

Engagement Survey Technical Report

Eagle I.O

Most recently updated 2020-07-19

Contents

Homepage	5
1 Summary	7
2 Engagement - NOTES	9
2.1 Feb 20.2020	9
2.2 Definitions as of 02.20.2020	9
2.3 Definitions as of 5/19/2020	10
3 Instrument creation	11
3.1 Content Validation	11
4 Future plans with project	15
4.1 Things to do	15
5 Final Words	17

Homepage



This is a technical report that contains the development of the Eagle IO Engagement survey.

Chapter 1

Summary

We lost the document where we had saved the citations for the creation of our engagement dimensions. we found it today (02/04/2020). Three out of the four dimensions (Dedication, Vigor, and Absorbtion) came from Schaufeli et al, (2002), and we are trying to find where Fulfillment came from. We are also trying to improve the definition of each domain by looking at the current items and conducting a Modified Q sort (not correct name) to create piles of items that have commonalities within each domain.

Eagly and Chaiken [1993] Simpson [; Harter et al.; Kahn; Leiter and Maslach; R Core Team, 2020; Allaire et al., 2020; Rothbard; Saks; Schaufeli et al.; Simpson]

Chapter 2

Engagement - NOTES

Here is Eagle IO's definition of engagement:

A state of personal immersion in work characterized by enthusiasm, dedication, and personal investment, expressed cognitively, affectively, and behaviorally in the proactive pursuit of advancing organizational goals.

This definition was created by Eagle IO on Spring 2019, and modified by Dr. Kulas and Renata on Fall 2019 to include the four dimensions of Fulfillment, Absorption, Dedication, and Vigor.

2.1 Feb 20.2020

Decided to operationalize fulfillment as an outcome of engagement rather than a definitional element Considering removing fulfillment

Reconceptualized as an OUTCOME of engagement (2/20)

Fulfillment: finding meaning in one's work, while having a sense of autonomy, growth, usefulness, achievement, and feeling appreciated by org. [Satisfaction(?)]

2.2 Definitions as of 02.20.2020

Absorption:

being fully concentrated and happily immersed in one's work (time passes quickly and has difficulty detaching from one's work; Schaufeli et al., 2002)

02.24.2020 changed definition to: being fully immersed in one's work (time passes quickly and has difficulty detaching from one's work)

Dedication/Commitment: being strongly involved in one's work and experiencing a sense of enthusiasm, inspiration, pride, and challenge. (Schaufeli et al., 2002) Identifying as an organizational member/ambassador

include identification with the organization, a sense of "oneness" seeking continuous learning and improvement getting rid of challenge altogether moving inspiration and pride to other categories

2.24.2020 definition of dedication changing to: seeking continuous improvement and demonstrating initiative

Vigor: investing consistent effort, persistence, energy, and mental resilience while working (Schaufeli et al., 2002) maybe add enthusiasm here as well

2.24.2020 changing definition of Vigor: Experiencing persistent levels of energy and enthusiasm while working

potential to change from affective, cognitive, and behavioral to whether their engagement comes from content/satisfaction with the organization or the people they work with.

After completing individual Q-sorts (Kulas and Renata) we decided to revisit the definitions and build them up a little to make the difference between them more noticeable.

2.3 Definitions as of 5/19/2020

Absorption: Being fully immersed in one's work, where time passes quickly and one has difficulty detaching from work tasks

Vigor: Experiencing persistent levels of energy, effort, and enthusiasm while working

Dedication: Experiencing pride and challenge in one's work, as well as strong feelings of support from and loyalty toward the organization

With these definitions we ordered all the items according to the ones we individually selected for each category and created an item bank with the remaining items. Together we placed the items in the bank into the agreed upon categories.

Next steps: Place items in the DAV categories into the ABC categories

Chapter 3

Instrument creation

- Demographic Information

3.1 Content Validation

7 Eagle I.O consultants were twice instructed to place each of 34 items into one of three categories: Absorption, Dedication, or Vigor, and Cognitive, Affective, or Behavioral. Instructions asked each rater to:

INSTRUCTIONS: Place an “X” in the column that you feel is the best fit for each item (only one “X” per row please)

The substantive scale definitions provided for ratings were:

- *Absorption*: Being fully immersed in one’s work, where time passes quickly and one has difficulty detaching from work tasks
- *Vigor*: Experiencing persistent levels of energy, effort, and enthusiasm while working
- *Dedication*: Experiencing pride and challenge in ones work, as well as strong feelings of support from and loyalty toward the organization

The attitudinal scale definitions were:

- *Cognitive*: Pertaining to thoughts or general mental processes (for example what someone thinks)
- *Affective*: Pertaining to feelings or emotions (for example, how someone feels)

- *Behavioral*: Pertaining to acts or actions (for example, what someone does)

The goal was to identify item(s) that were equally and heavily implicated with one substantive and one attitudinal scale.

Initial rating convergence for Absorption:

```
##           Cognitive
## Absorption 1 2 4 5 6 7
##           1 2 1 0 1 0 0
##           2 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           4 1 1 0 0 0 0
##           5 0 1 0 0 0 0
##           6 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           7 0 2 0 0 0 0
```

```
##           Affective
## Absorption 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
##           1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0
##           2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
##           5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           7 0 2 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
##           Behavioral
## Absorption 1 2 3 5 6 7
##           1 1 0 0 1 0 0
##           2 0 0 0 0 0 1
##           4 0 0 0 0 2 0
##           5 0 0 0 1 1 0
##           6 0 0 0 0 0 1
##           7 0 0 2 0 0 1
```

Initial rating convergence for Vigor:

```
##           Cognitive
## Vigor 1 2 4 5 6 7
##           1 0 0 1 0 0 1
##           2 1 1 0 0 0 0
##           3 0 1 0 1 0 0
##           5 0 0 0 0 0 0
##           6 2 1 0 0 0 0
##           7 2 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
##          Affective
## Vigor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
##      1 0 0 1 0 0 0
##      2 0 0 0 0 0 0
##      3 0 1 0 0 1 0
##      5 0 0 0 0 0 1
##      6 0 0 0 0 1 1
##      7 0 0 0 1 0 3
```

```
##          Behavioral
## Vigor 1 2 3 5 6 7
##      1 0 0 0 0 1 1
##      2 0 0 0 1 1 0
##      3 0 0 0 0 1 0
##      5 1 0 0 0 0 1
##      6 1 0 0 1 0 0
##      7 2 1 0 0 0 1
```

Initial rating convergence for Dedication:

```
##          Cognitive
## Dedication 1 2 4 5 6 7
##      1 1 0 0 0 0
##      2 0 0 0 0 0
##      3 0 0 0 1 0
##      6 0 0 1 0 0
##      7 0 2 0 4 1 1
```

```
##          Affective
## Dedication 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
##      1 0 0 0 0 0 1
##      2 0 0 0 0 0 1
##      3 0 1 0 0 0 0
##      6 0 0 1 0 0 0
##      7 1 3 0 0 1 0
```

```
##          Behavioral
## Dedication 1 2 3 5 6 7
##      1 0 0 0 0 2
##      2 1 0 0 0 0
##      3 0 0 0 0 0
##      6 0 0 0 0 0
##      7 1 2 0 2 0
```


Chapter 4

Future plans with project

Some *significant* applications are demonstrated in this chapter.

4.1 Things to do

- Finalize items
- Get survey into Qualtrics for pilot testing
- Work on feedback report

Chapter 5

Final Words

We have finished a nice book.

Bibliography

- JJ Allaire, Yihui Xie, Jonathan McPherson, Javier Luraschi, Kevin Ushey, Aron Atkins, Hadley Wickham, Joe Cheng, Winston Chang, and Richard Iannone. *rmarkdown: Dynamic Documents for R*, 2020. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rmarkdown>. R package version 2.3.
- Alice H. Eagly and Shelly Chaiken. *The psychology of attitudes*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers, 1993.
- James K. Harter, Frank L. Schmidt, and Theodore L. Hayes. Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: a meta-analysis. 87(2):268.
- William A. Kahn. Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. 33(4):692–724.
- Michael P. Leiter and Christina Maslach. Areas of worklife: A structured approach to organizational predictors of job burnout. 3(1):91–134.
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Nancy P. Rothbard. Enriching or depleting? the dynamics of engagement in work and family roles. 46(4):655–684.
- Alan M. Saks. Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement.
- Wilmar B. Schaufeli, Marisa Salanova, Vicente González-Romá, and Arnold B. Bakker. The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. 3(1):71–92.
- Michelle R. Simpson. Engagement at work: A review of the literature. 46(7):1012–1024.