A Tool to generate unit tests

UNICA

July 22, 2009

Abstract

The agent-based rappresentation of the economic model is made by a lot of functions that we need to be sure that it are working properly. The smallest function for an agent could be considered the transintion function, this function allows the agent to act and to communite with the others agents. The correct operation of all transiction functions is a necessary condition for the correct functioning of the entire system. The best way to test small parts of the system is the unitary test provided with a tool that collect all units in one o more suits and able to run automatically. We present a tool that provide an automatic way to write and run a collection of suites generating code from a collection of a suites, where each suite is described by a specified markup language.


Contents

l In	roduction
1.1	The unit-test generator tool:basics ideas
2 G	neral description
2.1	The suite
2.2	The unitary test
	2.2.1 The declarative part
	2.2.2 The fixture
	2.2.3 The expected values
\mathbf{Q}_{1}	ick start guide
3.1	Downloading
3.2	Making
3.3	
3.4	
3.5	The examples: rules.xml

1 Introduction

1.1 The unit-test generator tool:basics ideas

The agent-based rappresentation of the economic model is made by a lot of functions that we need to be sure that it are working properly. The smallest function for an agent could be considered the transintion function, this function



allows the agent to act and to communite with the others agents. The correct operation of all transiction functions is a necessary condition for the correct functioning of the entire system. The best way to test small parts of the system is the unitary test provided with a tool that collect all units in one o more suits and able to run automatically. In a system is large it is a good practice to divide the system in modules, which have to be tested with an automatic tool respecting the modular organization. In order to do this specific ...

The rules that is following satisfy the lexical-grammar definition that we have defined before:

2 General description

The automatic tool to run unitary test run a collection of suites, where each suite contain a collection of unitary tests. Each suite is related basically to a module mantaining the preexintent suddivision.

2.1 The suite

The suite is described by a file called suite.xml that have to be placed in the linked module (this is only a conceptual constraint). The suite is identified by a name and is characterized by a collection of unitary tests.

2.2 The unitary test

The unit test is set by the following items:

- the name of the test. This name has to be unique in the system.
- the name of the transiction function that will has to be tested.
- the name of the owner of the transiction function. This part specifies the type of agent (for example Household or Firm) that are subject to the test.
- the **declarative part**. This part is important because it specifies how the assertion parts have to be built .
- the fixture.
- the expected values.

2.2.1 The declarative part

The declarative part speciefies the variables and messages that are subject to assertions. This part is opened with the tag called *declaration* and contains a list of variables and a list of messages. The list of variables The following code shows an example of a typical declarative part:

```
<declaration>
<variables>
 <variable>
     <type>Asset_array</type>
     <name>assetsowned</name>
 </variable>
<variable>
     <type>Order_array</type>
     <name>pendingOrders</name>
</variable>
</variables>
<messages>
<message>
  <name>order</name>
</message>
</messages>
</declaration>
```

The xml code above shows two variables and a messages that are subject to assertion. The **test_generator** tool generate three types of assertion: the first assertion is related to the *assetsowned*, the second to *pending_orders* and finally the third is related to the *order* message.

2.2.2 The fixture

The fixture is a set of memory variables and input messages, initialized to proper values, used as repeatable input data for the tests. Each time a test case is run, its fixture is reinitialized, because previous tests might have corrupted the fixture, making the test fail not due to errors in the code, but to wrong test data. By defining a fixture, you decide what you will and wont test for. A complete set of tests for a transition function will have many fixtures, each of which will be used by many tests, in many ways. This part is opened with the tag called **fixture** and contains a list of the initialization values of agent's variables and a list of the initialization values of messages. The following code shows an example of a typical fixture part:

```
<fixture>
<Household>
<id>1</id>
<assetsowned>{{1,10,100}}</assetsowned>
<pendingOrders>{{1,50,90,1}}</pendingOrders>
</Household>
<messages>
<order_status>{{1,1,110,1}}</order_status>
</messages>
</fixture>
```

2.2.3 The expected values

A Test Case stimulates a Fixture and checks for expected results. If the tests are unsuccessful, they have to provide helpful information about the kind of error and about his location, the system that launch the test shows a summary of all test belonging from all suite. To this purpose, the framework is endowed with standard checking functions (Checks) able to test Boolean conditions and to report automatically the results and the system state in the case of failure. The checks need an expected state of the agent and the expected output messages. The expected values part is opened with the tag called **expected_states** and contains a list of the expected values of agent's variables and a list of the expected values of output messages. The following code shows an example of a typical fixture part:

```
<expected_states>
<Household>
<id>1</id>
<assetsowned>{{1,10,100}}</assetsowned>
</Household>
</expected_states>
```

The xml code above shows two agent's variables that are the expected state of the Household. The expected state parts don't contain a list of expected messages because the related transition function don't involve any output message.

Figure 1: the configuration file rules.xml

3 Quick start guide

Here is a set of steps for setting up and generate C code of unit test and run the test automatically. Details and instructions for a more thorough tour of **test_generator** features, including installing, validating, and using the performance evaluation tools, are given in the following sections.

3.1 Downloading

The first step is to download the test_generator and install any necessary files. It needs the libxml and CUnit library that have to be installed properly. The way to get test_generator is to use the repository at the address http://ccpforge.cse.rl.ac.uk/svn/eurace/tests. Get the entire folder unit-test-generator that contain the following files: main_code.c, Makekile, datatype.c.tmp, header.h.tmp, Suite.h.tmp, Suite.c.tmp, messages.c.tmp, LauncherTest.c.tmp Now you are ready to build.

3.2 Making

Before you can use **test_generator**, you must make it. Make test_generator % make

This may take a while, depending on the load on your system and on your file server, it may take anywhere from a few seconds to an minut or more.

3.3 Generating test case and suite organization

The test_generator generate code by using the model information and the information contained in the files called suite.xml and present in each module. The code generated is compounded by some C code files and a Makefile called Makefiletest,

3.4 Running

Run a simple integrity test:
% cd ../integrity_tests
% ./integrity tests/rules.xml target.txt
At this point you have run an integrity test on your system.

3.5 The examples: rules.xml

The Integrity distribution contains a simple programs, which are located in the integrity source tree. The rules.xml 3.5 is a simple example which is explained how configure an integrity test.