Probability and Bayes' Rule

Stephen G. Ware CSCI 4525 / 5525





Story of AI

- Until now, virtually all problems in AI have been formulated as knowledge representation and search.
- Most problems have a clearly defined goal and iterative procedures that guaranteed finding it.
- Henceforth, most problems will rely on fuzzy values and fuzzily-defined goals.
- Most problems will be solved using statistical manipulations.





Probability

A **probability** is a number between 0 and 1 (inclusive) that indicates how likely some event is to occur or how likely it is that something is the case.

A probability of 0 indicates that it is impossible.

A probability of 1 indicates that it must happen.

A probability of 0.5 indicates a 50% chance.





Random Variables

Variables in probabilistic expressions are called **random variables**.

A random variable is similar to a variable in a constraint satisfaction problem in that it can have one of a pre-defined set of values.

A random variable is called "random" because, if its value is not known, it is often helpful to think of its value as being chosen at random.





Sample Space and Events

When speaking of probability, we refer to the set of all possible worlds as the **sample space**.

An **event** is a group of 1 or more possible worlds that all share some quality.





Sample space for rolling a single 6-sided die:

$$D=1$$

$$D=2$$

$$D=3$$

$$D=4$$

$$D=5$$

$$D=6$$







The event of rolling a 1:

$$D=1$$

$$D=2$$

$$D=3$$

$$D=4$$

$$D=5$$

$$D=6$$







The event of rolling a 1:

$$D=1$$

$$D=2$$

$$D=3$$

$$D=4$$

$$D=5$$

$$D=6$$







The event of rolling a number higher than 3:

D=1

D=2

D=3

D=4

D=5

D=6







The event of rolling a number higher than 3:

D=1

D=2

D=3

D=4

D=5

D=6







Sample space for rolling 2 6-sided dice:

$$D_1 = 1 \wedge D_2 = 1$$

$$D_1 = 1 \wedge D_2 = 2$$

$$D_1 = 1 \wedge D_2 = 3$$

• • •

$$D_1 = 6 \wedge D_2 = 5$$

$$D_1 = 6 \wedge D_2 = 6$$







The event of rolling doubles:

$$D_1 = 1 \land D_2 = 1$$

 $D_1 = 1 \land D_2 = 2$
 $D_1 = 1 \land D_2 = 3$

• • •

$$D_1 = 6 \land D_2 = 5$$

 $D_1 = 6 \land D_2 = 6$







The unconditional or **prior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen in the absence of any other evidence.

$$P(doubles) =$$

"The probability of..."







The unconditional or **prior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen in the absence of any other evidence.

$$P(doubles) =$$

"What is the probability that doubles will be rolled?"







The unconditional or **prior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen in the absence of any other evidence.

$$P(doubles) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$







The unconditional or **prior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen in the absence of any other evidence.

$$P(doubles) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Total number of possible worlds i.e. 36 outcomes of rolling 2 dice







The unconditional or **prior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen in the absence of any other evidence.

$$P(doubles) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Number of possible worlds in which the proposition is true i.e. 6 worlds in which both die show same value







$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) =$$
"given that..."







$$P(doubles | D_1 = 4) =$$
 evidence







$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) =$$
event







The conditional or **posterior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen given some evidence.

$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) =$$

"What is the probability that doubles will be rolled given that the first die already shows a 4?"







$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{1}{6}$$







The conditional or **posterior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen given some evidence.

$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{1}{6}$$

Total number of possible worlds in which the evidence holds i.e. 6 worlds where the first die shows a 6







The conditional or **posterior probability** of an event is the degree of belief that the event will happen given some evidence.

$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{1}{6}$$

Total number of worlds in which the proposition is true i.e. Of the 6 possible worlds, there is only 1 where the die show the same







$$P(event|evidence) = \frac{P(event \land evidence)}{P(evidence)}$$





$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{P(doubles \land D_1 = 4)}{P(D_1 = 4)}$$





$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{P(doubles \land D_1 = 4)}{6}$$





$$P(doubles|D_1 = 4) = \frac{1}{6}$$





Probability Distribution

A **probability distribution** is a table of all possible values for a random variable and their associated probabilities, which must sum to 1.

Variable	Value	Probability
Cavity	true	0.2
	false	0.8

20% of people who visit the dentist's office have a cavity, and the other 80% do not.





Probability Distribution

A **probability distribution** is a table of all possible values for a random variable and their associated probabilities, which must sum to 1.

Variable	Value	Probability
Weather	sunny	0.60
	rainy	0.10
	cloudy	0.29
	snowy	0.01





Joint Distribution

We often want to talk about multiple variables at the same time. When we combine multiple variables into a single table, we call this a joint distribution.

Let's consider both the presence of a cavity and the weather at the same time.





Joint Distribution

A **joint probability distribution** is a table of all possible values for two or more random variables and their associated probabilities. All cells in the table must sum to 1.





We use an italic normal font *P* to indicate the probability of some specific event. This indicates a single number.

We us a non-italic bold font **P** to indicate the probability distribution of one or more variables. This indicates a table of numbers.





Random variable names are capitalized.

Values are written in all lowercase.

When a random variable name appears by itself, it means "all possible values for this variable."

We can indicate a specific value for a variable by using "=" or by simply writing the value.





P(Weather, Cavity)=





P(Weather, Cavity)=







P(Weather, Cavity) =

Name of a variable





P(Weather, Cavity)=

No "=" means all possible values for this variable





P(Weather, Cavity)=

Variable with no "=", so all possible values for this variable also





$\mathbf{P}(Weather, Cavity) =$		Variable		
		Cavity		
		Value		
Variable	Value	true	false	
Weather	sunny	0.120	0.480	
	rainy	0.020	0.080	
	cloudy	0.058	0.232	
	snowy	0.002	0.008	

This is the full joint probability distribution for the variables *Weather* and *Cavity*.





P(Weather, Cavity) =

1 (Wederier, daviey)		v al lable	
		Cavity	
		Value	
Variable	Value	true	false
	sunny	0.120	0.480

0.020

0.058

0.002

Variable

 $P(Weather = sunny \land Cavity = true) = ?$

rainy

cloudy

snowy



Weather



0.080

0.232

0.008

P(Weather, Cavity) =

I (We deterted)		V all	abic
		Cavity	
		Value	
Variable	Value	true	false
	sunny	0.120	0.480

Variable

 $P(Weather = sunny \land Cavity = true) = ?$

\ Indicates a specific number





P (Weather, Cavity)=		Variable		
		Cavity		
		Value		
Variable	Value	true	false	
	sunny	0.120	0.480	
IAZ o a t h ou	rainy	0.020	0.080	
Weather				

0.058

0.002

 $P(Weather = sunny \land Cavity = true) = ?$

cloudy

snowy

Indicates a value for this variable

D(147 - -- 12 - -- C -- -- 14 --)





0.232

0.008

$\mathbf{P}(Weather, Cavity) =$		Variable		
		Cavity Value		
				Variable
Weather	sunny	0.120	0.480	
	rainy	0.020	0.080	
	cloudy	0.058	0.232	
	snowy	0.002	0.008	

 $P(Weather = sunny \land Cavity = true) = ?$

Indicates a value for this variable





$\mathbf{P}(Weather, Cavity) =$		Variable	
		Cavity	
		Value	
Variable	Value	true	false
Weather	sunny	0.120	0.480
	rainy	0.020	0.080
	cloudy	0.058	0.232

0.002

 $P(Weather = sunny \land Cavity = true) = 0.120$

snowy





0.008

P(Weather, Cavity)=

Variable			
Cavity			

Value

Variable	Value	true	false
Weather	sunny	0.120	0.480
	rainy	0.020	0.080
	cloudy	0.058	0.232
	snowy	0.002	0.008

 $P(sunny \land true) = 0.120$

Equivalent, but abbreviated





P(sunny, Cavity)=





P(sunny, Cavity)=







P(sunny, Cavity)=
Only show cases where
Weather = sunny





P(sunny, Cavity)=

This is the name of a value, so only show cases where Weather = sunny





P(sunny, Cavity) =

nis is the n

This is the name of a variable, and there is no "=", so show all values for this variable





sunny

0.2

Notice how the cells sum to 1



Weather



8.0

$\mathbf{P}(sunny, Cavity) =$		Variable	
		Cavity	
		Value	
Variable	Value	true	false
Weather sunny		0.2	0.8

This is a joint probability distribution (because it involves more than one variable), but we don't call it the "full" join distribution, because we are only showing one possible value for *Weather*.





Dentistry Domain

Consider an AI system designed to diagnose whether or not a patient visiting the dentist has a cavity.

We will consider 3 Boolean random variables:

- *toothache* indicates whether or not the patient reports having a toothache
- *catch* indicates whether or not the dentist's metal hook catches in the patient's tooth
- *cavity* indicates whether or not the patient has a cavity





Dentistry Domain

The dentist collects information (i.e. the values of *toothache* and *catch*) and inputs that information into the system.

The system reports a probability for the value of *cavity* based on the input. In other words, the system tells the dentist how likely it is that the patient has a cavity.





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity) = ?$$

What is the prior probability of having a cavity?

In other words, when a new patient walks in and we don't know anything about him or her, what is the likelihood that the patient has a cavity?





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity) = ?$$

What is the prior probability of having a cavity? We only consider worlds in which *cavity* is true.





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity) = ?$$

What is the prior probability of having a cavity?

We consider worlds in which both *toothache* and ¬*toothache* are true, and also worlds in which both *catch* and ¬*catch* is true.





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	$\neg catch$	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity) = 0.108 + 0.012 + 0.072 + 0.008 = 0.2$$

When a new, unknown patient walks in, there is a 20% chance that he or she has a cavity.





Naïve Solution

One (bad) solution would be to simply report a 20% chance of having a cavity all the time.

However, we can do better if we consider some additional information.





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity|toothache) = ?$$

What is the posterior probability of the patient having a cavity given that the patient has a toothache?





	toothache	
	catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012
¬cavity	0.016	0.064

P(cavity|toothache) = ?

We can disregard all worlds in which $\neg toothache$.





	toothache
cavity	0.108 + 0.012
¬cavity	0.016+0.064

$$P(cavity|toothache) = ?$$

We need to consider both *catch* and $\neg catch$, so we sum those columns and combine them into 1.





	toothache
cavity	0.12
¬cavity	0.08

$$P(cavity|toothache) = ?$$

We need to consider both catch and $\neg catch$, so we sum those columns and combine them into 1.





	toothache
cavity	0.12
¬cavity	0.08

P(cavity|toothache) = ?

The cells in the table no longer sum to 1!

We need to **normalize** the table.





	toothache
cavity	0.12α
¬cavity	0.08α

$$P(cavity|toothache) = ?$$

Normalization means multiplying each cell in the table by a single number which will cause all the cells to sum to 1 again.

$$0.12\alpha + 0.08\alpha = 1$$





	toothache
cavity	0.12α
¬cavity	0.08α

$$P(cavity|toothache) = ?$$

Normalization means multiplying each cell in the table by a single number which will cause all the cells to sum to 1 again.

$$\alpha = 5$$





	toothache
cavity	0.6
¬cavity	0.4

P(cavity|toothache) = ?

Now that we have calculated P(Cavity|toothache), we can look up the value we want in the table.





	toothache
cavity	0.6
¬cavity	0.4

P(cavity|toothache) = 0.6

Now that we have calculated P(Cavity|toothache), we can look up the value we want in the table.

If the patient reports having a toothache, there is a 60% chance that he or she has a cavity.





Causality and Diagnosis

When we speak of cause and effect, we speak of two events that often occur together (the cause first, followed by the effect).

First order logic is well suited to reasoning in the **causal direction**. Logical deduction asks, "Given some cause, what will be the effect?"

Logic is poorly suited to reasoning in the opposite, or **diagnostic direction**. "Give the effect, what was the cause?"





Bayes' Rule

$$P(a|b) = \frac{P(a \land b)}{P(b)}$$

$$P(b|a) = \frac{P(a \land b)}{P(a)}$$

This is the definition of a posterior probability, written twice.





Bayes' Rule

$$P(a|b)P(b) = P(a \land b)$$

$$P(b|a)P(a) = P(a \wedge b)$$

This is an equivalent form of those same definitions, often called the **product rule**.

Note that the right hand side of both equations is the same.





$$P(b|a)P(a) = P(a|b)P(b)$$

Combine both equations into one.





$$P(b|a) = \frac{P(a|b)P(b)}{P(a)}$$

Divide by P(a).





$$P(b|a) = \frac{P(a|b)P(b)}{P(a)}$$

This formula is **Bayes Rule**, named for its discoverer, Rev. Thomas Bayes. It was further developed into the form above by Pierre-Simon Laplace.





$$P(cause|effect) = \frac{P(effect|cause)P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

This formula is **Bayes Rule**, named for its discoverer, Rev. Thomas Bayes. It was further developed into the form above by Pierre-Simon Laplace.

It has proven very helpful in diagnostic reasoning.





$$\frac{P(cause|effect)}{P(effect)} = \frac{P(effect|cause)P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

We have observed some effect, and given something which might be the cause, we want to know how likely it is that the cause caused the effect.

To calculate this, we need three things.





$$P(cause|effect) = \frac{P(effect|cause)P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

We need to know the prior probability of the effect.

In other words, we need to know how likely the effect is to happen regardless of other factors.





$$P(cause|effect) = \frac{P(effect|cause)P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

We need to know the prior probability of the cause.

In other words, we need to know how likely the cause is to happen regardless of other factors.





$$P(cause|effect) = \frac{P(effect|cause)P(cause)}{P(effect)}$$

We need to know the posterior probability of the effect given the cause.

In other words, we need to know how often the cause causes the effect.





	toothache catch ¬catch		egtoothache	
			catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)P(cavity)}{P(ache \land catch)}$$





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)P(cavity)}{P(ache \land catch)}$$

Find $P(ache \land catch)$





	toothache catch ¬catch		egtoothache	
			catch	¬catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)P(cavity)}{0.124}$$

Find P(cavity)





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	$\neg catch$	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
¬cavity	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)0.2}{0.124}$$

Find $P(ache \land catch|cavity)$





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.108α	0.012α	0.072α	0.008α

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)0.2}{0.124}$$

Find $P(ache \land catch|cavity)$





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.54	0.06	0.36	0.04

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{P(ache \land catch|cavity)0.2}{0.124}$$

Find $P(ache \land catch|cavity)$





	toothache		egtoothache	
	catch	¬catch	catch	$\neg catch$
cavity	0.54	0.06	0.36	0.04

$$P(cavity|ache \land catch) = \frac{0.54 \cdot 0.2}{0.124} \approx 0.871$$

When a patient complains of a toothache and the hook catches in the tooth, there is an 87% chance that the patient has a cavity.





Independence

Sometimes, the value of one variables does not influence the value of another.

Consider P(Cavity, Weather). The weather outside and the state of a patient's tooth are not related, so we can reason about them separately.





Absolute Independence

We say that random variables a and b are **absolutely independent** when P(a|b) = P(a).

Equivalently, $P(a \land b) = P(a)P(b)$.

In other words, the fact that we know the values of b does not give us any extra information about the value of a (and vice versa).





Absolute Independence

If we assume the weather and the presence of a cavity have no influence on one another:

$$P(Cavity|Weather) = P(Cavity)$$

In other words, we can't improve our cavity diagnosis system by having the dentist input the weather. The chances of having a cavity are the same in all kinds of weather.





Let us assume than cavities cause toothaches and that cavities cause hooks to catch in teeth.

Can we say that *Toothache* and *Catch* are absolutely independent?

Toothaches do not cause the hook to catch, nor does the hook catching cause a toothache. However, that is not what the question is asking.





Let us assume than cavities cause toothaches and that cavities cause hooks to catch in teeth.

Can we say that *Toothache* and *Catch* are absolutely independent?

No, they are not absolutely independent. If one has a toothache, then there is a higher chance one has a cavity, which means there is a higher chances that the hook will catch.





Let us assume than cavities cause toothaches and that cavities cause hooks to catch in teeth.

Can we say that *Toothache* and *Catch* are absolutely independent?

However, because these two variables do not directly influence one another, we can say that they are independent given the presence of absence of a cavity!





We say that two random variables *a* and *b* are **conditionally independent** of one another given some third variable *z* when

$$P(a \wedge b|z) = P(a|z)P(b|z).$$





 $\mathbf{P}(Toothache, Catch|Cavity) = \mathbf{P}(Toothache|Cavity)\mathbf{P}(Catch|Cavity)$

In other words, once we have ruled out the influence of *Cavity*, *Toothache* and *Catch* are independent of one another.





 $\mathbf{P}(Toothache, Catch|Cavity) = \mathbf{P}(Toothache|Cavity)\mathbf{P}(Catch|Cavity)$

If we only consider worlds where *Cavity* is true, then *Toothache* and *Catch* are absolutely independent. Likewise, if we only consider worlds where *Cavity* is false, then *Toothache* and *Catch* are absolutely independent.

Thus, we say they are conditionally independent.





Independence

Independence assumptions reduce the size of the table needed to represent the full joint probability distribution.

Conditional independence assumptions are often more easily available than absolute independence assumptions.



