

# PLRSF V1.0.3

Éric Würbel

2017-10-17

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Installation</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Configuring the installation . . . . .	2
2.2	Building the executables . . . . .	2
2.3	Installing the executables . . . . .	2
2.4	Configuring the webdemo for public access . . . . .	2
2.4.1	Using apache reverse proxy setup . . . . .	3
2.4.2	Using apache rewrite engine and reverse proxy . . . . .	3
2.4.3	Using apache VirtualHosts . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Running plrsf</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	running the command line tool . . . . .	3
3.2	Running the web demo . . . . .	4
3.2.1	Running the web demo locally . . . . .	4
3.2.2	Running the web demo through apache . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>A simple semi-realistic example</b>	<b>5</b>

## 1 Introduction

(c) 2012-2017 Éric Würbel, LSIS-CNRS UMR7296 <eric.wurbel@lsis.org>

PLRSF is an application which implements Removed Set Merging of logic programs with answer set semantics [1, 2].

Removed set merging of logic programs consists in the withdrawal of rules from logic programs in order to restore consistency.

Two flavours of consistency of logic programs are considered : in a first definition, a program is considered as consistent iff it has at least one answer set. In a second definition, a program is considered consistent iff it has at least one HT-model [3].

The problem addressed by PLRSF is as follows : considering a profile  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  of logic programs (i.e. a multi-ensemble of logic programs), perform the merging of these programs. If the union of the programs is consistent, then the merging is a simple union, otherwise PLRSF removes some rules from som profiles according to different strategies in order to restore consistency. For details about the strategies, see [1, 2].

## 2 Installation

PLRSF is mainly a prolog program written for the SWI-prolog compiler. It should not be complicated to port PLRSF to other prolog systems, at least the command line version of PLRSF<sup>1</sup>. If you consider writing a port, please drop me a line.

At present, the installation instructions are directed toward SWI-prolog installed on a linux system. PLRSF consist in two different applications:

- **plrsf** : a command line tool which computes the result of the merging of a profile consisting in a set of files.
- **plrsf-webdemo** : a web-based version of PLRSF, suitable as a demo.

### 2.1 Configuring the installation

Concerning the **plrsf** command line program, there is nothing to configure.

The web demonstrator need a little more work to be fully operational.

First of all edit the script **run-plrsf-webdemo** and adjust the **PLRSF\_EXE** variable to point on the path of the **plrsf-webdemo** executable. Adjust the **SWI\_HOME\_DIR** to point to the directory containing SWI-prolog runtime libraries. Then, edit the source file **webdemo.pl** and modify the following line :

```
user:file_search_path(document_root, '/home/wurbel/src/prolog/plrsf').
```

Modify the path so it points to the **plrsf** installation directory.

### 2.2 Building the executables

The script file **build-executable.sh** is provided to build both programs **plrsf** and **plrsf-webdemo**. It accepts one option **-e**, which effect is to incorporate SWI-prolog runtime libraries in the final executable. This is actually needed for 64 bits linux systems. You can omit this build option on 32 bit linux systems, except is you plan to copy the final executables on a machine on which SWI-prolog is not installed.

### 2.3 Installing the executables

At present there is no installation script. All you have to do is to copy the executable files **plrsf** and **plrsf-webdemo**, and the starting script **run-plrsf-webdemo** in a directory appearing in your **PATH**.

### 2.4 Configuring the webdemo for public access

When configured and built, the webdemo listens for http requests on port 5000 of your local machine. However, a public access to the PLRSF webdemo requires requests being sent on port 80 (standard web TCP port). It's a bad idea to modify the PLRSF webdemo to make it listen on port 80, as on server machines this port is generally handled by a web server software like apache.

The following sections describe three different methods to enable an apache server to relay incoming http requests on port 80 to port 5000, and to relay back the responses of the PLRSF service.

---

<sup>1</sup>The web demo will be trickier to port on other prolog systems, not to say almost impossible, as it relies on the http client and server support provided by a SWI-prolog module.

### 2.4.1 Using apache reverse proxy setup

This is the simplest way to configure PLRSF for public web access. This approach uses apache reverse proxy mechanism. Ensure the modules `proxy` and `proxy_http` are loaded. Then add two simple rules to the server configuration. Below is an example that makes a `plrsfdemo` server on port 5000 (which are the default values coded in the source file) available from the main Apache server at port 80.

```
ProxyPass          /pldoc/ http://localhost:4000/pldoc/  
ProxyPassReverse   /pldoc/ http://localhost:4000/pldoc/
```

### 2.4.2 Using apache rewrite engine and reverse proxy

*(Not yet written...)*

### 2.4.3 Using apache VirtualHosts

*(Not yet written...)*

This is prefferably the best solution, but it needs administrative access.

## 3 Running plrsf

### 3.1 running the command line tool

The command line tool syntax is as follows (you can print this help by invoking `plrsf --help` at the shell prompt):

```
plrsf [options] files...
```

**--clasp-path -c term=path(clingo)** clasp path. Accepted values are either a pathname, relative or absolute, specified as a term `file(pathname)`, or a term of the form `path(exe)`, where `exe` is the name of the executable. The executable is then searched among the directories specified in the `PATH` environment variable.

**--clasp-ver -v integer=4** clasp version. Accepted values are 3 and 4. Default is 4, meaning that the version of clasp is 4 or up. Aggregate literals and choice constructs have a different syntax depending on the version number.

**--output -o atom=user\_output** output destination. This can be either a file name or the atom `user_output`, which stands for standard output.

**--mode -m atom=strong** Potential reoved sets mode: `weak`: weak potential removed sets (based on SE models) `strong`: strong potendtlial removed sets (based on answer sets)

**--strategy -s atom=sigma** merging strategy, one of `sigma`: sigma strategy `card`: card strategy `max`: max strategy `gmax`: gmax strategy `inclmin`: inclusion-minimal potential removed sets `all`: all potential removed sets

**--results -r atom=all** requested results, one of `all`: all belief bases resulting from the merging `arsets`: only print the atoms characterizing the removed sets. (debugging purpose mainly) `rsets`: all removed sets.

**--program-output -p atom=none** ASP program output, one of none: program is temporary. `user_output`: ASP program is written on standard output. `ATOM`: ASP program is written to the file whose name is `ATOM`.

The files must contain the belief profile and the integrity constraints. Each file contain a belief base, it must start with a fact `kbname/1` asserting the belief base name.

The file containing the integrity constraints must begin with the fact `kbname(ic)`.

For example, suppose we want to run the `archeo1` example which is in the `test` directory. This example contain 3 belief bases and a set of integrity constraints. To perform the merging using the strategy  $\Sigma$  and showing all the possible resulting belief bases, using weak merging (weak merging is based on HT-models), the command is:

```
plrsf --strategy sigma --mode weak test/archeo1-*.pl
```

The output should be something like this:

```
starting plrsf with:
Input Files: [archeo1-1.pl,archeo1-2.pl,archeo1-3.pl,archeo1-ic.pl]
Merging mode: weak
Merging strategy: sigma
Results interpretation: all
Output: user_output
==== Base 0 =====
h.
-d.
-o.
h:-not -h.
-d.
-o.
o:-not h.
do:-d,o.
-do:-h.
```

For an explanation about the meaning of this example, please refer to section 4.

## 3.2 Running the web demo

### 3.2.1 Running the web demo locally

To run the web demonstrator on your machine, launch the `run-plrsf-webdemo` script. Then, open a web browser, and browse the following url: `http://localhost:5000/plrsfdemo/`

The demo is self-documented.

### 3.2.2 Running the web demo through apache

Providing that you configured and compiled the web demo executable properly, and that your apache web server has been configured to relay the requests addressed to the `plrsf` service to the `plrsf` executable, using one of the three described techniques, running the web demonstrator boils down to:

- running the `run-plrsf-webdemo` script. This script runs the `plrsf-webdemo` daemon. It can be safely detached from the terminal ;

- running apache.

The full automation of the starting of the service is out of the scope of this documentation at the moment. It requires the creation of a starting script for the *init* service or equivalent (*upstart*, *Runit*, *systemd* etc.).

## 4 A simple semi-realistic example

The `test` directory contains a factitious example inspired by underwater archæology. This example consisting in 4 files : `archeo1-1.pl`, `archeo1-2.pl`, `archeo1-3.pl`, `archeo1-ic.pl`. The files `archeo1-[1-3].pl` correspond to the point of view of three archaeologists about an underwater archaeological site, comprising a vessel containing a cargo of amphoræ. The file `archeo-ic.pl` represents the knowledge of the domain, which cannot be questioned.

The atoms in the program have the following meaning:

**h** means that the cargo is homogeneous, that is, composed by one type of amphora.

**d** means that the cargo contains amphoræ of type Dressel-2-4.

**o** means that the cargo contains œnochoe, that is, amphoræ containing wine.

The ærchæological knowledge (which cannot be questioned) states that:

- if Dressel-2-4 amphoræ are present on the site, then the cargo cannot be homogeneous.
- if Dressel-2-4 amphoræ are present on the site, then the cargo must contain œnochoe.

This results in the `archeo1-ic.pl` program:

```
-h :- d.
o :- d.
```

The three archæologists have the following beliefs about the site:

**Archæologist 1** thinks that the cargo is not homogeneous. He also thinks that there are Dressel-2-4 on the site, and also œnochoe. This results in the `archeo1-1.pl` :

```
-h.
d.
o.
```

**Archæologist 2** thinks that the cargo is homogeneous, that there is no Dressel-2-4 on the site, nor œnochoe. This results in the `archeo1-2.pl` :

```
h.
-d.
-o.
```

**Archæologist 3** thinks that the cargo is homogeneous, unless there are some reasons proving that it is not. He also thinks that there is no Dressel-2-4 on the site, nor œnochoe. Besides, he thinks that, if the cargo is not proven homogeneous, then there must be œnochoe. Finally, he thinks that if the cargo is homogeneous, then there is no Dressel-2-4. This results in the `archeo1-3.pl` :

```

h :- not -h.
-d.
-o.
o :- not h.
do :- d, o.
-do :- h.

```

The program consisting in the union of the three points of view of the archæologists with the domain knowledge has no answer set. We have to drop some rules reflecting archæologist's beliefs in order to restore consistency.

The simplest strategy is to remove as few rules as possible. This is the  $\Sigma$  strategy. It leads to a unique solution :

```

$ ../plrsf archeo1-*.pl
starting plrsf with:
Input Files: [archeo1-1.pl,archeo1-2.pl,archeo1-3.pl,archeo1-ic.pl]
Merging mode: strong
Merging strategy: sigma
Results interpretation: all
Output: user_output
==== Base 0 =====
h.
-d.
-o.
h:-not -h.
-d.
-o.
o:-not h.
do:-d,o.
-do:-h.

```

Note the repetition of rules `-d.` and `-o.`, because these are representing beliefs of archæologists 2 and 3.

This resulting program has a single answer set : `{-d -o h -do }`, which means that the three archæologists can agree on the consensus that the cargo is homogeneous, and there are no Dressel-2-4 and no cenochoe on the site.

## References

- [1] Julien Hué, Odile Papini, and Eric Würbel. Merging belief bases represented by logic programs. In Claudio Sossai and Gaetano Chemello, editors, *Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty, 10th European Conference, ECSQARU 2009, Verona, Italy, July 1-3, 2009. Proceedings*, volume 5590 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 371–382. Springer, 2009.
- [2] Julien Hué, Odile Papipni, and Eric Würbel. Extending belief base change to logic programs with asp. In *Trends in Belief Revision and Argumentation Dynamics*, Studies in Logic. 3 december 2013.
- [3] David Pearce. A new logical characterisation of stable models and answer sets. In Jürgen Dix, Luís Moniz Pereira, and Teodor C. Przymusinski, editors, *Non-Monotonic Extensions of Logic*

*Programming, NMELP '96, Bad Honnef, Germany, September 5-6, 1996, Selected Papers*, volume 1216 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 57–70. Springer, 1996.