Control Systems 2 Cheatsheet

Noa Sendlhofer - nsendlhofer@ethz.ch Version: May 15, 2024

Template by Micha Bosshart

1.1 Sampling

Discrete Time

T_s : Sampling Time


$$\omega_s$$
: Sampling frequency

$$\omega_s =$$

$$y_1[k] = cos(\omega k T_s),$$

$$y_2[k] = \cos((\omega + n\frac{2\pi}{T_s})kT_s), \qquad n = 0$$

$$= \cos(\omega kT_s + n2\pi k) = y_1[k]$$



1.2.1 Nyquist-Shannon Sampling theorem

$$f_N=rac{1}{2T_s}\left[ext{Hz}
ight] \quad ext{or} \quad \omega_N=rac{\pi}{T_s}\left[rac{ ext{rad}}{ ext{s}}
ight]$$
 No aliasing if $\omega<\omega_N!$

1.3 DT State Space Representation

$$x[k+1] = A_d x[k] + B_d u[k]$$
$$= e^{AT} x[k] + \left(\int^T e^{A(T-\tau)} d\tau \right) B u[k]$$

$$y[k] = C_d x[k] + D_d u[k]$$
$$= Cx[k] + Du[k]$$

If A is invertible: $B_d = A^{-1}(A_d - I)B$

$$x[0] = x_0, u[k] = 0$$

 $x[k+1] = Ax[k], \Rightarrow x[k] = A^k x_0$

y[k] = Cx[k]

$$= CA^{k}x_{0}$$

$$A^{k} = (T\Lambda T^{-1})^{k} = T\Lambda^{k}T^{-1}$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} A^k = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |\lambda_i| < 1$$

x[1] = Bu[0],

$$x[2] = ABu[0]Bu[1], \dots,$$

 $x[k] = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1}Bu[i],$

$$y[k] = \underbrace{CA^k x_0}_{\text{Homogeneous}} + C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1} Bu[i] + Du[k]$$

$$u[k] = u_0 z^k = u_0 e^{ksT} = u(kT)$$

$$y[k] = C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1} B u_0 z^i + D u_0 z^k$$

$$= \underbrace{C A^k (x_0 - C(zI - A)^{-1} B u_0)}_{\text{Transient}} + \underbrace{C (zI - A)^{-1} B u_0 z^k + D u_0 z^k}_{\text{Steady-state}}$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} A^k = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y[k] \approx [C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D]u[k]$$
$$y[k] \approx G(z)u[k], \quad G(z) := C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

1.5 Approximation Methods

System Properties

2.1 Similarity Transformation

Tustin

$$\begin{cases} x^+ = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx + Du \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^+ = (T^{-1}AT)\tilde{x} + (T^{-1}B)u \\ y = (CT)\tilde{x} + Du \end{cases}$$

2.1.1 Modal decomposition

$$\tilde{x}_i(t) = e^{\lambda_i t} \tilde{x}_i(0) \qquad x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i t} \tilde{x}_i(0) v_i$$

2.2 Reachability

 $\Rightarrow x[n] = \mathcal{R}U$

$$\mathcal{R} := \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}B|...|AB|B \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \cdot m} \qquad U := \begin{bmatrix} u[0] \\ u[1] \\ \vdots \\ u[n-1] \end{bmatrix}$$

The systen is reachable if and only if $\mathcal R$ has full row rank n

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \qquad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y[0] \\ y[1] \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \qquad Y = \mathcal{O}x[0]$$

The systen is observable if and only if $\mathcal O$ has full column rank n

2.4 Controllability

A system is controllable if, for any initial condition x_0 , there exists a control input u that brings the state x to 0 in finite time. For CT Systems: Controllability = Reachability For DT Systems: A is invertible \Rightarrow Controllability = Reachability

1.4 DT Transfer Function

2.5 Kalman Decomposition

$$x^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{r\bar{o}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{ro} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda_{\overline{ro}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_{\overline{ro}} \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} B_{r\bar{o}} \\ B_{ro} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u,$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{ro} & 0 & C_{\overline{r}o} \end{bmatrix} x + Du$$
• Stabilizability

A system is said to be stabilizable if all unstable modes are


reachable

Detectability

A system is said to be detectable if all unstable modes are

3 State Feedback

3.1 State Feedback



 $= (A - BK)x + B\overline{N}r, \qquad \overline{N} = KS$

$$G_{yr}^{cl}(s) = C(sI - A + BK)^{-1}BKS$$
$$= C(sI - A - BK)^{-1}B\overline{N}$$

y = Cx + (D = 0)

K - Direct Method

$$p_{cl}^* = \prod_{i=1}^n (s + \lambda_i), \quad K = [k_1 \quad k_2 \quad \dots \quad k_n]$$

$$p_{cl} = det(sI - A + BK) = p_{cl}^*$$

${\cal K}$ - Ackermann's Formula

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{-1} p_{cl}^*(A)$$

No steady-state error:
$$\Rightarrow G^{cl}_{yr}(0)=1$$

$$\Longrightarrow \overline{N}=-(C(A-BK)^{-1}B)^{-1},\quad S=K^{-1}\overline{N}$$

3.2 LQR LQR guarantees:

- phase margin $> 60^{\circ}$
- gain margin $(\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$

3.2.1 Continuous Time

$$\min_{K} J(x, u) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} [x(t)^{T} Q x(t) + u(t)^{T} R u(t)] dt,$$

s.t.:
$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),$$

 $u(t) = -Kx(t)$

soln.:
$$0 = \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{X}$$

$$K = \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{X}$$

 $\min_{K} J(x, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (x[k]^{T} Q x[k] + u[k]^{T} R u[k]),$

s.t.:
$$x[k+1] = Ax[k] + Bu[k],$$

$$u[k] = -Kx[k]$$

soln.:
$$X = A^{T}XA - (A^{T}XB)(B'XB + R)^{-1}(B^{T}XA) + Q$$

$$+ Q$$


$$K = (R + B^{T}XB)^{-1}B^{T}XA$$

3.2.3 LQR Servo

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} r$$

4 State Estimation

4.1 Luenberger Observer



$$\hat{x}^{+}(t) = (A - LC)\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + Ly(t)$$
$$\hat{y}(t) = C\hat{x}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Exactely the same as finding a control gain K
$$L = p_{cl}^*(A)\mathcal{O}^-1[0,\ldots,0,1]^T$$


4.2 LQE

$$Q = \mathbb{E}[w(t)w(t)^T], \quad R = \mathbb{E}[n(t)n(t^T)], \quad \forall t \ge 0$$

Problem: Find L, such that the steady-state covariance of the state Solution:

$$0 = AY + YA^{T} - YC^{T}R^{-1}CY + Q$$
$$L = -YC^{T}R^{-1}$$

5 Dynamic Output Feedback



5.1 LQG

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK & BK \\ 0 & A - LC \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} BKS \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r$$
$$y = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1.1 LQG Servo

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ x_I \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} A - BK & -BK_I & BK \\ -C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A - LC \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x_I \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} BKS \\ I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r$$


$$y = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x_I \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1.2 LQG Stability

LQG guarantees closed loop stability, but the margins can be arbitrarily small.

6 MIMO

6.1 Transfer Function



$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad G(s) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Push through identity

$$G_1(I + G_2G_1)^{-1} = (I + G_1G_2)^{-1}G_1$$

MIMO state space to tf

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

6.2 State Space

$$x^{+} = \underbrace{A}_{n \times n} \underbrace{x}_{n \times 1} + \underbrace{B}_{n \times m} \underbrace{u}_{m \times 1}$$
$$y = \underbrace{C}_{l \times n} \underbrace{x}_{n \times 1} + \underbrace{D}_{l \times m} \underbrace{u}_{m \times 1}$$

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where n is the order of the system
- $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where m is the number of inputs
- $y \in \mathbb{R}^l$, where l is the number of outputs

6.3 MIMO Poles

MIMO poles are the roots of the pole polynomial of a minimal realization of the transfer function matrix. It is equal to the least common multiple of the denominators of all possible minors of the transfer function.

6.4 MIMO Zeros

6.4.1 Transmission Zeros

H(s) has a transmission zero at frequency ζ_0 if H(s) drops rank at $s=\zeta_0$. In this case the null space of the matrix is non-zero, which means a zero has to exist. rank(A) + nullity(A) = n

$$H(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{s-3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\lim_{s \to 3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{s-3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\Longrightarrow \zeta_0$ is a pole and a zero!

$$\lim_{s \to \zeta_0} H(s) u_0(s) = 0, \quad u_0(s) \text{ is called "direction"}$$

6.4.2 Invariant Zeros

A non-zero input frequency, that doesn't show up in the output. The output can still be non-zero. The invariant zeros correspont to the values s_i for which the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} sI - A & -B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

becomes singular. (not full rank)

If the system realization is minimal: invariant zeros $\hat{=}$ transmission 7.4 Solving the Norms

6.5 Gilbert's realization

7 Norms

Definitions

- $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal if $U^T U = I$
- $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary if U'U = I(U' := complex conjugate transpose)
- S is hermitian if S = S'For any hermitian S, there exists a unitary matrix U s.t. U'SU is diagonal.

$$\begin{split} \left\|x\right\|_p &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \left\|x\right\|_\infty &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left|x_i\right| \\ \left\|A\right\|_{p, \text{ind}} &= \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\left\|Ax\right\|_p}{\left\|x\right\|_p} = \max_{\left\|x\right\|_p = 1} \left\|Ax\right\|_p \\ \left\|A\right\|_F &= \left(\operatorname{Trace}(A'A)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{split}$$

7.2 Singular Value Decomposition

$$A = U\Sigma V' \qquad \begin{cases} U \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \\ V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\ \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \end{cases}$$

- ullet U,V are unitary matrices
- ullet Σ is diagonal with non-

$$A = \overbrace{\left(\underline{u}_1 \quad \underline{u}_2\right)}^{U} \overbrace{\left(\begin{matrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{matrix}\right)}^{\Sigma} \overbrace{\left(\underline{v}_1 \quad \underline{v}_2\right)'}^{V'}$$

- u_i are the left singular vectors (normalized eig(AA'))
- v_i are the right singular vectors (normalized eig(A'A))
- ullet v_i is the specific input that generates the extremal output u_i with amplification σ_i

$$||A||_{2,\text{ind}} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2} = \sigma_{\max}(A), \inf_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2} = \sigma_{\min}(A)$$

7.3 Signal Norms

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} &= \left(\int_0^\infty |u(t)|^p \, dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p \geq 1 \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} &= \sup |u(t)| \end{split}$$

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(j\omega)|^2 d\omega\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}vb=\sup_{u\neq 0}\frac{\|y\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}}=\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{R}}\sigma_{\max}[G(j\omega)]$$

$$\begin{split} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{2}^{2} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| U(s) \right\|_{2}^{2} d\omega \\ &= \left\| U(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}^{2} \end{split}$$

8 Stability and Performance Robustness

8.1 Small Gain Theorem



- \bullet G(s) is a known, stable system
- $\Delta(s)$ is unknown, assumed to be stable and $\|\Delta\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} < 1$
- Interconnection is stable iff $||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} < 1$

8.2 Uncertainty

Additive uncertainty:

$$\widetilde{P} = P + W\Delta$$

 \tilde{P} : The model of the real Sys-


Multiplicative uncertainty: $\widetilde{P} = P(\mathbb{I} + W\Delta)$

P: Our best guess of the system through mathematical modeling

Feedback uncertainty: $\tilde{P} = (\mathbb{I} - PW\Delta)^{-1}P$

 $W\Delta$: The uncertainty

8.3 Robust stability



$$G(s) = -(\mathbb{I} + CP)^{-1}CW \ \Rightarrow \ \left\| -(\mathbb{I} + CP)^{-1}CW \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \overset{!}{<} 1$$

8.4 Robust performance

