = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum hgw = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum gw$$

since left translation is an automorphism of a group. So the image of φ is contained in V^G . Conversely, if $v \in V^G$, then $\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum v = v$, so $V^G \subseteq \text{Im}(\varphi)$, and $\varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi$. \square

We thus have a way of finding explicitly the direct sum of the trivial subrepresentations of a given representation. If we just want to know the number m of copies of the trivial representation appearing in the decomposition of V , we can do this numerically, since this number will be just the trace of the projection φ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \dim V^G = \text{Tr}(\varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{Tr}(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this implies that for any irreducible representation V other than the trivial one, the sum over all $g \in G$ of the values of the character χ_V is zero.

Recall that if V and W are representation of G , and if we take the natural representation structure on $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$, then

$$\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G = \{G\text{-module homomorphisms from } V \text{ to } W\}$$

CHAPTER 3. CHARACTER THEORY

If V is irreducible then by Schur's lemma $\dim \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G$ is the multiplicity of V in W ; similarly, if W is irreducible, $\dim \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)^G$ is the multiplicity of W in V , and in the case where both V and W are irreducible, we have

$$\dim \mathbf{Hom}_G(V, W) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V \cong W \\ 0 & \text{if } V \not\cong W \end{cases}$$

But now the character $\chi_{\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)}$ of the representation $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W) = V^* \otimes W$ is given by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)} \cdot \chi_W(g)$$

Applying our projection formula we find that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_V(g)} \chi_W(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V \cong W \\ 0 & \text{if } V \not\cong W \end{cases} \quad (3.2.1)$$

To express this let

$$\mathbb{C}_{class}(G) = \{\text{class functions on } G\}$$

and define an Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}_{class}(G)$ by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\alpha(g)} \beta(g) \quad (3.2.2)$$

Formula 3.2.1 then amounts to

Theorem 3.1 *In terms of this inner product, the characters of the irreducible representations of G are orthonormal.*

For example the orthonormality of the three irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_3 can be read from its character table in our previous example. The numbers over each conjugacy class, denoting their size, tells how many times to count entries in that column in the sum.

Corollary 3.1 *The number of irreducible representations of G is less than or equal to the number of conjugacy classes.*

We will soon show that there are no nonzero class functions orthogonal to the characters, so that equality holds in this corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *Any representation is determined by its character.*

Indeed, if $V \cong V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k}$, with the V_i distinct irreducible representations, then $\chi_V = \sum a_i \chi_{V_i}$, and the χ_{V_i} are linearly independent.

Corollary 3.3 *A representation is irreducible if and only if $(\chi_V, \chi_V) = 1$.*

In fact, if $V \cong V_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k^{\oplus a_k}$ as above, then $(\chi_V, \chi_V) = \sum_i a_i^2$. The multiplicities a_i can be calculated via the following.

Corollary 3.4 *The multiplicity a_i of V_i in V is the inner product of χ_V with χ_{V_i} , i.e., $a_i = (\chi_V, \chi_{V_i})$.*

We can obtain further results by applying this to the regular representation R of G . First, we know the character of R ; it is simply

$$\chi_R(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \neq e \\ |G| & \text{if } g = e \end{cases}$$

since $\chi_R(g)$ will give the number of fixed points of g in the underlying set generating the free vector space R , but as the underlying set is G and the action is left translation which is free, g only fixes a point in G if $g = e$. Thus we see that R is not irreducible if $G \neq \{e\}$. In fact, if we set $R = \bigoplus_i V_i^{\oplus a_i}$, with V_i distinct irreducibles, then

$$a_i = (\chi_{V_i}, \chi_R) = \frac{1}{|G|} \chi_{V_i}(e) \cdot |G| = \dim V_i$$

Corollary 3.5 *Any irreducible representation V of G appears in the regular representation $\dim V$ times.*

In particular, this proves that there are only finitely many irreducible representations. As a numerical consequence of this we have the formula

$$|G| = \dim(R) = \sum_i \dim(V_i)^2 \quad (3.2.3)$$

Applying this to the value of the character of the regular representation on an element $g \in G$ other than the identity, we have

$$0 = \sum_i (\dim V_i) \cdot \chi_{V_i}(g), \text{ if } g \neq e \quad (3.2.4)$$

Chapter 4

Induced Representations

Part III

Lie Groups and their Representations

Chapter 5

Lie Groups

Chapter 6

Lie Algebras

Chapter 7

Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups

Part IV

Algebra and Quiver Representations

Chapter 8

Quiver Representations

Chapter 9

Group Algebras

Index

Complete reducibility, 10

Equivariant map, 3

Indecomposable, 4

Irreducible, 4

representation, 3

Schur's Lemma, 10

Semisimplicity, 10

Subrepresentation, 3

Tensor product, 4