|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CENG-322 TEAM PROJECT  **Team Name: \_\_\_\_Chesm\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Smart City\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |  |

*Please negotiate, sign, scan and include as the first section in your Deliverable 1.*

Team Contract

**Please note that if cheating is discovered in a group assignment each member will be charged with a cheating offense regardless of their involvement in the offense. Each member will receive the appropriate sanction based on their individual academic honesty history.**

**Please ensure that you understand the importance of academic honesty. Each member of the group is responsible to ensure the academic integrity of all of the submitted work, not just their own part. Placing your name on a submission indicates that you take responsibility for its content.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Team Member Names (Please Print) | Signatures | Student ID |
| Project Leader:  **Thanh Phat Lam** |  | N01335598 |
| **Hieu Dang Chu** |  | N01371619 |
| **Dung Ly** |  | N01327929 |
| **Dinh Hoa Tran** |  | N01354661 |

**For further information read Academic Honesty Policy on** [**https://humber.ca/legal-and-risk-management/policies/search-by-students.html**](https://humber.ca/legal-and-risk-management/policies/search-by-students.html)**.**

By signing this contract, we acknowledge having read the Humber Academic Honesty Policy as per the link below.

<https://academic-regulations.humber.ca/2018-2019/17.0-ACADEMIC-MISCONDUCT>

Responsibilities of the Project Leader include:

* Assigning tasks to other team members, including self, in a fair and equitable manner.
* Ensuring work is completed with accuracy, completeness and timeliness.
* Planning for task completion to ensure timelines are met
* Any other duties as deemed necessary for project completion

What we will do if . . .

| **Scenario** | **Accepted initials** | **We agree to do the following** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Team member does not deliver component on time due to severe illness or extreme personal problem |  | a) Team absorbs workload temporarily \_\_  b) Team seeks advice from professor \_\_  c) Team shifts target date if possible \_\_  d) Other: |
| Team member cannot deliver component on time due to lack of ability |  | a) Team reassigns component \_\_  b) Team helps member \_\_  c) Team member must ask professor for reference material \_\_  d) Other: |
| Team member does not deliver component on time due to lack of effort |  | a) Team absorbs workload \_\_  b) Team "fires" team member by not permitting his/her name on submission \_\_  c) Other:  \_Give warning 2 times, kick if it happens next time |
| Team member does not attend team meeting |  | a) Team proceeds without him/her and will assign work to the absent member \_\_  b) Team doesn't proceed and records team member's absence \_\_  c) Team proceeds for that meeting but "fires" member after \_\_ occurrences \_\_ |
| An unforeseen constraint occurs after the deliverable has been allocated and scheduled (a surprise test or assignment) |  | a) Team meets and reschedules deliverable \_\_  b) Team will cope with constraint \_\_  c) Other: |
| Team cannot achieve consensus leaving one member feeling "railroaded", "ignored", or "frustrated" with a decision which affects all parties |  | a) Team agrees to abide by majority vote \_\_  b) Team flips coin \_\_  c) Other: |
| Team members do not share expectations for grade desired |  | a) Team will elect one person as "standards-bearer" who has the right to ask that work be redone \_\_  b) Team votes on each submission's quality \_\_  c) Team will ask for individual marking and will identify sections by author \_\_  d) Other: |
| Team member behaves in an unprofessional manner by being rude or uncooperative |  | a) Team attempts to resolve the issue by airing the problem at team meeting \_\_  b) Team requests meeting with professor to problem-solve \_\_  c) Team ignores behaviour \_\_  d) Team agrees to avoid use of all vocabulary inappropriate to the business setting \_\_ |
| Team member assumes or requests that his/her name be signed to a submission but has not participated in production of the deliverable |  | a) Team agrees that this is cheating and is unethical \_\_  b) Friends are friends and should help each other \_\_  c) Team will submit with signature but will advise professor who will take action \_\_ |
| There is a dominant team member who is content to make all decisions on the team's behalf leaving some team members feeling like subordinates rather than equal members |  | a) Team will actively solicit consensus on all decisions which affect project direction by asking for each member's decision and vote \_\_  b) Team will express subordination feelings and attempt to resolve issue \_\_  c) Other: |
| Team has a member who refuses to participate in decision making but complains to others that s/he wasn't consulted |  | a) Team forces decision sharing by routinely voting on all issues \_\_  b) Team routinely checks with each other about perceived roles \_\_  c) Team discusses the matter at team meeting \_\_ |